रजिस्ट्री सं० डी एल (एन) 04/0007/2003—05

REGD. No. DL (N) 04/0007/2003—05

भारत का राजपत्र
The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

साप्ताहिक
WEEKLY

सं. 48] नई दिल्ली, नवम्बर 21—नवम्बर 27, 2004, शनिवार/कार्तिक 30—अग्रहायण 6, 1926
No. 48] NEW DELHI, NOVEMBER 21—NOVEMER 27, 2004, SATURDAY/KARTIKA 30—AGRAHAYANA 6, 1926

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (Other than the Ministry of Defence)

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 10 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3021.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए बंगलौर के अधिवक्ताओं सर्वश्री बी. आर. नंजुनदैया और विजय कुमार एम. शीलवंत को बंगलौर में विचारण न्यायालयों में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा संस्थित मामला सं. आरसी एसआईजे 2004 ई 0003, आरसी एसआईजे 2004 ई 0004 और आरसी एसआईजे 2004 ई 0006 के अभियोजन तथा अपील/पुनरीक्षण न्यायालय अथवा किसी अन्य न्यायालय में तथा उनसे संबंधित अथवा आनुषंगिक अपीलों, पुनरीक्षणों अथवा अन्य विषय का संचालन करने के लिए विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं० 225/24/2004-एवीडी-II]

बी. राजगोपाल नायडू, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi, the 10th November, 2004

S. O. 3021.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974) the Central Government hereby appoints S/Shri B. R. Nanjundaiah and Vijay Kumar M. Sheelvant, Advocates Bangalore as Special Public Prosecutors for conducting the prosecution in Case Nos. RC. SIJ 2004E0003, RC. SIJ 2004E0004 and RC. SIJ 2004E0006 instituted by the Delhi Special Police Establishment in trial courts at Bangalore and appeals, revisions or other matter connected therewith or incidents thereto in the appellate/revision or in any other court.

[No. 225/24/2004-AVD-II]

B. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU, Director

नई दिल्ली, 10 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3022.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. एचडी 151 पीसीआर दिनांक 14-09-2004 द्वारा प्राप्त कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (1) श्री जे. बालकृष्ण पाई, पूर्व शाखा प्रबंधक, केनरा बैंक, पानम्बूर शाखा, मंगलौर (2) श्री बी. अब्दुल रहमान, मालिक मैसर्स जबाल ग्रेनेटीज, फरंगीपेट, डीके जिला, कर्नाटक (3) मैसर्स जबाल ग्रेनेटीज, फरंगीपेट, डीके. जिला, कर्नाटक और अन्यों एवं किन्हीं अन्य लोक सेवकों अथवा व्यक्तियों के विरुद्ध 2001 से 2002 तक की अवधि के दौरान अनुचित साख-सुविधाएं प्रदान करने और फलस्वरूप केनरा बैंक, मंगलौर को 2.35 करोड़ रु. की अनुचित हानि पहुंचाने के मामले में भारतीय दंड संहिता 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम 45) की धारा 120-बी सपठित धारा 409, 420, 468 और 471 तथा भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम 1988 (1988 का अधिनियम सं. 49) की धारा 13 (2) सपठित धारा 13 (1) (डी) के अधीन दंडनीय अपराधों और उक्त अपराधों से संबंधित अथवा संसक्त उसी संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किए गए अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत किन्हीं अन्य अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार सम्पूर्ण कर्नाटक राज्य पर करती है।

[फा० सं० 228/80/2004-एवीडी-II]

बी. आर. नायडू, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 10th November, 2004

S. O. 3022.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of State Government of Karnataka, vide Notification No. HD 151 PCR 2004 dated 14-09-2004 hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under section 120-B read with 409, 420, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860) and Section 13(2) read with 13(1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49 of 1988) against (1) Shri J. Balakrishna Pai, formerly Branch Manager, Canara Bank, Panambur Branch, Mangalore (2) Shri B. Abdul Rehman, Proprietor, M/s. Zabal Granites, Farangipet, DK District, Karnataka (3) M/s. Zabal Granites, Farangipet, DK District, Karnataka and others in the matter of extending under credit facilities and thereby causing wrongful loss of Rs. 2.35 crores to Canara Bank, Mangalore during the period from 2001 to 2002, and any other public servants or persons in relation to, or in connection with the said offences, and any other offinces committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[F. No. 228/80/2004-AVD.-II]

B. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU, Director

नई दिल्ली, 10 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3023.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं. 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की अधिसूचना सं. एचडी 143 पीसीआर दिनांक 14-09-2004 द्वारा प्राप्त कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (1) श्री बी. वी. इस्लूर, पूर्व शाखा प्रबंधक, बैंक ऑफ इंडिया रिचमोंड टाउन शाखा, बंगलौर (2) श्री जे. एन. प्रभाकर, प्रबंध निदेशक, मैसर्स मेनक्स ऑटो लिमिटेड, बंगलौर (3) श्री श्रीनिवास जागीरदार गोपाल, मैसर्स श्याम इंडस्ट्रीज, बंगलौर (4) श्री धनंजय चौहान, निदेशक, मैसर्स मेनक्स ऑटो लिमिटेड, बंगलौर (5) श्री ए. के. कुलकर्णी, मैसर्स कुलकर्णी एसोसिएटस, बंगलौर (6) श्री यू. एल. सोमप्पा, एडवोकेट, बंगलौर एवं किन्हीं अन्य लोक सेवकों अथवा व्यक्तियों के विरुद्ध 2001 से 2002 तक की अवधि के दौरान अनुचित साख-सुविधाएं प्रदान करने और फलस्वरूप बैंक ऑफ इंडिया, बंगलौर को 377.07 लाख रु. की अनुचित हानि पहुंचाने के मामले में भारतीय दंड संहिता 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम 45) की धारा 120-बी सपठित धारा 420, 467, 468 और 471 तथा भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम, 1988 (1988 का अधिनियम सं. 49) की धारा 13 (2) सपठित धारा 13 (1) (डी) के अधीन दंडनीय अपराधों और उक्त अपराधों से संबंधित अथवा संसक्त उसी संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किए गए अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत किन्हीं अन्य अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार सम्पूर्ण कर्नाटक राज्य पर करती है।

[फा० सं० 228/81/2004-एवीडी-II]

बी. आर. नायडू, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 10th November, 2004

S. O. 3023.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of State Government of Karnataka, vide Notification No. HD 143 PCR 2004 dated 14-09-2004, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under section 120-B read with 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860) and Section 13(2) read with 13(1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49 of 1988) against (1) Shri B. V. Islur, formerly Branch Manager, Bank of India Richmond Town Branch, Bangalore (2) Shri J. N. Prabhakar, Managing Director, M/s. Manx Auto Limited, Bangalore (3) Shri Srinivas Jagirdar Gopal, Proprietor, M/s. Shyam Industries, Bangalore (4) Shri Dhananjaya Chavan, Director, M/s Manx Auto Limited, Bangalore (5) Shri A. K. Kulkarni, M/s. Kulkarni Associates, Bangalore (6) U. L. Somappa, Advocate, Bangalore in the matter of extending undue credit facilities and thereby causing wrongful loss of Rs. 377.07 lakhs to the Bank of India, Bangalore during the period from 2001 to 2002, and any other public servants or persons in relation to, or in connection with the said offences, and any other offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[F. No. 228/81/2004-AVD.-II]

B. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU, Director

नई दिल्ली, 10 नवम्बर, 2004

का०आ० 3024.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं० 25) की धारा 6 सपठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए बिहार राज्य सरकार के गृह (पुलिस) विभाग की अधिसूचना सं० 1/सीबीआई/8010/2004 एच (पी)/9574/पटना दिनांक 3-9-2004 द्वारा प्राप्त बिहार राज्य सरकार की सहमति से हरजिंदर सिंह उर्फ बिट्टू के लापता होने के संबंध में भारतीय दंड संहिता, 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम सं० 45) की धारा 364 के अधीन के०अ० ब्यूरो, एससीबी, चंडीगढ़ में दर्ज मामला आरसी 2 (एस)/04/एससीबी/चंडीगढ़ दिनांक 2-6-2004 और उसी संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किए गए अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत उक्त अपराधों से संबंधित अथवा संसक्त किसी अन्य अपराध (अपराधों) के अन्वेषण के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार सम्पूर्ण बिहार राज्य पर करती है।

[फा० सं० 228/51/2004-ए० वी० डी०-II]

बी० आर० नायडु, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 10th November, 2004

S. O. 3024.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of State Government of Bihar, vide Home (Police) Department Notification No. 1/CBI/8010/2004 H (P)/9574/Patna dated 3-9-2004, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Bihar for investigation of case RC 2(S)/04/SCB/CHG dated 2-6-2004, u/s 364 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860) registered at CBI, SCB, Chandigarh, regarding missing of Harjinder Singh @ Bittoo and other offence (s) in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[F. No. 228/51/2004-AVD.-II]

B. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU, Director

नई दिल्ली, 10 नवम्बर, 2004

का०आ० 3025.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं० 2) की धारा 24 की उपधारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, निम्नलिखित अधिवक्ताओं को, दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना (सी०बी०आई०) द्वारा चलाये गये, जाली स्टाम्प पेपर के मुकदमों (तेलगी मामलों) में अभियोजन चलाने अथवा महाराष्ट्र राज्य में सक्षम फौजदारी अदालत के समक्ष वे मामले जो भारत के माननीय उच्चतम न्यायालय के निर्देशों के अनुसार केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो द्वारा हाथ में लिए गए हों, और विधि द्वारा स्थापित अपीलीय अथवा पुनरीक्षण न्यायालय में इन मामलों से उद्भूत अपीलों/पुनरीक्षणों अथवा अन्य मामलों का संचालन करने के लिए विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

1. श्री आर० बी० ठाकरे
2. श्री ए० एम० चिमालकर
3. श्री प्रदीप डी० धारत

[फा० सं० 225/18/2004-ए० वी० डी०-II]

बी० आर० नायडु, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 10th November, 2004

S. O. 3025.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints the following Advocates as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecution of Fake Stamp Paper cases (Telgi cases) instituted by Delhi Special Police Establishment (CBI) or cases which have been taken over by the Central Bureau of Investigation as per directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India before competent criminal court in the State of Maharashtra and appeals/revisions or other matters arising out of these cases in revisional or appellate courts established by law.

1. Shri R. B. Thakre
2. Shri A. M. Chimalkar
3. Shri Pradeep D. Gharat

[F. No. 225/18/2004-AVD.-II]

B. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU, Director

नई दिल्ली, 11 नवम्बर, 2004

का०आ० 3026.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना अधिनियम, 1946 (1946 का अधिनियम सं० 25) की धारा 6 के साथ पठित धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की अधिसूचना सं० एचडी 155 पीसीआर 2004 दिनांक 23-09-2004 द्वारा प्राप्त कर्नाटक राज्य सरकार की सहमति से (1) श्री के० सुब्रामान्या, डाक सहायक (निलंबनाधीन), एचएएल-II स्टेज एचपीओ, बंगलौर-560008 (2) श्री एम० कोल्लैया, डाक सहायक (निलंबनाधीन), एचएएल-II स्टेज एचपीओ, बंगलौर-560008 (3) श्री के० गांधी, डाक सहायक, इंदिरा नगर डाकघर, बंगलौर-560038 (4) श्री महेन्द्र पी० जैन, निवासी-3998, ज्वैलरी स्ट्रीट, शिवाजी नगर, बंगलौर (5) श्री नरसिम्हा, नं० 15/18, विवेकानंद नगर, कत्रीगुप्पे मेन रोड, बानाशंकरी-III स्टेज, बंगलौर-560085 तथा अन्य अज्ञात व्यक्तियों एवं किन्हीं अन्य लोक सेवकों अथवा व्यक्तियों के विरुद्ध 4 लाख रुपये मूल्य के किसान विकास पत्रों के गैर-कानूनी रूप से नकदीकरण कराने से संबंधित उनके कपटपूर्ण कृत्यों के लिए भारतीय दंड संहिता, 1860 (1860 का अधिनियम 45) की धारा 120-बी सपठित धारा 420, 467, 468 और 471 तथा भ्रष्टाचार निवारण अधिनियम 1988 (1988 का अधिनियम सं० 49) की धारा 13 (2) सपठित धारा 13 (1) (डी) के अधीन दंडनीय अपराधों तथा तत्संबंधी सारभूत अपराधों और उक्त अपराधों से संबंधित अथवा संसक्त उसी संव्यवहार के अनुक्रम में किए गए अथवा उन्हीं तथ्यों से उद्भूत किन्हीं अन्य अपराधों के अन्वेषण के लिए दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना के सदस्यों की शक्तियों और अधिकारिता का विस्तार सम्पूर्ण कर्नाटक राज्य पर करती है।

[फा० सं० 228/82/2004-ए० वी० डी०-II]

बी० आर० नायडु, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 11th November, 2004

S. O. 3026.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 read with Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (Act No. 25 of 1946), the Central Government with the consent of State Government of Karnataka, vide Notification No. HD 155 PCR 2004 dated 23-09-2004, hereby extends the powers and jurisdiction of the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment to the whole of the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under Section 120-B read with Section 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Act No. 45 of 1860) and Section 13(2) read with 13(1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (Act No. 49 of 1988) and substantive offences thereof against (1) Shri K. Subramanya, Postal Assistant (under suspension), HAL II Stage HPO, Bangalore-560008 (2) Shri M. Kollaiah, Postal Assistant (under suspension), HAL II Stage, HPO, Bangalore-560008 (3) Shri K. Gandhi, Postal Assistant, Indira Nagar Post Office, Bangalore-560038 (4) Shri Mahendra P. Jain, R/o 3998, Jewellery Street, Shivaji Nagar, Bangalore (5) Shri Narasimha, No. 15/18, Vivekananda Nagar, Katriguppe Main Road, Banashankri III Stage, Bangalore-560085, and unknown others, for their fraudulent acts of illegally getting encashed Kisan Vikas Patras Valued at Rs. 4 lakhs and any other public servants or persons in relation to, or in connection with the said offences, and any other offences committed in the course of the same transaction or arising out of the same facts.

[F. No. 228/82/2004-AVD.-II]

B. RAJAGOPAL NAIDU, Director

पर्यटन मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 5 नवम्बर, 2004

का०आ० 3027.—सार्वजनिक परिसर (अप्राधिकृत दखलकारों की बेदखली) अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों और दिनांक 16 नवम्बर, 2002 को भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित पर्यटन मंत्रालय में भारत सरकार की अधिसूचना सं० का०आ० 3595 दिनांक 6 नवम्बर 2002 का अधिक्रमण करते हुए, ऐसे अधिक्रमण से पूर्व किए गए, लोप करने वाले, जैसे को छोड़कर, भारत सरकार इसके द्वारा नीचे की सारणी के स्तंभ (1) में उल्लिखित अधिकारी को सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारी के बराबर रैंक के अधिकारी होने के नाते इस अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए संपदा अधिकारी के रूप में नियुक्त करती है और उक्त सारणी के स्तंभ (2) में तत्स्थानी प्रविष्टि में यथा विनिर्दिष्ट सार्वजनिक परिसर की स्थानीय सीमाओं को भी परिभाषित करती है, जिसमें उक्त संपदा अधिकारी उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधीन संपदा अधिकारों को प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेगा और सौंपे गए कार्यों को करेगा।

सारणी

अधिकारी का नाम	सार्वजनिक परिसर और क्षेत्राधिकार की स्थानीय सीमा
1	2
उप महाप्रबंधक (एटीटी-पूर्वी क्षेत्र) 3 जी एवरेस्ट बिल्डिंग, 46 सी जवाहरलाल नेहरू रोड, कोलकाता-700071	सभी परिसर जो भारत पर्यटन विकास निगम लिमिटेड के हैं, या उनके द्वारा पट्टे पर लिए गए हैं और पश्चिम बंगाल, बिहार, उड़ीसा और असम राज्यों में स्थित हैं।

[सं० 6/21/91-पी एस यू (टी)]

रेनू जैन, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF TOURISM

New Delhi, the 5th November, 2004

S. O. 3027.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of unauthorized occupants) Act 1971 (40 of 1971) and in supersession of the Notification of Government of India in the Ministry of Tourism, No. S.O. 3595 dated, the 6th November, 2002 published in the Gazette of India dated, the 16th November, 2002, except as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Government of India hereby appoints the officer mentioned in column (i) of the table below, being the officer equivalent to the rank of Gazetted Officer of the Government to be the Estate Officer for the purposes of this Act and also defines of the local limit of public premises, as specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said table, in respect of which the said Estate Officer shall exercise the powers conferred, and perform duties imposed, on the Estate Officer by or under the said Act.

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Categories of public premises and local limits of the jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
Deputy General Manager (ATT-Eastern Region), India Tourism Development Corporation Ltd., 3G Everest Building, 46C Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Kolkata-700071.	All premises belonging to or taken on lease by India Tourism Development Corporation Ltd. and situated in the States of West Bengal, Bihar, Orissa and Assam.

[No. 6/21/91-PSU(T)]

RENU JAIN, Under Secy.

स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

(स्वास्थ्य विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 16 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3028.—भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद् अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 102) की धारा 11 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्र सरकार भारतीय चिकित्सा परिषद् से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम की प्रथम अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

उक्त अनुसूची में "राजस्थान विश्वविद्यालय" के सामने, 'मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सीय अर्हता' शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत [इसके पश्चात् कालम (2) के रूप में उल्लिखित] 'पंजीयन हेतु संक्षिप्त रूप' [इसके पश्चात् कालम (3) के रूप में उल्लिखित] शीर्षक के अन्तर्गत अन्तिम प्रविष्टि और उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टि के बाद निम्नलिखित जोड़ा जाएगा, नामत :—

(2)	(3)
"मजिस्ट्रार चिरूरगिए (कार्डियो थोरेसिस सर्जरी)	एम.सी.एच. (सी टी एस) (यह एक मान्यताप्राप्त चिकित्सा अर्हता होगी जब यह एस.एम.एस., मेडिकल कालेज, जयपुर में प्रशिक्षित छात्रों के संबंध में जून, 1998 अथवा इसके बाद प्रदान की गई हो)"

[सं० वी० 11015/16/2004-एम ई (नीति-1)]

पी.जी. कलाधरण, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 16th November, 2004

S. O. 3028.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (2) of the Section 11 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 (102 of 1956), the Central Government, after consulting the Medical Council of India, hereby makes the following further amendments in the First Schedule to the said Act, namely :—

In the said Schedule against the "Rajasthan University", under the heading "Recognised Medical Qualification" [hereinafter referred to as column (2)], after the last entry and entry relating thereto under the heading 'Abbreviation for Registration' [hereinafter referred to as column (3)], the following shall be inserted, namely :—

(2)	(3)
"Magistrar Chirurgiae (Cardio-Thoracic Surgery)	M. Ch. (CTS) (This shall be a recognized medical qualification when granted in or after June, 1998 in respect of Students trained at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur)".

[No. V. 11015/16/2004-ME (Policy-I)]

P. G. KALADHARAN, Under Secy.

रेल मंत्रालय

(रेलवे बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली, 14 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3029.—रेल मंत्रालय (रेलवे बोर्ड), राजभाषा नियम, 1976 (संघ के शासकीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) के नियम 10 के उपनियम (2) और (4) के अनुसरण में उत्तर रेलवे के निम्नलिखित रेल कार्यालयों को, जहां 80% से अधिक अधिकारियों/कर्मचारियों ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को एतद्द्वारा अधिसूचित करता है।

उत्तर रेलवे

1. उप मुख्य सिगनल एवं दूरसंचार इंजीनियर (माइक्रोवेव), मंडल रेल प्रबंधक कार्यालय, नई दिल्ली।
2. कारखाना बिजली इंजीनियर, बिजली वर्कशाप, दयाबस्ती।
3. वरिष्ठ इंजीनियर, बट वैल्डिंग प्लांट, मेरठ कैंट।
4. मुख्य वाणिज्य प्रबंधक/यात्री प्रबंधन, आई.आर.सी.ए. बिल्डिंग, नई दिल्ली।
5. जगजीवन राम रेलवे सुरक्षा बल अकादमी, लखनऊ।

[सं. हिन्दी-2003/रा.भा. 1/12/3 पार्ट]

वी. एन. माथुर, सचिव

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

(Railway Board)

New Delhi, the 14th October, 2004

S. O. 3029.—Ministry of Railways (Railway Board), in pursuance of Sub-rules (2) and (4) of Rule 10 of the Official Language Rules, 1976 (use for the official purposes of the Union) hereby, notify the following Offices of Northern Railway, where 80% or more Officers/Employees have acquired the working knowledge of Hindi :—

Northern Railway

1. Dy. Chief Signal and Telecommunications Engineer (Microwave), DRM Office, New Delhi.
2. Workshop Electrical Engineer, Electrical Workshop, Dayabasti.
3. Senior Engineer, But Welding Plant, Meerut Cantt.
4. Chief Commercial Manager/PM/IRCA Building, New Delhi.
5. Jagjivan Ram R.P.F. Academy, Lucknow.

[No. Hindi-2003/O.L. 1/12/3/Part]

V. N. MATHUR, Secy.

कोयला और खान मंत्रालय
(कोयला विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 19 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3030.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कोयला धारक क्षेत्र (अर्जन एवं विकास) अधिनियम, 1957 (1957 का 20) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 7 की उप धारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के कोयला मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 344 तारीख 5 फरवरी, 2004 जो भारत के राजपत्र, भाग II खण्ड - 3, उप खण्ड (ii) तारीख 14 फरवरी, 2004 में प्रकाशित की गई थी, द्वारा उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि में जिसका माप 256.04 हेक्टर (लगभग) है के अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की सूचना दी थी ;

और उक्त अधिसूचना में विनिर्दिष्ट परिक्षेत्र की भूमि के अर्जन पर अधिनियम की धारा 8 के अधीन कोई आपत्ति नहीं की गई हैं

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का झारखण्ड सरकार से परामर्श करने के पश्चात् यह समाधान हो गया है कि नीचे अनुसूची में वर्णित 256.04 हेक्टर (लगभग) माप वाली भूमि अर्जित की जानी चाहिए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित 256.04 हेक्टर (लगभग) माप वाली भूमि अर्जित की जाती है ।

इस अधिसूचना के अंतर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक सं. ई.सी.एल./हुरा/मौजा/06 तारीख 16 फरवरी, 2004 का उप आयुक्त, जिला - गोड्डा (झारखण्ड) के कार्यालय में या कोयला नियंत्रक, 1, काउंसिल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कोलकाता 700001 के कार्यालय में या निदेशक तकनीकी (प्लानिंग एण्ड प्रोजेक्ट्स), ईस्टर्न कोलफील्ड्स लि. सेंकटोरिया, डाकघर - दिसेरगढ़ , जिला - बर्दमान (प. बगांल) पिन कोड सं0 713333 के कार्यालय में किया जा सकता है ।

अनुसूची

हुरा- 'सी'- प्रोजेक्ट (राजमहल क्षेत्र)
जिला - गौड़ा (झारखण्ड)

क्रम सं0	मौजा/ग्राम का नाम	थाना सं0	थाना	जिला	क्षेत्र (हेक्टेयर) (लगभग)	टिप्पणियां
1.	रबियाडीह	713	महागामा	गोड्डा	8.27	भाग
2.	रक्षाकिट्टा	714	महागामा	गोड्डा	14.91	भाग
3.	डुमरिया	01	बी.डब्लू.-सिमरा- II	गोड्डा	165.67	भाग
4.	हारकट्टा	04	बी.डब्लू.-सिमरा- II	गोड्डा	6.32	भाग
5.	पंचरूखी	14	बी.डब्लू.-सिमरा- II	गोड्डा	60.87	भाग
				कुलक्षेत्र -	256.04	

कुलक्षेत्र -256.04 हैक्टेयर

मौजा - रबियाडीह संख्या - 713 में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या

185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235

मौजा - रक्षाकिट्टा संख्या - 714 में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या

164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 240(भाग).

मौजा - डुमरिया संख्या - 1 में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 45/1228, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 54/1229, 55, 56, 57, 58, 64 (भाग), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116 (भाग), 116/1230, 116/1231, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 135 (भाग), 171, 172, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295,

296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 421/1235, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 429/1236, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 481(भाग), 482 (भाग), 489 (भाग), 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 543/1238, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595 (भाग), 595/1294 .732(भाग), 879, 880, 880/1239, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 996(भाग), 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1014(भाग), 1015(भाग), 1016(भाग), 1017(भाग), 1040(भाग), 1041(भाग), 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1076, 1077, 1078, 1079, 1080, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1089, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103, 1104, 1105, 1106, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110, 1111, 1112, 1113, 1114, 1115, 1116, 1117, 1118, 1119, 1120, 1121, 1122, 1123, 1124, 1125, 1126, 1127, 1128, 1129, 1130, 1131, 1132, 1133, 1134, 1135, 1136, 1137, 1138, 1139, 1140, 1141, 1142, 1143, 1144, 1145, 1146, 1146/1240, 1147, 1148, 1149, 1150, 1151, 1152, 1153, 1154, 1155, 1156, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1160, 1161, 1162, 1163, 1164, 1165, 1166, 1167, 1168, 1169, 1170, 1171, 1172, 1173, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1177, 1178(भाग), 1179, 1180, 1181, 1182, 1183, 1184, 1185, 1186, 1187, 1188, 1189, 1191, 1192, 1193, 1194, 1195, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199, 1200, 1201, 1202, 1203, 1204, 1205, 1206, 1207, 1208, 1209, 1210, 1211, 1213, 1214, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1218, 1219, 1220, 1221, 1222, 1223, 1224, 1225, 1226.

मौजा - हारकट्टा संख्या - 4 में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या

354(भाग), 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523,

मौजा - पंचरूखी संख्या - 14 में अर्जित किए जाने वाले प्लाट संख्या

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 41/342, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135,

मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 और पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ साथ जाती है और क-10 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क10-क11 रेखा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट संख्या - 326 के पश्चिमी और दक्षिणी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-11 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क11 - क12 रेखा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट संख्या - 282 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-12 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क12-क13 रेखा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट संख्या - 282 के पूर्वी, उत्तरी एवं पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है और ए-13 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क13-क14 रेखा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट संख्या - 282 के उत्तरी पश्चिमी एवं दक्षिणी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-14 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क14-क15 रेखा मौजा - पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 और डुमरिया संख्या - 1 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-15 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क15-क16 रेखा मौजा- डुमरिया संख्या - 1 की प्लाट संख्या 1178 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या 1178 के पश्चिमी और दक्षिणी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या 732 से होकर जाती है मौजा डुमरिया के प्लाट संख्या - 1227 के पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-16 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क16-क17-क18 रेखा मौजा- डुमरिया संख्या - 1 प्लाट संख्या - 1227 के दक्षिणी और पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 1227 के दक्षिणी और पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-18 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क18-क19 रेखा मौजा- डुमरिया संख्या - 1 और हाड़कट्टा संख्या - 4 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ जाती है और हाड़कट्टा संख्या - 4, प्लाट संख्या - 503, 505, 509 की पुर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है एवं प्लाट संख्या - 510, 511 के पूर्वी और दक्षिणी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-19 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क19-क20 रेखा मौजा- हाड़कट्टा संख्या - 4 के प्लाट संख्या - 512, 514, 530, 527, 526, 524, 495 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है प्लाट संख्या - 354, 353 होकर जाती है और क-20 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क20-क21 रेखा मौजा - डुमरिया संख्या -1 के प्लाट संख्या - 1040, 1041 से होकर जाती है एवं प्लाट संख्या - 1039, 1038, 1037, 1033 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 1032 की पूर्वी रेखा से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या 1056 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या 1018 की उत्तरी रेखा से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 1016, 1015, 1014, 996 से होकर जाती हे और 997 के पूर्वी रेखा से होकर जाती है । प्लाट संख्या - 1006 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा से होकर जाती है ।

136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 299, 300, 301, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 343,

सीमा विवरण

क1 - क2	रेखा प्लाट संख्या 194 मौजा- रबियाडीह संख्या 713 के के पश्चिमी और दक्षिणी बिन्दु से आरम्भ होती है और प्लाट संख्या 195 की दक्षिणी रेखा के साथ साथ गुजरती है और क-2 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।
क2 - क3	रेखा मौजा - तेतारिया संख्या -31 और रबियाडीह संख्या - 713 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा से होकर जाती है तथा मौजा - तेतारिया संख्या - 31, रबियाडीह संख्या - 713 और डुमरिया संख्या - 1 की त्रिसीमा रेखा के क-3 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।
क3 - क4	रेखा मौजा- तेतारिया संख्या - 31 और डुमरिया संख्या -1 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा से होकर जाती है तथा मौजा - तेतारिया संख्या - 31, डुमरिया संख्या - 1 और पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32 की त्रि सीमा पंक्ति के क-4 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।
क4 - क5	रेखा मौजा- पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32 और डुमरिया संख्या -1 की संयुक्त सीमा के साथ जाती है और मौजा पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32, डुमरिया संख्या - 1 और मौजा पहाड़पुर डुमरिया और पाँचरूखी त्रिसीमा रेखा के क-5 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।
क5 - क6	रेखा मौजा- पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32 और पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 की संयुक्त सीमा के साथ जाती है और क-6 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।
क6-क7-क8	रेखा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 प्लाट संख्या - 127, 141, 249 की पश्चिमी दक्षिणी और पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है और मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ जाती है तथा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 और पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ जाती है, मौजा- पाँचरूखी के प्लाट संख्या - 298 की पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-8 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।
क8-क9-क10	रेखा मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट संख्या - 298 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है, और मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट सं0 302 की दक्षिणी उत्तरी और पश्चिमी और पहाड़पुर संख्या - 32 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ जाती है । मौजा- पाँचरूखी संख्या - 14 के प्लाट संख्या - 302 की दक्षिणी उत्तरी और पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है, रेखा प्लॉट संख्या - 306 की पश्चिमी दक्षिणी और पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है,

प्लाट संख्या - 1005, 1003, 1002, 1001, 1000, 999 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 732 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 889 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 888, 887, 878 की उत्तरी रेखा से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 611 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा से होकर जाती है और प्लाट संख्या - 610 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 595 से होकर जाती है और क-21 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क21-क22 रेखा मौजा-डुमरिया संख्या - 1 के प्लाट संख्या - 601, 600 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 597, 596 की दक्षिणी रेखा से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 481, 482, 489 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 491 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 490 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 487, 486 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 456, 457 की उत्तरी पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 483 की पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 482, 465, 466 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, मौजा - डुमरिया संख्या - 1 और छोटा खडहरा संख्या - 2 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-22 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क22-क23 रेखा मौजा - डुमरिया संख्या - 1 के प्लाट संख्या - 195, 194, 180, 170, 169 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है, और प्लाट संख्या - 135 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 173, 127, 128 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-23 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क23-क24 रेखा मौजा - डुमरिया संख्या - 1 के प्लाट संख्या - 128, 129 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 1232 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 116, 64, से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 64 की उत्तरी और पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 61 की उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 59 की पूर्वी और उत्तरी रेखा के साथ जाती है और मौजा - रक्साकिटा संख्या - 714 की संयुक्त सीमा रेखा से होकर जाती है, मौजा रक्साकिटा संख्या - 714 की प्लाट संख्या - 240 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 199 की उत्तरी और पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 201 से होकर जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 238 के उत्तरी और पश्चिमी रेखा के साथ जाती है, प्लाट संख्या - 236, 235, 234, 231, 230, 229 की दक्षिणी रेखा के साथ जाती है और क-24 बिन्दु पर मिलती है ।

क24-क1 रेखा मौजा - रक्साकिटा संख्या - 714 की प्लाट संख्या - 163 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है और रवियाडीह संख्या - 713 के संयुक्त सीमा रेखा से होकर जाती है, मौजा - रवियाडीह में प्लाट संख्या - 184 की पूर्वी रेखा के साथ जाती है और आरंभिक बिन्दु क-1 पर मिलती है ।

[फा. सं. -43015/5/2003-पी.आर.आई. डब्ल्यू.]

बी. के. पण्डा, निदेशक

Ministry of Coal and Mines
(Department of Coal)
New Delhi, the 19th November, 2004

S. O. 3030.— Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Coal number S.O. 334 dated the 5th February, 2004 issued under sub-section (1) of section. 7 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957, (20 of 1957) and published in the Gazette of India, under Part II, section 3, sub-section (ii) dated the 14th February, 2004, the Central Government gave notice of its intention to acquire the lands measuring 256.04 hectares (approximately) of lands in the locality specified in the Schedule annexed to that notification;

And, whereas, no objection was made under section 8 of the Act to the acquisition of the lands in the locality specified in the said notification;

And, whereas, the Central Government after consulting the Government of Jharkhand is satisfied that, the lands measuring 256.04 hectares (approximately) of lands described in the Schedule below should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the lands measuring 256.04 hectares (approximately) described in the said schedule are hereby acquired.

2. The plan bearing number ECL/HURRA/MOUZA/06 dated 16.04.04 of the area covered by this notification may be inspected in the office of the Dy. Commissioner, District, Godda(Jharkhand), or in the office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Kolkata -700 001, or in the office of the Director Technical (Planning & Project), Eastern Coalfields Limited, Sanctoria, Post Office - Dishergarh, Dist. Burdwan (West Bengal), Pin Code No. - 713 333.

Schedule

Hurrah 'C' Project of Rajmahal Area
District Godda (Jharkhand)

Sl. no.	Mouza/village	Thana no.	Police station	District	Area (In hectare)	Remarks
1	Rabiadih	713	Mahagama	Godda	8.27	Part
2	Rakshakitta	714	Mahagama	Godda	14.91	Part
3	Dumaria	01	BW-Simra-II	Godda	165.67	Part
4	Harkatta	04	BW-Simra-II	Godda	6.32	Part
5	Pachrukhi	14	BW-Simra-II	Godda	60.87	Part
				Total :	256.04	

Total : 256.04 hectares

Land Schedule

Hurrah 'C' Project, Rajmahal Coalfields

Plot numbers (as given below) to be acquired in mouza Rabiadih No. 713

185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235

Plot Nos.(As Given Below) to be Acquired In Mouza – Raksakitta No. 714

164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 240(P).

Plot Nos.(As Given Below) to be Acquired In Mouza- Dumaria No. 1

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 45/1228, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 54/1229, 55, 56, 57, 58, 64(P), 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116(P), 116/1230, 116/1231, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 135(P), 171, 172, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 421/1235, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 429/1236, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 481(P), 482(P), 489(P), 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542,

543, 543/1238, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595(P), 595/1294. 732(P), 879, 880, 880/1239, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 996(P), 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1014(P), 1015(P), 1016(P), 1017(P), 1040(P), 1041(P), 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1076, 1077, 1078, 1079, 1080, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1089, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103, 1104, 1105, 1106, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110, 1111, 1112, 1113, 1114, 1115, 1116, 1117, 1118, 1119, 1120, 1121, 1122, 1123, 1124, 1125, 1126, 1127, 1128, 1129, 1130, 1131, 1132, 1133, 1134, 1135, 1136, 1137, 1138, 1139, 1140, 1141, 1142, 1143, 1144, 1145, 1146, 1146/1240, 1147, 1148, 1149, 1150, 1151, 1152, 1153, 1154, 1155, 1156, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1160, 1161, 1162, 1163, 1164, 1165, 1166, 1167, 1168, 1169, 1170, 1171, 1172, 1173, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1177, 1178(P), 1179, 1180, 1181, 1182, 1183, 1184, 1185, 1186, 1187, 1188, 1189, 1191, 1192, 1193, 1194, 1195, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199, 1200, 1201, 1202, 1203, 1204, 1205, 1206, 1207, 1208, 1209, 1210, 1211, 1213, 1214, 1215, 1216, 1217, 1218, 1219, 1220, 1221, 1222, 1223, 1224, 1225, 1226.

Plot Nos.(As Given Below) to be Acquired
In Mouza – Harkatta No. 4

354(P), 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523,

Plot Nos.(As Given Below) to be Acquired
In Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 41/342, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137,138, 139, 140, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 299, 300, 301, 303, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 343,

Boundary Description:

A1-A2	Line starts from western & southern point of plot No. 194 and passes along with southern line of plot No. 195 of Mouza – Rabiadih No. 713 and meets point A-2 as delineated on plan.
A2-A3	Line passes through joint boundary line of Mouza – Tetaria No. 31 Rabiadih No. 713 and meets at Point A-3 of trio-boundary line of mouza – Tetaria No. 31, Rabiadih No. 713 and Dumaria No. 1 as delineated on plan.
A3-A4	Line passes through joint boundary line of Mouza – Tetaria No. 31 and Dumaria No. 1 and meets at Point **A**-4 of trio-boundary line of mouza – Tetaria No. 31, Dumaria No. 1 & Paharpur No. 32 as delineated on plan.
A4-A5	Line passes along with joint boundary line of Mouza – Paharpur No. 32 and Dumaria No. 1 and meets at Point A-5 of Trio-boundary line of Mouza-Paharpur, Dumaria & Pachrukhi as delineated on plan.
A5-A6	Line passes along with joint boundary line of Mouza - Paharpur No.32 and Pachrukhi No. 14 and meets at point A-6 as delineated on the plan.
A6-A7-A8	Line passes along with western southern and eastern line of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 plot No. 127, 141, 249 and passes along with joint boundary line of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 and Paharpur No. 32 and passes along with western line of Plot No. 298 of Mouza Pachrukhi and meets at Point A-8 as delineated on the plan.
A8-A9-A10	Line passes along with eastern line of plot No. 298 of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 and passes along with southern northern & western line of plot No. 302 of Mouza – Pachrukhi No.14, line passes along with western, southern and eastern line of plot No. 306 passes along with joint boundary of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 and Paharpur No. 32 and meets at Point A-10 as delineated on the plan.
A10-A11	Line passes along with western and southern line of plot No. 326 of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 and meets at Point at **A**-11 as delineated on the plan.
A11-A12	Line passes along with northern line of plot No. 282 of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 and meets at Point at A-12 as delineated on the plan.
A12-A13	Line passes along with eastern, northern & western line of plot No. 282 of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 and meets at Point at **A**-13 as delineated on the plan.
A13-A14	Line passes along with northern, western and southern line of plot No. 282 of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 meets at Point at A-14 as delineated on the plan.
A14-A15	Line passes along with the joint boundary line of Mouza – Pachrukhi No. 14 & Dumaria No. 1 and meets at Point at A-15 as delineated on the plan.

A15-A16- Line passes through Plot No. 1178 of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1, passes along with western and southern line of plot No. 1178, passes through Plot No. 732 passes along with western line of Plot No. 1227 of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1 and meets at Point at A-16 as delineated on the plan.

A16-A17-A18 Line passes along with southern and eastern line of plot No. 1227 of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1 passes along with the southern and western line of plot No. 1227 and meets at point A-18 as delineated on the plan.

A18-A19 Line passes along with the joint boundary line of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1 and Mouza- Harkatta No. 4 passes along with eastern line of Plot No. 503, 505, 509 of Mouza – Harkatta No. 4 passes along with eastern and southern line of plot No. 510, 511 and meets at point at A-19 as delineated on the plan.

A19-A20 Line passes along with northern line of plot No. 512, 514, 530, 527, 526, 524, 495 of Mouza – Harkatta No. 4 and passes through Plot No. 354, 353 and meets at Point A-20 as delineated on the plan.

A20-A21 Line passes through Plot No. 1040, 1041 of Mouza- Dumaria No.1, passes along with northern line of plot No. 1039, 1038, 1037, 1033 passes through eastern line of Plot No. 1032, passes along with eastern and northern line of Plot No. 1056, passes through northern line of plot No. 1018, passes through Plot No. 1016, 1015, 1014, 996, passes through eastern line of Plot No. 997, passes through eastern and northern line of Plot No. 1006, passes along with northern line of Plot No. 1005, 1003, 1002, 1001, 1000, 999, passes through Plot No. 732, passes along with eastern and northern line of plot No. 889, passes through northern line of Plot No. 888, 887, 878, passes through eastern and northern line of plot No. 611, passes through plot No. 610, passes through plot No. 595 and meets at point at A-21 as delineated on the plan.

A21-A22 Line passes along with eastern line of plot No. 601, 600 of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1, passes through southern line of Plot No. 597, 596, passes through plot No. 481, 482, 489, passes along with eastern line of plot No. 491, passes along with eastern and northern line of plot No. 490, passes along with northern line of plot No. 487, 486, passes along with northern and western line of plot No. 456, 457, passes along with western line of Plot No. 483, passes along with northern line of plot No. 482, 465, 466, passes along with joint boundary line of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1 and Chota – Khadhara No. 2 and meets at point at A-22 as delineated on the plan.

A22-A23 Line passes along with eastern line of 195, 194, 180, 170, 169 of Mouza – Dumaria No.1, passes through Plot No. 135, passes along with eastern line of plot No. 173, 127, 128 and meets at point at A-23 as delineated on the plan.

A23-A24 Line passes along with northern line of Plot No. 128, 129, passes along with eastern and northern line of plot No. 1232, passes through plot No. 116, 64, passes along with northern and western line of plot No. 64, passes along with

northern line of plot No. 61, passes along with eastern and northern line of plot No. 59, passes along with Joint boundary line of Mouza – Dumaria No. 1 and Raksakitta No. 714, passes through Plot No. 240 of Mouza Raksakitta No. 714, passes along with northern and western line of plot No. 199, passes through plot No. 201, passes along with northern and western line of plot No. 238, passes through southern line of Plot No. 236, 235, 234, 231, 230, 229 and meets at point at A-24 as delineated on the plan.

A24-A1 Line passes along with eastern line of plot No. 163 of Mouza Raksakitta No. 714 and passes through joint boundary line of Mouza – Raksakitta No. 714 and Rabiadih No. 713, passes through eastern line of Plot No. 184 of Mouza-Rabiadih No. 713 and meets at starting point at A-1 as delineated on the plan.

[No. 43015/5/2003-P.R.I.W.]
B. K. PANDA, Director

पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3031.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 1836 तारीख 1 जुलाई, 2003, द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में, मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड की संप्रवर्तक कम्पनी मेसर्स रिलाएंस इण्डस्ट्रीज कम्पनी लिमिटेड के गोवा में उत्तरी/दक्षिणी अपतट में खोज ब्लाकों और आन्ध्रप्रदेश में संरचनाओ से आन्ध्रप्रदेश राज्य में नलगोडा जिले के विभिन्न उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी;

और उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 21 जुलाई, 2003 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी

और पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में, जनता की और से प्राप्त आक्षेपों पर सक्षम प्राधिकारी द्वारा विचार कर लिया गया है और अनुज्ञात कर दिया गया;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार ने, उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात, और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने का विनिश्चिय किया है ; अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाए, सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त, गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

अनुसूची

मंडल : चिव्वेमला | जिल्ला : नलगोंडा | राज्य : आन्ध्र प्रदेश

गांव का नाम	सर्वे सं. /सब डिविजन सं.		अर्जित करने के लिए प्रस्तावित क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	एर	सि एर
1	2		3	4	5
1) वीरवागूडेम्	106		0	15	60
	14		0	11	20
	41		0	56	00
	71		0	47	70
	72		0	58	40
	सर्वे सं 41 और 14 के बीच में	CL	0	06	70
Total	**6**		**1**	**95**	**60**
2) चिव्वेमला	301		0	01	20
	302		0	42	85
	318		0	67	40
	319		0	51	85
	320		0	43	05
	328		0	89	35
	332		0	70	30
	333		0	52	70
	334		0	18	70
	334 Part	CL	0	04	35
	442		0	01	80
	443		0	03	70
	444		0	35	65
	445		0	28	30
	446		0	14	15
	447		0	29	60
	449		0	36	70
	450		0	23	75
	454		0	23	90
	455		0	63	55
	456		0	50	10
	456 Part	CL	0	04	00
	463		0	80	45
	470		0	60	65
	472		0	38	65
	555		0	27	50
	557		0	04	30
	565		0	01	85
	566		0	01	20
	569		0	30	35
	570		0	85	35
	572		0	30	55
	573		0	94	40
	590		0	46	05
	591		0	27	45
	592		0	61	10
	596		0	52	50
	597	CL	0	74	50
	सर्वे सं 456 और 463 के बीच में	CL	0	08	85
	सर्वे सं 472 और 597 के बीच में	CL	0	07	50
Total	**40**		**14**	**90**	**45**

1	2		3	4	5
3) कुडकुडा	100		0	00	15
	101		0	46	10
	106		0	44	35
	108		0	21	10
	137		0	03	70
	139		1	06	55
	139 Part	CL	0	08	85
	151		0	42	65
	151 Part	CL	0	44	45
	214		0	74	00
	225		0	66	75
	227		0	32	05
	228		0	39	40
	241		0	46	90
	243		0	28	40
	244		0	01	70
	247		0	22	00
	247 Part	CL	0	02	40
	248		0	07	20
	249		0	07	50
	266		0	70	35
	267		0	09	60
	279		0	55	90
	287		0	22	45
	288		0	17	00
	289		0	29	70
	290		0	16	00
	56		0	15	95
	57		0	22	05
	58		0	16	20
	59		0	01	75
	61		0	05	95
	62		0	24	70
	63		0	04	10
	66		0	13	10
	81		0	21	35
	82		0	13	20
	83		1	14	85
	83 Part	CL	0	04	50
	89		0	42	90
	90		0	51	30
Total	**41**		**12**	**19**	**10**
4) तिम्मापुरम्	103		0	77	45
	106		0	02	20
	107		0	27	15
	133		0	59	70
	133 Part	CL	0	04	50
	134		0	37	70
	134 Part	CL	0	02	25
	168		0	28	00
	169		0	25	90
	173		0	29	20
	176		0	57	65
	178		0	36	25

1	2		3	4	5
4) निम्मापुरम् (निरंतर)	246		0	50	90
	247		0	27	55
	249		0	63	75
	262		0	22	20
	276		0	53	30
	277		0	10	85
	278		0	07	95
	279		0	06	40
	280		0	36	80
	281		0	00	15
	283		0	11	35
	56		0	28	25
	57		0	42	20
	58		0	57	00
	93		0	37	20
	94		0	39	80
	सर्वे सं 249 और247 का बीच में	CL	0	06	10
	सर्वे सं 56 और 67 का बीच में	CL	0	07	70
	सर्वे सं178 और262 का बीच में	CL	0	08	05
Total	**31**		**9**	**05**	**45**
5) वट्टिखम्मपाहाड	641		0	39	90
	643		0	22	20
	644		0	95	05
	648		0	56	55
	650		0	00	45
	652		0	43	90
	653		0	57	75
	654		0	28	95
	गॉव सीमा और 643 का बीच में	CL	0	12	10
Total	**9**		**3**	**56**	**85**
मंडल : केतेपाल्लि	**जिल्ला : नलगोंडा**				**राज्य : आन्ध्रा प्रदेश**
1) गुडिवाडा	115		0	14	40
	116		0	99	20
	148		0	59	20
	149		0	42	05
	150		0	09	55
	154		0	45	60
	155		0	14	95
	156		0	14	10
	163		1	14	35
	164		0	47	25
	168		0	19	00
	169		0	61	75
	269		0	16	05
	270		0	82	05
	272		0	11	10
	81		0	21	90
	82		0	07	55
	83		0	37	05
	84		0	04	40
	85		0	09	50
	92		0	16	50
	93		0	32	90
	95		0	19	50

1	2		3	4	5
1) गुडिवाडा (निरंतर)	96		0	47	95
	98		0	97	75
	सर्वे सं 64 और 168 का बीच में	CL	0	08	75
Total	**26**		**9**	**54**	**35**
2) कासनगूडा	134		0	03	20
	156		0	54	85
	160		0	46	30
	161		0	43	85
	162		0	68	80
	168		0	34	55
	169		0	40	30
	175		0	12	95
	176		0	51	40
	178		0	46	55
	178 Part	CL	0	10	50
	180		1	09	70
	187		0	80	55
	188		0	69	40
	190		0	83	15
	233		0	02	25
	गॉव सीमा और156 का बीच में	CL	0	55	30
Total	**17**		**8**	**13**	**60**
मंडल : मोते	**जिल्ला : नलगोंडा**			**राज्य : आन्ध्रा प्रदेश**	
1) नामावरम्	149		0	75	15
	150		0	01	40
	151		0	62	30
	152		0	58	10
	155/11	CL	0	03	45
	219		0	00	10
	220		0	28	25
	221		0	29	35
	222		0	01	80
	223		0	13	00
	227		0	21	75
	228		0	05	60
	233		0	04	20
	234		0	07	00
	236		0	12	95
	237		0	16	75
	240		0	00	55
	545		0	31	65
	548		0	32	55
	549		0	23	25
	550		0	22	05
	551		0	00	10
	552		0	39	60
	553		0	46	75
	554		0	33	10
	555		0	60	75
	568	CL	0	00	60
	569		0	75	45
	570		0	87	55
	570 Part	CL	0	03	90

1	2		3	4	5
1) नामावरम् (निरतर)	571		0	35	95
	721		0	27	25
	722		0	44	45
	724		0	18	35
	726		0	69	85
	729		1	06	95
	731		0	02	20
	738		0	50	65
	739		0	26	65
	744		0	43	10
	750		0	68	00
	752		0	39	85
	768		0	05	20
	770		0	84	90
	771		0	02	20
	773		0	65	45
	779		0	67	50
	780		0	58	10
	870		0	00	65
Total	**49**		**16**	**16**	**25**
मंडल ः मुनगाला	जिल्ला ः नलगोंडा			राज्य ः आन्ध्रा प्रदेश	
1) कलकोवा	171		0	58	90
	173		0	00	10
	174		0	34	20
	175		0	02	00
	177	CL	0	03	85
	178	CL	0	00	15
	179		0	46	75
	181		0	09	00
	182/1	CL	0	06	35
	182/2		0	43	90
	183/4		0	14	65
	184		0	39	20
	190		0	47	75
	191/3		0	41	65
	193	CL	0	05	00
	194	CL	0	00	80
	195		0	00	10
	247/1		0	06	55
	248/2		0	96	30
	251/1		0	00	20
	251/2		0	00	95
	251/6		0	03	15
	251/7		0	00	25
	252/3		0	06	05
	252/4		0	24	05
	252/5		0	01	60
	252/6		0	01	80
	253/1		0	01	80
	253/2		0	13	50
	253/3		0	20	30
	254/2		0	01	00
	255/1		0	33	65
	256/2		0	30	85

1	2		3	4	5
1) कलकोवा (निरंतर)	260/1		0	32	60
	260/2		0	14	85
	261/1		0	07	70
	261/2		0	04	45
	261/3		0	18	85
	261/4		0	43	75
	261/5		0	03	05
	269/1		0	42	60
	269/2		0	04	75
	269/3		0	03	70
Total	**43**		**7**	**72**	**65**
2) मुनगाला	12/1		0	15	40
	12/2		0	01	25
	121/1		0	39	60
	122/1		0	02	75
	122/2		0	72	45
	125	CL	0	03	35
	126/2		0	24	90
	127/1		0	09	30
	127/3		0	10	50
	127/4		0	17	30
	128		0	08	20
	16/1		1	10	50
	17/2		0	04	00
	17/3		0	68	70
	20/1		0	46	45
	20/2	CL	0	02	50
	21	CL	0	06	50
	23/1		0	10	40
	23/2		0	03	00
	23/3		0	23	95
	24/2		0	00	10
	24/3		0	24	20
	25/1		0	11	90
	25/2		0	10	35
	26/3		0	16	75
	68		0	01	95
	74		0	09	00
	75	CL	0	50	10
	77/1		0	27	85
	77/2		0	26	45
	77/3		0	24	70
	77/4		0	24	80
	79	CL	0	04	90
	81/1		0	02	10
	81/2		0	45	60
Total	**35**		**7**	**61**	**75**
3) रेपाला	1014/1		0	37	40
	1014/2		0	18	15
	1015/2		0	37	60
	1017/2		0	59	35
	1017/3		0	00	35
	1018		0	62	55
	1022/4		0	02	80

1	2		3	4	5
3) रेपाला (निरन्तर)	1023/3		0	02	10
	1027/2		0	24	05
	1028		0	18	55
	1029		0	19	20
	1030		0	15	80
	1031/1		0	06	45
	1031/2		0	23	25
	1050/1		0	73	15
	1050/2		0	04	10
	1051	CL	0	05	80
	1052/1	CL	0	01	10
	1052/2		0	02	65
	1052/5		0	14	55
	1053/1		0	19	75
	1070/1		0	00	40
	1071/1		0	38	25
	660/1	CL	0	06	20
	661		0	31	45
	663/2		0	00	10
	663/3		0	03	05
	663/5		0	08	55
	663/6		0	21	40
	663/7		0	07	05
	663/8		0	00	10
	667		0	69	85
	668		0	61	30
	669/2		0	65	40
	669/3	CL	0	03	25
	670	CL	0	04	40
	671/1	CL	0	01	75
	708	CL	0	04	35
	709/1		0	00	10
	709/2	CL	0	23	45
	710/4		0	37	90
	717/1		0	14	35
	717/2		0	00	40
	717/3	CL	0	03	45
	717/4		0	23	40
	718/1		0	53	20
	720		0	27	60
	721		0	33	65
	723		0	07	50
	966/1	CL	0	02	70
	966/6		0	22	30
	967		0	00	60
	972/2		0	47	50
	972/3		0	04	50
	973/2		0	80	35
	976/1	CL	0	02	75
	976/3		0	29	70
	977/3		0	16	95
	984/1		0	08	15
	984/4		0	00	35
	984/5		0	02	05

1	2		3	4	5
3) रेपाला (निरतर)	984/6		0	56	05
	985/1		0	14	40
	989/1		0	05	45
	989/2	CL	0	11	35
	989/3		0	90	05
	990	CL	0	06	15
Total	**67**		**14**	**01**	**90**
मंडल : सूर्यापेट	**जिल्ला : नलगोंडा**			**राज्य : आन्ध्रा प्रदेश**	
1) पिल्ललमरि	299		0	11	25
	307		0	00	10
	327		0	26	30
	327 Part	CL	0	03	50
	328		1	48	30
	332		0	24	50
	334		0	28	20
	338		0	18	25
	339		0	00	10
	349		0	81	65
	356		0	39	55
	357		0	66	25
	358		0	23	20
	362		1	26	75
	363		0	26	20
	363 Part	CL	0	04	25
	374		0	26	65
	375		0	70	65
	380		0	01	00
	387		0	13	10
	388		0	44	10
	389		0	53	25
	390		0	21	95
Total	**23**		**8**	**59**	**05**
2) पिन्नय्यापालेम्	1		0	34	55
	14		0	28	45
	151		0	13	95
	157		0	20	40
	158		0	18	55
	161		0	05	45
	162		0	39	30
	168		0	66	60
	169		0	20	40
	3		0	91	70
	333		0	16	00
	334		0	37	95
	335		0	44	60
	336		0	26	40
	340		0	11	20
	341		0	92	65
	4		0	12	45
	सर्वे सं1 और 341 का बीच में	CL	0	05	20
	सर्वे सं151 और 4 का बीच में	CL	0	05	15
Total	**19**		**5**	**90**	**95**

1	2		3	4	5
3) गमन्नागूडम्	50		0	34	15
	51		0	63	70
	52		0	02	15
	55		0	61	20
	56		0	38	50
	62		0	31	90
	63		0	37	95
	68		0	19	50
	69		0	63	50
	70		0	00	65
Total	**10**		**3**	**53**	**20**
4) वेंकटगमापुरम्	10		0	00	95
	11		0	44	35
	11 Part	CL	0	08	25
	16		0	36	05
	45		0	77	70
	46		0	00	10
	48		0	65	50
	57		0	15	95
	58		0	62	10
	59		0	60	75
	7	CL	1	46	35
	78		0	32	00
	78 Part	CL	0	19	95
	79		0	27	65
	9		0	61	45
	सर्वे सं 59 और78 का बीच में	CL	0	05	90
Total	**16**		**6**	**65**	**00**
5) यंड्लापल्लि	357		0	62	10
	359		0	72	60
	573	CL	0	08	50
	576		0	56	00
	577		0	23	80
	589		0	85	35
	593		0	55	00
	597		0	00	85
	598		0	13	75
	599		0	34	35
	604		0	09	70
	605		0	20	00
	614		0	06	40
	गॉव मीमा और 604 का बीच में	CL	0	55	30
Total	**15**		**5**	**03**	**70**

[फा. सं. एल-14014/25/2003-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas

New Delhi, the 16th November, 2004

S. O. 3031.— Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S O 1836, dated the 1st July, 2003, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962) (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land, specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transportation of natural gas from exploration blocks in the Northern/Southern Offshore of Goa and structures in Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Industries Limited,

the promoter company of M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited to various consumers of District Nalgonda in the State of Andhra Pradesh by Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas the copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 21st July, 2003;

And whereas the objections received from the public to the laying of the pipeline have been considered and disallowed by the Competent Authority;

And whereas the Competent Authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying pipeline, has decided to acquire the right of user therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub- section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired, for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on this date of publication of the declaration, in Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited, free from all encumbrances.

SCHEDULE

Mandal : Chivemla	District : Nalgonda		State : Andhra Pradesh		
			Area to be acquired for ROU		
Village	Survey No./Sub-Division No.		Hectare	Are	C-Are
1	2		3	4	5
1) Bibigudem	106		0	15	60
	14		0	11	20
	41		0	56	00
	71		0	47	70
	72		0	58	40
	In between Sy.No. 41 & 14	CL	0	06	70
Total	**6**		**1**	**95**	**60**
2) Chivemla	301		0	01	20
	302		0	42	85
	318		0	67	40
	319		0	51	85
	320		0	43	05
	328		0	89	65
	332		0	70	30
	333		0	52	70
	334		0	18	70
	334 Part	CL	0	04	35
	442		0	01	80
	443		0	03	70
	444		0	35	65

1	2		3	4	5
2) Chivemla Contd.	445		0	28	30
	446		0	14	15
	447		0	29	60
	449		0	36	70
	450		0	23	75
	454		0	23	90
	455		0	63	55
	456		0	50	10
	6 Part	CL	0	04	00
	463		0	80	45
	470		0	60	65
	472		0	38	65
	555		0	27	50
	557		0	04	30
	565		0	01	85
	566		0	01	20
	569		0	30	35
	570		0	85	35
	572		0	30	55
	573		0	94	40
	590		0	46	05
	591		0	27	45
	592		0	61	10
	596		0	52	50
	597	CL	0	74	50
	In Between Sy.No.456&463	CL	0	08	85
	In Between Sy.No.472&597	CL	0	07	50
Total	**40**		**14**	**90**	**45**
3) Kudkuda	100		0	00	15
	101		0	46	10
	106		0	44	35
	108		0	21	10
	137		0	03	70
	139		1	06	55
	139 Part	CL	0	08	85
	151		0	42	65
	151 Part	CL	0	44	45
	214		0	74	00
	225		0	66	75
	227		0	32	05
	228		0	39	40
	241		0	46	90
	243		0	28	40
	244		0	01	70
	247		0	22	00
	247 Part	CL	0	02	40
	248		0	07	20
	249		0	07	50
	266		0	70	35
	267		0	09	60
	279		0	55	90
	287		0	22	45
	288		0	17	00

1	2		3	4	5
3) Kudikuda (Contd.)	289		0	29	70
	290		0	16	00
	56		0	15	95
	57		0	22	05
	58		0	16	20
	59		0	01	75
	61		0	05	95
	62		0	24	70
	63		0	04	10
	66		0	13	10
	81		0	21	35
	82		0	13	20
	83		1	14	85
	83 Part	CL	0	04	50
	89		0	42	90
	90		0	51	30
Total	**41**		**12**	**19**	**10**
4) Timmapuram	103		0	77	45
	106		0	02	20
	107		0	27	15
	133		0	59	70
	133 Part	CL	0	04	50
	134		0	37	70
	134 Part	CL	0	02	25
	168		0	28	00
	169		0	25	90
	173		0	29	20
	176		0	57	65
	178		0	36	25
	246		0	50	90
	247		0	27	55
	249		0	63	75
	262		0	22	20
	276		0	53	30
	277		0	10	85
	278		0	07	95
	279		0	06	40
	280		0	36	80
	281		0	00	15
	283		0	11	35
	56		0	28	25
	57		0	42	20
	58		0	57	00
	93		0	37	20
	94		0	39	80
	In Between Sy.No.249&247	CL	0	06	10
	In Between Sy.No.56&107	CL	0	07	70
	In Between Sy.No.178& 262	CL	0	08	05
Total	**31**		**9**	**05**	**45**

1	2		3	4	5
5) Vattikhammapahad	641		0	39	90
	643		0	22	20
	644		0	95	05
	648		0	56	55
	650		0	00	45
	652		0	43	90
	653		0	57	75
	654		0	28	95
	In Between Vill. Boun. & 643	CL	0	12	10
Total	**9**		**3**	**56**	**85**
Mandal : Kethepalli	**District : Nalgonda**		**State : Andhra Pradesh**		
1) Gudivada	115		0	14	40
	116		0	99	20
	148		0	59	20
	149		0	42	05
	150		0	09	55
	154		0	45	60
	155		0	14	95
	156		0	14	10
	163		1	14	35
	164		0	47	25
	168		0	19	00
	169		0	61	75
	269		0	16	05
	270		0	82	05
	272		0	11	10
	81		0	21	90
	82		0	07	55
	83		0	37	05
	84		0	04	40
	85		0	09	50
	92		0	16	50
	93		0	32	90
	95		0	19	50
	96		0	47	95
	98		0	97	75
	In Between Sy.No.164&168	CL	0	08	75
Total	**26**		**9**	**54**	**35**
2) Kasanguda	134		0	03	20
	156		0	54	85
	160		0	46	30
	161		0	43	85
	162		0	68	80
	168		0	34	55
	169		0	40	30
	175		0	12	95
	176		0	51	40
	178		0	46	55
	178 Part	CL	0	10	50
	180		1	09	70
	187		0	80	55
	188		0	69	40
	190		0	83	15
	233		0	02	25
	In Between Vill. Boun. & 156	CL	0	55	30
Total	**17**		**8**	**13**	**60**

1	2		3	4	5
Mandal : Mothey	**District : Nalgonda**			**State : Andhra Pradesh**	
1) Namavaram	149		0	75	15
	150		0	01	40
	151		0	62	30
	152		0	58	10
	155/11	CL	0	03	45
	219		0	00	10
	220		0	28	25
	221		0	29	35
	222		0	01	80
	223		0	13	00
	227		0	21	75
	228		0	05	60
	233		0	04	20
	234		0	07	00
	236		0	12	95
	237		0	16	75
	240		0	00	55
	545		0	31	65
	548		0	32	55
	549		0	23	25
	550		0	22	05
	551		0	00	10
	552		0	39	60
	553		0	46	75
	554		0	33	10
	555		0	60	75
	568	CL	0	00	60
	569		0	75	45
	570		0	87	55
	570 Part	CL	0	03	90
	571		0	35	95
	721		0	27	25
	722		0	44	45
	724		0	18	35
	726		0	69	85
	729		1	06	95
	731		0	02	20
	738		0	50	65
	739		0	26	65
	744		0	43	10
	750		0	68	00
	752		0	39	85
	768		0	05	20
	770		0	84	90
	771		0	02	20
	773		0	65	45
	779		0	67	50
	780		0	58	10
	870		0	00	65
Total	**49**		**16**	**16**	**25**

1	2		3	4	5
Mandal : Munagala	**District : Nalgonda**			**State : Andhra Pradesh**	
1) Kalakova	171		0	58	90
	173		0	00	10
	174		0	34	20
	175		0	02	00
	177	CL	0	03	85
	178	CL	0	00	15
	179		0	46	75
	181		0	09	00
	182/1	CL	0	06	35
	182/2		0	43	90
	183/4		0	14	65
	184		0	39	20
	190		0	47	75
	191/3		0	41	65
	193	CL	0	05	00
	194	CL	0	00	80
	195		0	00	10
	247/1		0	06	55
	248/2		0	96	30
	251/1		0	00	20
	251/2		0	00	95
	251/6		0	03	15
	251/7		0	00	25
	252/3		0	06	05
	252/4		0	24	05
	252/5		0	01	60
	252/6		0	01	80
	253/1		0	01	80
	253/2		0	13	50
	253/3		0	20	30
	254/2		0	01	00
	255/1		0	33	65
	256/2		0	30	85
	260/1		0	32	60
	260/2		0	14	85
	261/1		0	07	70
	261/2		0	04	45
	261/3		0	18	85
	261/4		0	43	75
	261/5		0	03	05
	269/1		0	42	60
	269/2		0	04	75
	269/3		0	03	70
Total	**43**		**7**	**72**	**65**
2) Munagala	12/1		0	15	40
	12/2		0	01	25
	121/1		0	39	60
	122/1		0	02	75
	122/2		0	72	45
	125	CL	0	03	35
	126/2		0	24	90
	127/1		0	09	30

1	2	3	4	5
2) Munagala contd.	127/3	0	10	50
	127/4	0	17	30
	128	0	08	20
	16/1	1	10	50
	17/2	0	04	00
	17/3	0	68	70
	20/1	0	46	45
	20/2 CL	0	02	50
	21 CL	0	06	50
	23/1	0	10	40
	23/2	0	03	00
	23/3	0	23	95
	24/2	0	00	10
	24/3	0	24	20
	25/1	0	11	90
	25/2	0	10	35
	26/3	0	16	75
	68	0	01	95
	74	0	09	00
	75 CL	0	50	10
	77/1	0	27	85
	77/2	0	26	45
	77/3	0	24	70
	77/4	0	24	80
	79 CL	0	04	90
	81/1	0	02	10
	81/2	0	45	60
Total	**35**	**7**	**61**	**75**
3) Repala	1014/1	0	37	40
	1014/2	0	18	15
	1015/2	0	37	60
	1017/2	0	59	35
	1017/3	0	00	35
	1018	0	62	55
	1022/4	0	02	80
	1023/3	0	02	10
	1027/2	0	24	05
	1028	0	18	55
	1029	0	19	20
	1030	0	15	80
	1031/1	0	06	45
	1031/2	0	23	25
	1050/1	0	73	15
	1050/2	0	04	10
	1051 CL	0	05	80
	1052/1 CL	0	01	10
	1052/2	0	02	65
	1052/5	0	14	55
	1053/1	0	19	75
	1070/1	0	00	40
	1071/1	0	38	25
	660/1 CL	0	06	20
	661	0	31	45

1	2		3	4	5
3) Repala (Contd....)	663/2		0	00	10
	663/3		0	03	05
	663/5		0	08	55
	663/6		0	21	40
	663/7		0	07	05
	663/8		0	00	10
	667		0	69	85
	668		0	61	30
	669/2		0	65	40
	669/3	CL	0	03	25
	670	CL	0	04	40
	671/1	CL	0	01	75
	708	CL	0	04	35
	709/1		0	00	10
	709/2	CL	0	23	45
	710/4		0	37	90
	717/1		0	14	35
	717/2		0	00	40
	717/3	CL	0	03	45
	717/4		0	23	40
	718/1		0	53	20
	720		0	27	60
	721		0	33	65
	723		0	07	50
	966/1	CL	0	02	70
	966/6		0	22	30
	967		0	00	60
	972/2		0	47	50
	972/3		0	04	50
	973/2		0	80	35
	976/1	CL	0	02	75
	976/3		0	29	70
	977/3		0	16	95
	984/1		0	08	15
	984/4		0	00	35
	984/5		0	02	05
	984/6		0	56	05
	985/1		0	14	40
	989/1		0	05	45
	989/2	CL	0	11	35
	989/3		0	90	05
	990	CL	0	06	15
Total	**67**		**14**	**01**	**90**
Mandal : Suryapeta	**District : Nalgonda**			**State : Andhra Pradesh**	
1) Pillalamarry	299		0	11	25
	307		0	00	10
	327		0	26	30
	327 Part	CL	0	03	50
	328		1	48	30
	332		0	24	50
	334		0	28	20
	338		0	18	25
	339		0	00	10
	349		0	81	65

1	2		3	4	5
1) Pittalamarry (Contd.)	356		0	39	55
	357		0	66	25
	358		0	23	20
	362		1	26	75
	363		0	26	20
	363 Part	CL	0	04	25
	374		0	26	65
	375		0	70	65
	380		0	01	00
	387		0	13	10
	388		0	44	10
	389		0	53	25
	390		0	21	95
Total	**23**		**8**	**59**	**05**
2) Pinnaipalem	1		0	34	55
	14		0	28	45
	151		0	13	95
	157		0	20	40
	158		0	18	55
	161		0	05	45
	162		0	39	30
	168		0	66	60
	169		0	20	40
	3		0	91	70
	333		0	16	00
	334		0	37	95
	335		0	44	60
	336		0	26	40
	340		0	11	20
	341		0	92	65
	4		0	12	45
	In Between Sy.No.1&341	CL	0	05	20
	In Between Sy.No.151&4	CL	0	05	15
Total	**19**		**5**	**90**	**95**
3) Ramannagudem	50		0	34	15
	51		0	63	70
	52		0	02	15
	55		0	61	20
	56		0	38	50
	62		0	31	90
	63		0	37	95
	68		0	19	50
	69		0	63	50
	70		0	00	65
Total	**10**		**3**	**53**	**20**
4) Venkataramapuram	10		0	00	95
	11		0	44	35
	11 Part	CL	0	08	25
	16		0	36	05
	45		0	77	70
	46		0	00	10
	48		0	65	50
	57		0	15	95

1	2		3	4	5
4) Venhataramapuram (contd.)	58		0	62	10
	59		0	60	75
	7	CL	1	46	35
	78		0	32	00
	78 Part	CL	0	19	95
	79		0	27	65
	9		0	61	45
	In Between Sy.No. 59 & 78	CL	0	05	90
Total	**16**		**06**	**65**	**00**
5) Yendlapalli	357		0	62	10
	359		0	72	60
	573	CL	0	08	50
	576		0	56	00
	577		0	23	80
	589		0	85	35
	593		0	55	00
	597		0	00	85
	598		0	13	75
	599		0	34	35
	604		0	09	70
	605		0	20	00
	614		0	06	40
	Between 604 & Vg. Boundary	CL	0	55	30
Total	**15**		**5**	**03**	**70**

[F. No. L-14014/25/2003-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3032— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए जामनगर-भोपाल और काकीनाडा-हैदराबाद-गोवा पाइपलाइन को आपस में जोडने के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपजाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमिं में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम् 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियॉ साधारण जनता को उपलबद्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के सम्बन्ध में श्री ए.के.संघवी, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी. टी.आई. सी. एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, तीसरी मंजिल, एटलांटा टावर, इन्कलाब सोसायटी के सामने गुलगबाई टेकरा, एलिसब्रिज - अहमदाबाद - 380006, गुजरात राज्य को लिखित रुप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

तहसील : गणदेवी	जिल्ला : नवसारी	राज्य : गुजरात

आर ओ यू अर्जित करने के लिए

गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेकटर	आरे	चो.मी
1	2	3	4	5
1 उंडाच	1578	0	2	28
	1579	0	31	1
	1585	0	0	1
	1584	0	7	48
	1583	0	9	73
	1590	0	0	48
	1588	0	19	20
	1589	0	4	34
	नाला	0	5	75
	1627	0	41	49
	1594	0	15	99
	1601	0	0	12
	1600	0	50	21
	नाला	0	3	94
	1681	0	10	21
	1684	0	19	18
	1683	0	21	81
	1689	0	44	98
	1697	0	0	11
	1698	0	19	31
	1740	0	0	89
	1741	0	12	70
	1743	0	18	92
	1744	0	1	54
	1802	0	20	16
	1799	0	5	20
	1801	0	2	25
	1800	0	4	9
	1793	0	10	90
	1795	0	2	3
	1794	0	3	9
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	32

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर उंडाच	1782	0	21	23
	नदी	0	13	67
2 नांदरखा	1087	0	60	13
	1090	0	0	4
	1086	0	20	43
	1085	0	0	49
	1093	0	2	47
	1094	0	5	19
	1095	0	25	38
	1096	0	10	10
	1097	0	3	24
	1099	0	19	3
	1098	0	7	38
	1101	0	3	16
	1075	0	16	48
	1017	0	1	16
	1071	0	9	41
	1072	0	5	36
	1070	0	0	52
	1063	0	12	24
	1064	0	17	90
	1069	0	0	90
	1019	0	0	17
	1068	0	15	75
	1067	0	6	91
	1032	0	19	61
	1046	0	0	12
	1045	0	9	82
	1044	0	12	93
	1034	0	15	20
	1035	0	15	39
	1036	0	16	34
	808	0	40	13
	नहर	0	6	16
	गाम्ना	0	2	79
	803	0	31	3
3 केसली	400	0	36	48
	393	0	23	77

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर केसली	392	0	18	84
	रास्ता	0	1	90
	339	0	33	94
	340	0	3	55
	345	0	0	5
	346	0	8	8
	347	0	2	70
	348	0	7	77
	351	0	4	0
	354	0	8	27
	352	0	12	74
	353	0	6	3
	नाला	0	11	38
4 अंभेटा	नाला	0	3	14
	1257	0	8	1
	1256	0	1	45
	1258	0	17	69
	1261	0	0	43
	1260	0	14	25
	1262	0	3	96
	1263	0	43	59
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	8	52
	1274	0	1	22
	1269	0	0	10
	1273	0	11	65
	1272	0	15	82
	1271	0	2	73
	रास्ता (ओ.डी.आर)	0	5	2
	1371	0	0	86
	1370	0	1	82
	1369	0	10	1
	1368	0	13	21
	1367	0	12	39
	1366	0	0	1
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	11	26
	1364	0	5	82
	1363	0	33	85
	1362	0	0	13

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर अंभेटा	नहर	0	9	13
	1423	0	31	82
	1424	0	5	75
	नहर	0	7	53
	रास्ता	0	8	63
	1425	0	13	10
	1427	0	4	75
	1428	0	3	62
	21	0	9	63
	22	0	24	81
	62	0	0	1
	68	0	12	59
	72	0	0	12
	73	0	0	1
	71	0	4	47
	70	0	2	76
	69	0	0	14
	75	0	5	19
	75 और 81 के वीच	0	1	1
	81	0	64	57
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	8	22
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	2	73
	186	0	48	89
	183	0	0	61
	184	0	44	36
	198	0	6	75
	200	0	8	25
	200 और 208 के वीच	0	40	4
	208	0	33	34
	207	0	17	85
	206	0	26	91
	नाला	0	7	20
5 देसाड	नाला	0	1	34
	241	0	52	57
	242	0	41	93
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	61
	243	0	35	23
	रास्ता (ओ.डी.आर)	0	5	9

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर देसाड	74	0	59	50
	रेल्वे लाइन	0	6	93
	79	0	37	9
	78	0	81	31
	वेगनीया नदी	0	21	20
6 खेरगाम	वेगनीया नदी	0	18	13
	39	0	1	5
	35	0	50	93
	37	0	29	93
	36	0	41	81
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	67
	33	0	37	27
	31	0	29	0
	25	0	55	49
	नहर	0	12	83
	24	0	19	82
	23	0	26	36
7 वडसांगल	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	68
	142	0	6	14
	नहर	0	3	33
	143	0	0	7
8 दुवाडा	120	0	0	13
	डामर रास्ता	0	1	53
	186	0	32	74
	नहर	0	10	72
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	32
	187	0	70	8
9 घनेारी	800	0	36	87
	798	0	66	54
	796	0	51	20
	795	0	16	63
	794	0	14	14
	नहर	0	7	12
	807	0	17	30
	793	0	20	26
	812	0	1	80
	813	0	11	10
	818	0	15	8

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर घनेारी	819	0	7	7
	817	0	10	19
	820	0	50	88
	676	0	4	54
	675	0	0	30
	674	0	19	65
	673	0	22	15
	828	0	28	42
	नहर	0	9	95
	829	0	8	21
	672	0	6	25
	671	0	17	10
	523	0	32	69
	680	0	21	95
	681	0	42	3
	नाला	0	0	23
	नाला और डामर रास्ता के वीच	0	8	23
	डामर रास्ता	0	13	98
	654	0	0	90
	653	0	22	28
	712	0	14	33
	648	0	57	26
	652	0	6	39
	651	0	6	65
	650	0	16	12
	649	0	9	27
	डामर रास्ता	0	6	21
	636	0	50	71
	630	0	27	73
	631	0	23	88
	632	0	2	59
	नाला	0	20	13
	575	0	45	77
	576	0	34	78
	नाला	0	1	63
10 पीपलधरा	नाला	0	4	31
	551	0	46	96
	रास्ता	0	8	15

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर पीपलधरा	550	0	14	17
	549	0	10	52
	546	0	8	47
	548	0	11	39
	547	0	0	5
	542	0	64	34
	540	0	9	77
	नाला	0	11	37
	511	0	1	21
	481	0	0	10
	488	0	5	72
	482	0	28	94
	483	0	53	43
	478	0	0	17
	485	0	11	83
	474	0	34	61
	468	0	10	53
	469	0	8	63
	470	0	8	58
	466	0	20	61
	406	0	13	43
	405	0	2	60
	402	0	32	56
	404	0	0	1
	401	0	9	61
	400	0	14	95
	341	0	49	81
	342	0	3	34
	336	0	48	41
	337	0	0	3
	321	0	28	4
	323	0	0	26
	324	0	4	46
	312	0	27	82
	306	0	15	16
	311	0	1	57
	309	0	0	2
	310	0	17	64
	283	0	16	0

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर पीपलधरा	कच्चा गस्ता	0	3	96
	282	0	1	5
	281	0	14	71
	280	0	3	80
	275	0	28	40
	274	0	0	3
	279	0	2	39
	276	0	3	19
	277	0	5	39
	278	0	10	11
	287	0	8	50
	नदी	0	19	20
11 वेगाम	नदी	0	19	6
	1035	0	20	19
	1036	0	6	48
	1043	0	9	35
	नाला	0	6	1
	1042	0	13	70
	1045	0	13	83
	नाला	0	7	32
	1044	0	7	11
	1046	0	10	34
	1047	0	18	63
	1022	0	9	85
	1023	0	21	96
	डामर रास्ता	0	4	73
	974	0	0	5
	1021	0	16	84
	1020	0	28	89
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	89
	नहर	0	19	36
	1017	0	4	53
	1016	0	12	68
	1015	0	35	6
	1008	0	18	59
	1009	0	1	90
	नहर	0	5	77
	1006	0	13	32

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वेगाम	1005	0	26	69
	934	0	10	96
	945	0	13	44
	943	0	83	89
	944	0	11	16
	नाला	0	7	5
तहसील : चीखली	जिल्ला ः नवसारी	राज्य ःगुजरात		
1 वंकाल	नदी	0	14	3
	1855	0	21	37
	1852	0	13	63
	1854	0	7	70
	1858	0	9	25
	1859	0	12	49
	1860	0	2	87
	1861	0	0	41
	1862	0	0	20
	1863	0	17	73
	1864	0	5	38
	1828	0	5	6
	1827	0	15	29
	1826	0	0	20
	1810	0	10	35
	1811	0	6	40
	1809	0	12	19
	1802	0	2	35
	1803	0	9	62
	1801	0	5	68
	1800	0	3	96
	1799	0	3	29
	1798	0	0	63
	1797	0	19	33
	1796	0	0	10
	1795	0	3	5
	1792	0	12	88
	1793	0	3	61
	1790	0	0	11
	1713	0	19	38
	1712	0	5	17
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	24

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वंकाल	1711	0	15	78
	1645	0	39	69
	1709	0	20	12
	1706	0	0	75
	1697	0	12	54
	1696	0	2	10
	1698	0	0	38
	1699	0	9	44
	1694	0	9	28
	1693	0	5	14
	1692	0	2	17
	नाला	0	6	25
	1014	0	0	1
	1034	0	10	33
	1035	0	22	70
	1033	0	3	52
	1029	0	7	48
	1028	0	2	4
	1027	0	0	57
	1025	0	8	66
	1037	0	2	34
	1038	0	3	66
	1042	0	3	80
	1024	0	0	24
	1043	0	9	15
	1045	0	0	15
	1041	0	0	22
	1044	0	2	61
	1060	0	12	81
	1059	0	5	22
	1061	0	0	90
	1067	0	0	98
	1066	0	4	29
	1065	0	9	74
	1063	0	1	58
	1064	0	2	87
	725	0	3	64
	723	0	2	20
	722	0	0	39

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वंकाल	728	0	1	81
	724	0	24	76
	724 और 711 के वीच	0	1	78
	711	0	2	19
	716	0	5	10
	717	0	0	1
	713	0	12	22
	712	0	8	28
	710	0	23	5
	709	0	6	50
	708	0	6	39
	706	0	1	32
	707	0	10	76
	डामर रास्ता	0	8	22
	642	0	82	11
	नहर	0	1	52
	645	0	13	85
	620	0	0	83
	नहर	0	4	87
	डामर रास्ता	0	5	47
	647	0	4	24
	648	0	3	14
	649	0	26	87
	650	0	3	25
	651	0	0	37
तहसील : नवसारी	**जिल्ला ः नवसारी**	**राज्य ः गुजरात**		
1 खडसुपा	नहर	0	10	66
	950	0	12	75
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	35
	955	0	33	23
	957	0	0	40
	956	0	8	27
	954	0	3	32
	953	0	68	41
	852	0	16	47
	नहर	0	1	56
	853	0	41	58
	859	0	13	49

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर खडसुपा	860	0	13	3
	861	0	4	63
	840	0	49	66
	864	0	8	16
	839	0	17	69
	820	0	41	90
	821	0	14	43
	819	0	10	7
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	94
	810	0	40	22
	नहर	0	6	22
	803	0	16	58
	802	0	21	95
	797	0	15	58
	795	0	17	10
	796	0	1	94
	782	0	36	73
	778	0	1	58
	768	0	29	8
	770	0	0	1
	766	0	1	49
	769	0	6	48
	765	0	2	74
	764	0	31	21
	नहर	0	9	0
	763	0	0	1
	762	0	26	20
	761	0	13	67
	744	0	30	52
	743	0	1	35
	742	0	2	7
	739	0	0	4
	740	0	13	24
	737	0	14	86
	735	0	0	13
	736	0	11	5
	695	0	46	94
	694	0	3	39
	693	0	27	61

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर खडसुपा	692	0	0	31
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	13	51
	533	0	18	95
	534	0	33	10
	689	0	45	51
	687	0	47	63
	684	0	54	6
	683	0	1	10
	676	0	67	69
	678	0	11	19
	677	0	1	51
	नाला	0	2	39
	675	0	27	27
	घोरीमार्ग 8	0	9	47
	674	0	18	5
2 अश्टगाम	375	0	16	3
	373	0	14	7
	नहर	0	7	6
	372	0	18	21
	383	0	26	4
	371	0	2	10
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	19
	384	0	33	10
	386	0	0	15
	386 और 387 के बीच	0	0	94
	387	0	1	43
	387 और 390 के बीच	0	25	53
	390	0	38	19
	391	0	16	2
	394	0	53	67
3 उन	237	0	11	93
	नाला	0	26	68
	192	0	18	56
	191	0	41	80
	190	0	41	9
	184	0	43	73
	185	0	3	60
4 मुनसाड	477	0	20	43

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर मुनसाड	478	0	11	4
	479	0	23	92
	480	0	21	54
	481	0	0	10
	482	0	43	8
	487	0	91	77
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	2	65
	488	0	0	10
	489	0	2	47
	490	0	34	58
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	55
	512	0	34	37
	511	0	26	22
	510	0	1	66
	राज्य धोरीमाग	0	7	99
	नहर	0	10	48
	353	0	26	26
	354	0	8	82
	355	0	8	35
	356	0	0	48
	379	0	1	45
	371	0	3	97
	376	0	18	3
	372	0	3	42
	378	0	0	10
	374	0	8	56
	375	0	4	66
	375 और 387 के बीच	0	0	54
	387	0	0	57
	388	0	43	12
	276	0	47	92
	278	0	0	12
	272	0	13	24
	274	0	14	24
	273	0	12	26
	252	0	10	32
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	51
	251	0	4	2
	250	0	2	13

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर मुनसाड	247	0	9	0
	248	0	0	18
	246	0	2	68
	रास्ता (ओ.डी.आर)	0	3	6
	149	0	2	53
	187	0	10	42
	188	0	0	74
	186	0	11	67
	183	0	11	6
	184	0	10	71
	179	0	3	46
	178	0	9	4
	177	0	17	19
	175	0	24	3
	67	0	34	25
	73	0	23	62
	72	0	40	12
	71	0	0	17
	नहर	0	2	60
	74	0	1	11
	75	0	57	27
	1043	0	11	10
	नहर	0	8	46
	1037	0	30	94
	1036	0	2	89
	1035	0	22	5
	1038	0	8	37
	1034	0	50	14
5 दंडेश्वर	437	0	13	73
	438	0	0	58
	436	0	8	37
	440	0	48	90
	444	0	0	79
	447	0	32	19
	446	0	0	12
	456	0	37	91
	गम्ता	0	1	58
	455	0	0	34

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर दंडेश्वर	450	0	32	53
	नाला	0	4	40
	487	0	51	28
6 भटटाइ	149	0	0	17
	142	0	2	14
7 ओनची	259	0	4	13
	250	0	27	28
	251	0	6	60
	247	0	28	55
	246	0	0	51
	245	0	10	61
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	4	68
	218	0	20.	95
	213	0	17	28
	215	0	12	82
	214	0	28	18
	143	0	29	69
	216	0	2	5
	135	0	6	7
	137	0	0	93
	138	0	27	97
	139	0	18	84
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	71
	85	0	13	87
	87	0	8	37
	84	0	14	79
	79	0	83	77
	80	0	3	11
	77	0	0	74
8 मोलधरा	286	0	5	79
	345	0	19	85
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	8
	346	0	3	14
	344	0	28	5
	343	0	1	34
	342	0	16	76
	341	0	12	17
	360	0	8	94

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर मोलधरा	370	0	5	54
	368	0	10	59
	369	0	7	84
	367	0	4	88
	361	0	0	1
	366	0	9	21
	365	0	1	6
	363	0	0	26
	364	0	9	84
	450	0	21	27
	378	0	2	31
	379	0	1	43
	451	0	3	6
	नाला	0	4	56
	449	0	29	31
	460	0	6	98
	461	0	17	89
	465	0	51	45
	464	0	14	37
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	0	86
	548	0	13	72
	547	0	5	69
	555	0	11	10
	556	0	4	59
	557	0	17	75
	565	0	10	1
	564	0	12	70
	566	0	11	10
	563	0	7	97
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	22
	590	0	2	29
	591	0	27	91
	592	0	19	0
	593	0	11	64
	595	0	13	56
	596	0	18	31
	597	0	15	43
	599	0	3	69
	नदी	0	30	85

1	2	3	4	5
9 आमडपोर	नदी	0	37	20
	568	0	7	59
	569	0	5	9
	570	0	4	65
	571	0	10	85
	572	0	7	15
	573	0	1	67
	575	0	28	22
	576	0	4	75
	577	0	2	11
	578	0	1	42
	579	0	0	34
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	6	78
	537	0	3	34
	536	0	9	42
	535	0	7	42
	534	0	6	27
	533	0	12	45
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	34
	473	0	24	76
	सरकारी जमीन	0	5	45
	492	0	7	66
	474	0	4	32
	491	0	6	85
	490	0	5	11
	489	0	21	81
	483	0	19	63
	481	0	1	27
	482	0	80	36
	242	0	14	51
	241	0	2	71
	240	0	39	12
	244	0	1	51
	239	0	23	15
	237	0	33	26
	236	0	17	68
	णहर	0	3	20
	173	0	14	39
	घोरीभागॅ 8	0	12	92

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर आमडपोर	णहर	0	6	56
	175	0	8	80
	161	0	4	82
	160	0	11	1
	159	0	14	80
	158	0	32	91
	नहर	0	5	77
10 पडघा	रास्ता (अेम.डी.आर)	0	3	38
	594	0	28	34
	593	0	0	62
	596	0	54	89
	नहर	0	6	33
	598	0	35	65
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	44
	602	0	0	6
	599	0	13	42
	558	0	25	84
	557	0	9	62
	556	0	19	83
	555	0	23	5
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	47
11 वेजलपोर	डामर रास्ता	0	1	27
	84	0	24	16
	फील्ड चेनल	0	4	40
	84	0	16	98
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	84
	89	0	22	85
	87	0	44	18
	100	0	52	89
	101	0	15	58
	नाला	0	2	0
	116	0	32	15
	117	0	0	34
	120	0	68	55
	118	0	0	27
	121	0	39	81
	122	0	0	22
	नाला	0	4	1

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वेजलपोर	151	0	28	61
	150	0	4	56
	149	0	25	83
	148	0	43	2
	फील्ड चेनल	0	4	52
	147	0	12	27
	146	0	34	62
	नहर	0	0	10
12 परथाण	69	0	38	11
	नहर	0	7	57
	75	0	0	5
	68	0	0	2
	76	0	57	7
	66	0	6	25
	65	0	38	97
	62	0	20	35
	फील्ड चेनल	0	4	91
	63	0	7	51
	53	0	34	24
	52	0	86	64
	35	0	16	39
	36	0	28	1
	कच्चा गम्ता	0	1	21
	30	0	36	75
	कच्चा गम्ता	0	1	44
	28	0	69	94
	27	0	32	52
	फील्ड चेनल	0	2	14
13. धामन	251	0	5	22
	249	0	24	14
	248	0	27	7

तहसील : जलालपोर	जिल्ला ः नवसारी	राज्य ःगुजरात		
1 सीमलक	फील्ड चेनल	0	2	0
	442	0	43	53
	441	0	13	26
	443	0	21	47
	444	0	2	83
	459	0	22	20

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर सीमलक	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	63
2 डाभेल	129	0	17	26
	133	0	31	27
	130	0	2	62
	128	0	0	73
	131	0	38	7
	132	0	26	40
	नहर	0	12	37
	118	0	1	36
	117	0	21	86
	116	0	12	33
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	41
	नहर	0	15	43
	148	0	8	67
	105	0	0	24
	151	0	17	59
	152	0	20	2
	153	0	0	53
	154	0	30	99
	155	0	0	3
	158	0	18	88
	157	0	13	88
	159	0	21	41
	160	0	16	0
	183	0	16	46
	नहर	0	5	84
	182	0	8	38
	163	0	8	93
	181	0	10	94
	180	0	3	8
	170	0	2	68
	171	0	18	5
	172	0	1	72
	173	0	7	47
	174	0	21	62
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	5	24
	311	0	23	14
	302	0	7	48

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर डाभेल	310	0	9	12
	308	0	12	0
	309	0	0	81
	307	0	39	2
	446	0	18	47
	445	0	23	20
	452	0	7	25
	451	0	18	83
	453	0	29	92
	कच्चा गस्ता	0	4	65
	500	0	20	23
	499	0	56	12
	कच्चा गस्ता	0	1	72
3 आसना	णाला	0	15	73
	204	0	16	77
	205	0	5	9
	206	0	1	40
	207	0	17	54
	208	0	7	37
	210	0	2	56
	209	0	2	56
	247	0	2	16
	246	0	13	34
	245	0	7	64
	243	0	3	50
	244	0	5	0
	240	0	4	90
	239	0	10	13
	237	0	8	94
	267	0	2	86
	268	0	11	22
	269	0	11	15
	कच्चा गस्ता	0	2	77
	359	0	16	16
	360	0	7	61
	361	0	1	65
	362	0	1	68
	367	0	18	10
	355	0	19	3

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर आसना	354	0	19	65
	352	0	23	53
	349	0	12	9
	मीठोला नदी	0	28	30

[फा. सं. एल-14014/39/2004-जी.पी.]
एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th November, 2004

S. O. 3032.— Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of Natural Gas through an interconnection between Jamnagar - Bhopal and Kakinada Hyderabad-Goa pipeline, a pipeline should be laid by Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user (ROU) in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub- section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri A.K.Sanghavi, Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited Pipeline Project, Atlanta Tower, 3rd Floor. Opp Inqulab Society, Gulbai Tekra, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad – 380 006, Gujarat.

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Gandevi	District : Navsari	State : Gujarat

Name of the Village	Survey No./Block No.	Area to be acquired for ROU		
		Hectare	Are	Sq.m
1	2	3	4	5
1.Undach	1578	0	2	28
	1579	0	31	1
	1585	0	0	1
	1584	0	7	48
	1583	0	9	73
	1590	0	0	48
	1588	0	19	20
	1589	0	4	34
	Nala	0	5	75
	1627	0	41	49
	1594	0	15	99
	1601	0	0	12
	1600	0	50	21
	Nala	0	3	94
	1681	0	10	21
	1684	0	19	18
	1683	0	21	81
	1689	0	44	98
	1697	0	0	11
	1698	0	19	31
	1740	0	0	89
	1741	0	12	70
	1743	0	18	92
	1744	0	1	54
	1802	0	20	16
	1799	0	5	20
	1801	0	2	25
	1800	0	4	9
	1793	0	10	90
	1795	0	2	3
	1794	0	3	9
	Cart Track	0	3	32
	1782	0	21	23
	River	0	13	67
2. Nandarkha	1087	0	60	13
	1090	0	0	4
	1086	0	20	43
	1085	0	0	49
	1093	0	2	47
	1094	0	5	19
	1095	0	25	38

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Nandarkha	1096	0	10	10
	1097	0	3	24
	1099	0	19	3
	1098	0	7	38
	1101	0	3	16
	1075	0	16	48
	1017	0	1	16
	1071	0	9	41
	1072	0	5	36
	1070	0	0	52
	1063	0	12	24
	1064	0	17	90
	1069	0	0	90
	1019	0	0	17
	1068	0	15	75
	1067	0	6	91
	1032	0	19	61
	1046	0	0	12
	1045	0	9	82
	1044	0	12	93
	1034	0	15	20
	1035	0	15	39
	1036	0	16	34
	808	0	40	13
	Canal	0	6	16
	Road	0	2	79
	803	0	31	3
3. Kesali	400	0	36	48
	393	0	23	77
	392	0	18	84
	Road	0	1	90
	339	0	33	94
	340	0	3	55
	345	0	0	5
	346	0	8	8
	347	0	2	70
	348	0	7	77
	351	0	4	0
	354	0	8	27
	352	0	12	74
	353	0	6	3
	Nala	0	11	38
4. Ambheta	Nala	0	3	14
	1257	0	8	1
	1256	0	1	45
	1258	0	17	69
	1261	0	0	43

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Ambheta	1260	0	14	25
	1262	0	3	96
	1263	0	43	59
	Cart Track	0	8	52
	1274	0	1	22
	1269	0	0	10
	1273	0	11	65
	1272	0	15	82
	1271	0	2	73
	Road (ODR)	0	5	2
	1371	0	0	86
	1370	0	1	82
	1369	0	10	1
	1368	0	13	21
	1367	0	12	39
	1366	0	0	1
	Cart Track	0	11	26
	1364	0	5	82
	1363	0	33	85
	1362	0	0	13
	Canal	0	9	13
	1423	0	31	82
	1424	0	5	75
	Canal	0	7	53
	Road	0	8	63
	1425	0	13	10
	1427	0	4	75
	1428	0	3	62
	21	0	9	63
	22	0	24	81
	62	0	0	1
	68	0	12	59
	72	0	0	12
	73	0	0	1
	71	0	4	47
	70	0	2	76
	69	0	0	14
	75	0	5	19
	Betn 75 & 81	0	1	1
	81	0	64	57
	Road (S.H)	0	8	22
	Cart Track	0	2	73
	186	0	48	89
	183	0	0	61
	184	0	44	36
	198	0	6	75
	200	0	8	25

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Ambheta	Betn 200 & 208	0	40	4
	208	0	33	34
	207	0	17	85
	206	0	26	91
	Nala	0	7	20
5. Desad	Nala	0	1	34
	241	0	52	57
	242	0	41	93
	Cart Track	0	3	61
	243	0	35	23
	Road (ODR)	0	5	9
	74	0	59	50
	Railway Line	0	6	93
	79	0	37	9
	78	0	81	31
	Veganiya Nadi	0	21	20
6. Khergam	Veganiya Nadi	0	18	13
	39	0	1	5
	35	0	50	93
	37	0	29	93
	36	0	41	81
	Cart Track	0	3	67
	33	0	37	27
	31	0	29	0
	25	0	55	49
	Canal	0	12	83
	24	0	19	82
	23	0	26	36
7. Vadsanagal	Cart Track	0	3	68
	142	0	6	14
	Canal	0	3	33
	143	0	0	7
8. Duvada	120	0	0	13
	Road (Asp)	0	1	53
	186	0	32	74
	Canal	0	10	72
	Cart Track	0	3	32
	187	0	70	8
9. Dhanori	800	0	36	87
	798	0	66	54
	796	0	51	20
	795	0	16	63
	794	0	14	14
	Canal	0	7	12
	807	0	17	30
	793	0	20	26
	812	0	1	80

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Dhanori	813	0	11	10
	818	0	15	8
	819	0	7	7
	817	0	10	19
	820	0	50	88
	676	0	4	54
	675	0	0	30
	674	0	19	65
	673	0	22	15
	828	0	28	42
	Canal	0	9	95
	829	0	8	21
	672	0	6	25
	671	0	17	10
	523	0	32	69
	680	0	21	95
	681	0	42	3
	Nala	0	0	23
	Betn Nala & Asph Road	0	8	23
	Road (Asp)	0	13	98
	654	0	0	90
	653	0	22	28
	712	0	14	33
	648	0	57	26
	652	0	6	39
	651	0	6	65
	650	0	16	12
	649	0	9	27
	Road (Asp)	0	6	21
	636	0	50	71
	630	0	27	73
	631	0	23	88
	632	0	2	59
	Nala	0	20	13
	575	0	45	77
	576	0	34	78
	Nala	0	1	63
10. Pipaldhara	Nala	0	4	31
	551	0	46	96
	Road	0	8	15
	550	0	14	17
	549	0	10	52
	546	0	8	47
	548	0	11	39
	547	0	0	5
	542	0	64	34
	540	0	9	77

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Pipaldhara	Nala	0	11	37
	511	0	1	21
	481	0	0	10
	488	0	5	72
	482	0	28	94
	483	0	53	43
	478	0	0	17
	485	0	11	83
	474	0	34	61
	468	0	10	53
	469	0	8	63
	470	0	8	58
	466	0	20	61
	406	0	13	43
	405	0	2	60
	402	0	32	56
	404	0	0	1
	401	0	9	61
	400	0	14	95
	341	0	49	81
	342	0	3	34
	336	0	48	41
	337	0	0	3
	321	0	28	4
	323	0	0	26
	324	0	4	46
	312	0	27	82
	306	0	15	16
	311	0	1	57
	309	0	0	2
	310	0	17	64
	283	0	16	0
	Cart Track	0	3	96
	282	0	1	5
	281	0	14	71
	280	0	3	80
	275	0	28	40
	274	0	0	3
	279	0	2	39
	276	0	3	19
	277	0	5	39
	278	0	10	11
	287	0	8	50
	River	0	19	20
11. Vegam	River	0	19	6
	1035	0	20	19
	1036	0	6	48

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Vegam	1043	0	9	35
	Nala	0	6	1
	1042	0	13	70
	1045	0	13	83
	Nala	0	7	32
	1044	0	7	11
	1046	0	10	34
	1047	0	18	63
	1022	0	9	85
	1023	0	21	96
	Road (Asp)	0	4	73
	974	0	0	5
	1021	0	16	84
	1020	0	28	89
	Cart Track	0	5	89
	Canal	0	19	36
	1017	0	4	53
	1016	0	12	68
	1015	0	35	6
	1008	0	18	59
	1009	0	1	90
	Canal	0	5	77
	1006	0	13	32
	1005	0	26	69
	934	0	10	96
	945	0	13	44
	943	0	83	89
	944	0	11	16
	Nala	0	7	5
Taluka : Chikhali	**Dist : Navsari**	**State: Gujarat**		
1. Wankal	River	0	14	3
	1855	0	21	37
	1852	0	13	63
	1854	0	7	70
	1858	0	9	25
	1859	0	12	49
	1860	0	2	87
	1861	0	0	41
	1862	0	0	20
	1863	0	17	73
	1864	0	5	38
	1828	0	5	6
	1827	0	15	29
	1826	0	0	20
	1810	0	10	35
	1811	0	6	40
	1809	0	12	19

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Wankal	1802	0	2	35
	1803	0	9	62
	1801	0	5	68
	1800	0	3	96
	1799	0	3	29
	1798	0	0	63
	1797	0	19	33
	1796	0	0	10
	1795	0	3	5
	1792	0	12	88
	1793	0	3	61
	1790	0	0	11
	1713	0	19	38
	1712	0	5	17
	Cart Track	0	1	24
	1711	0	15	78
	1645	0	39	69
	1709	0	20	12
	1706	0	0	75
	1697	0	12	54
	1696	0	2	10
	1698	0	0	38
	1699	0	9	44
	1694	0	9	28
	1693	0	5	14
	1692	0	2	17
	Nala	0	6	25
	1014	0	0	1
	1034	0	10	33
	1035	0	22	70
	1033	0	3	52
	1029	0	7	48
	1028	0	2	4
	1027	0	0	57
	1025	0	8	66
	1037	0	2	34
	1038	0	3	66
	1042	0	3	80
	1024	0	0	24
	1043	0	9	15
	1045	0	0	15
	1041	0	0	22
	1044	0	2	61
	1060	0	12	81
	1059	0	5	22
	1061	0	0	90
	1067	0	0	98

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Wankal	1066	0	4	29
	1065	0	9	74
	1063	0	1	58
	1064	0	2	87
	725	0	3	64
	723	0	2	20
	722	0	0	39
	728	0	1	81
	724	0	24	76
	Betn 724 & 711	0	1	78
	711	0	2	19
	716	0	5	10
	717	0	0	1
	713	0	12	22
	712	0	8	28
	710	0	23	5
	709	0	6	50
	708	0	6	39
	706	0	1	32
	707	0	10	76
	Road (Asp)	0	8	22
	642	0	82	11
	Canal	0	1	52
	645	0	13	85
	620	0	0	83
	Canal	0	4	87
	Road (Asp)	0	5	47
	647	0	4	24
	648	0	3	14
	649	0	26	87
	650	0	3	25
	651	0	0	37
Taluka : Navsari	**Dist : Navsari**	**State: Gujarat**		
1. Khadsupa	Canal	0	10	66
	950	0	12	75
	Road (Asp)	0	2	35
	955	0	33	23
	957	0	0	40
	956	0	8	27
	954	0	3	32
	953	0	68	41
	852	0	16	47
	Canal	0	1	56
	853	0	41	58
	859	0	13	49
	860	0	13	3
	861	0	4	63

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Khadsupa	840	0	49	66
	864	0	8	16
	839	0	17	69
	820	0	41	90
	821	0	14	43
	819	0	10	7
	Cart Track	0	1	94
	810	0	40	22
	Canal	0	6	22
	803	0	16	58
	802	0	21	95
	797	0	15	58
	795	0	17	10
	796	0	1	94
	782	0	36	73
	778	0	1	58
	768	0	29	8
	770	0	0	1
	766	0	1	49
	769	0	6	48
	765	0	2	74
	764	0	31	21
	Canal	0	9	0
	763	0	0	1
	762	0	26	20
	761	0	13	67
	744	0	30	52
	743	0	1	35
	742	0	2	7
	739	0	0	4
	740	0	13	24
	737	0	14	86
	735	0	0	13
	736	0	11	5
	695	0	46	94
	694	0	3	39
	693	0	27	61
	692	0	0	31
	Cart Track	0	13	51
	533	0	18	95
	534	0	33	10
	689	0	45	51
	687	0	47	63
	684	0	54	6
	683	0	1	10
	676	0	67	69
	678	0	11	19

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Khadsupa	677	0	1	51
	Nala	0	2	39
	675	0	27	27
	Road (N.H-8)	0	9	47
	674	0	18	5
2. Astgam	375	0	16	3
	373	0	14	7
	Canal	0	7	6
	372	0	18	21
	383	0	26	4
	371	0	2	10
	Road (Asp)	0	2	19
	384	0	33	10
	386	0	0	15
	Betn 386 & 387	0	0	94
	387	0	1	43
	Betn 387 & 390	0	25	53
	390	0	38	19
	391	0	16	2
	394	0	53	67
3. Uan	237	0	11	93
	Nala	0	26	68
	192	0	18	56
	191	0	41	80
	190	0	41	9
	184	0	43	73
	185	0	3	60
4. Munsad	477	0	20	43
	478	0	11	4
	479	0	23	92
	480	0	21	54
	481	0	0	10
	482	0	43	8
	487	0	91	77
	Cart Track	0	2	65
	488	0	0	10
	489	0	2	47
	490	0	34	58
	Cart Track	0	1	55
	512	0	34	37
	511	0	26	22
	510	0	1	66
	Road (S.H)	0	7	99
	Canal	0	10	48
	353	0	26	26
	354	0	8	82
	355	0	8	35

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Munsad	356	0	0	48
	379	0	1	45
	371	0	3	97
	376	0	18	3
	372	0	3	42
	378	0	0	10
	374	0	8	56
	375	0	4	66
	Betn 375 & 387	0	0	54
	387	0	0	57
	388	0	43	12
	276	0	47	92
	278	0	0	12
	272	0	13	24
	274	0	14	24
	273	0	12	26
	252	0	10	32
	Cart Track	0	3	51
	251	0	4	2
	250	0	2	13
	247	0	9	0
	248	0	0	18
	246	0	2	68
	Road (ODR)	0	3	6
	149	0	2	53
	187	0	10	42
	188	0	0	74
	186	0	11	67
	183	0	11	6
	184	0	10	71
	179	0	3	46
	178	0	9	4
	177	0	17	19
	175	0	24	3
	67	0	34	25
	73	0	23	62
	72	0	40	12
	71	0	0	17
	Canal	0	2	60
	74	0	1	11
	75	0	57	27
	1043	0	11	10
	Canal	0	8	46
	1037	0	30	94
	1036	0	2	89
	1035	0	22	5
	1038	0	8	37

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Munsad	1084	0	50	14
5. Dandeshwar	437	0	13	73
	438	0	0	58
	436	0	8	37
	440	0	48	90
	444	0	0	79
	447	0	32	19
	446	0	0	12
	456	0	37	91
	Road	0	1	58
	455	0	0	34
	450	0	32	53
	Nala	0	4	40
	487	0	51	28
6. Bhattai	149	0	0	17
	142	0	2	14
7. Onachi	259	0	4	13
	250	0	27	28
	251	0	6	60
	247	0	28	55
	246	0	0	51
	245	0	10	61
	Road (S.H)	0	4	68
	218	0	20	95
	213	0	17	28
	215	0	12	82
	214	0	28	18
	143	0	29	69
	216	0	2	5
	135	0	6	7
	137	0	0	93
	138	0	27	97
	139	0	18	84
	Road (Asp)	0	3	71
	85	0	13	87
	87	0	8	37
	84	0	14	79
	79	0	83	77
	80	0	3	11
	77	0	0	74
8. Moldhara	286	0	5	79
	345	0	19	85
	Cart Track	0	3	8
	346	0	3	14
	344	0	28	5
	343	0	1	34
	342	0	16	76

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Moldhara	341	0	12	17
	360	0	8	94
	370	0	5	54
	368	0	10	59
	369	0	7	84
	367	0	4	88
	361	0	0	1
	366	0	9	21
	365	0	1	6
	363	0	0	26
	364	0	9	84
	450	0	21	27
	378	0	2	31
	379	0	1	43
	451	0	3	6
	Nala	0	4	56
	449	0	29	31
	460	0	6	98
	461	0	17	89
	465	0	51	45
	464	0	14	37
	Cart Track	0	0	86
	548	0	13	72
	547	0	5	69
	555	0	11	10
	556	0	4	59
	557	0	17	75
	565	0	10	1
	564	0	12	70
	566	0	11	10
	563	0	7	97
	Cart Track	0	5	22
	590	0	2	29
	591	0	27	91
	592	0	19	0
	593	0	11	64
	595	0	13	56
	596	0	18	31
	597	0	15	43
	599	0	3	69
	River	0	30	85
9. Amadpor	River	0	37	20
	568	0	7	59
	569	0	5	9
	570	0	4	65
	571	0	10	85
	572	0	7	15

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Amadpor	573	0	1	67
	575	0	28	22
	576	0	4	75
	577	0	2	11
	578	0	1	42
	579	0	0	34
	Cart Track	0	6	78
	537	0	3	34
	536	0	9	42
	535	0	7	42
	534	0	6	27
	533	0	12	45
	Cart Track	0	3	34
	473	0	24	76
	Govt Land	0	5	45
	492	0	7	66
	474	0	4	32
	491	0	6	85
	490	0	5	11
	489	0	21	81
	483	0	19	63
	481	0	1	27
	482	0	80	36
	242	0	14	51
	241	0	2	71
	240	0	39	12
	244	0	1	51
	239	0	23	15
	237	0	33	26
	236	0	17	68
	Canal	0	3	20
	173	0	14	39
	Road (N.H-8)	0	12	92
	Canal	0	6	56
	175	0	8	80
	161	0	4	82
	160	0	11	1
	159	0	14	80
	158	0	32	91
	Canal	0	5	77
10. Padgha	Road (MDR)	0	3	38
	594	0	28	34
	593	0	0	62
	596	0	54	89
	Canal	0	6	33
	598	0	35	65
	Cart Track	0	5	44

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Padgha	602	0	0	6
	599	0	13	42
	558	0	25	84
	557	0	9	62
	556	0	19	83
	555	0	23	5
	Road (Asp)	0	2	47
11. Vejalpor	Raod (Asp)	0	1	27
	84	0	24	16
	Field Channel	0	4	40
	84	0	16	98
	Road (Asp)	0	3	84
	89	0	22	85
	87	0	44	18
	100	0	52	89
	101	0	15	58
	Nala	0	2	0
	116	0	32	15
	117	0	0	34
	120	0	68	55
	118	0	0	27
	121	0	39	81
	122	0	0	22
	Nala	0	4	1
	151	0	28	61
	150	0	4	56
	149	0	25	83
	148	0	43	2
	Field Channel	0	4	52
	147	0	12	27
	146	0	34	62
	Canal	0	0	10
12. Parthan	69	0	38	11
	Canal	0	7	57
	75	0	0	5
	68	0	0	2
	76	0	57	7
	66	0	6	25
	65	0	38	97
	62	0	20	35
	Field Channel	0	4	91
	63	0	7	51
	53	0	34	24
	52	0	86	64
	35	0	16	39
	36	0	28	1
	Cart Track	0	1	21

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Parthan	30	0	36	75
	Cart Trackl	0	1	44
	28	0	69	94
	27	0	32	52
	Field Canal	0	2	14
13. Dhaman	251	0	5	22
	249	0	24	14
	248	0	27	7
Taluka : Jalalpor	**Dist : Navsari**	**State: Gujarat**		
1. Simlak	Field Channel	0	2	0
	442	0	43	53
	441	0	13	26
	443	0	21	47
	444	0	2	83
	459	0	22	20
	Cart Track	0	3	63
2. Dabhel	129	0	17	26
	133	0	31	27
	130	0	2	62
	128	0	0	73
	131	0	38	7
	132	0	26	40
	Canal	0	12	37
	118	0	1	36
	117	0	21	86
	116	0	12	33
	Cart Track	0	1	41
	Canal	0	15	43
	148	0	8	67
	105	0	0	24
	151	0	17	59
	152	0	20	2
	153	0	0	53
	154	0	30	99
	155	0	0	3
	158	0	18	88
	157	0	13	88
	159	0	21	41
	160	0	16	0
	183	0	16	46
	Canal	0	5	84
	182	0	8	38
	163	0	8	93
	181	0	10	94
	180	0	3	8
	170	0	2	68
	171	0	18	5

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Dabhel	172	0	1	72
	173	0	7	47
	174	0	21	62
	Road (S.H)	0	5	24
	311	0	23	14
	302	0	7	48
	310	0	9	12
	308	0	12	0
	309	0	0	81
	307	0	39	2
	446	0	18	47
	445	0	23	20
	452	0	7	25
	451	0	18	83
	453	0	29	92
	Cart Track	0	4	65
	500	0	20	23
	499	0	56	12
	Cart Track	0	1	72
	Nala	0	15	73
3. Asana	204	0	16	77
	205	0	5	9
	206	0	1	40
	207	0	17	54
	208	0	7	37
	210	0	2	56
	209	0	2	56
	247	0	2	16
	246	0	13	34
	245	0	7	64
	243	0	3	50
	244	0	5	0
	240	0	4	90
	239	0	10	13
	237	0	8	94
	267	0	2	86
	268	0	11	22
	269	0	11	15
	Cart Track	0	2	77
	359	0	16	16
	360	0	7	61
	361	0	1	65
	362	0	1	68
	367	0	18	10
	355	0	19	3
	354	0	19	65
	352	0	23	53

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Asana	349	0	12	9
	Mindhola River	0	28	30

[F. No. L-14014/39/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 16 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3033.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए जामनगर-भोपाल और काकीनाडा हैदराबाद-गोवा पाइपलाइन को आपस में जोडने के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपजाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम् 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वार्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियां साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के सम्बन्ध में श्री ए.के.संघवी, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी. जी.आई. सी. एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, आनंद महल अपार्टमेंट, भुलक्का भवन शाला के सामने, आनंद महल रोड, सूरत 395007, गुजरात राज्य को लिखित रुप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

तहसील : उमरगाम	जिल्ला : वलसाड	राज्य : गुजरात

गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर / ब्लोक नं	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेकटर	आरे	चो.मी
1	2	3	4	5
1 झरोली	252	0	79	89
	251	0	8	74
	नहर	0	21	8
	253	0	1	22
	254	0	22	24
	244	0	59	66
	243	0	7	71
	242	0	6	98
	241	0	22	27
	240	0	16	47
	238	0	75	66
	237	0	14	18
	234	0	36	34
	235	0	16	13
	233	0	56	7
	नहर	0	5	50
	232	0	35	54
	228	0	29	57
	231	0	3	73
	229	0	21	5
	280	0	1	52
	225	0	31	11
	224	1	11	95
	223	0	7	82
	202	0	78	58
	रास्ता	0	2	83
	203	0	3	1
	192	0	57	85
	191	0	35	50
	189	0	11	60
	188	0	23	14
	187	0	12	47
	330अ/1	0	1	38
	330 ब	0	0	70
	330 क	0	5	98
	330 ड	0	4	7
	186	0	16	89
	184	0	50	45

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर झरेली	185	0	14	40
	रास्ता	0	4	90
	वार्ल्वी पाडा	0	10	36
	175	0	38	79
	176	0	28	84
	174	0	71	32
	167	0	46	40
	168	0	4	76
	165	0	48	76
	166	0	12	60
	161	0	45	45
	162	0	1	22
	116	0	33	84
	नदी	0	15	90
	158	0	73	92
	154	0	0	83
	155	0	48	23
	रास्ता	0	2	48
	157	0	24	23
	रास्ता	0	2	17
	141	0	70	82
	139	1	45	1
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	2	72
	129	0	85	15
2. भीलाड	123	0	60	20
	119	1	47	64
	117	0	77	23
	115	0	2	16
	107	0	57	2
	104	0	40	36
	96	0	19	9
	97	0	12	84
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	7	49
	93	0	14	14
	95	0	10	97
	90	1	22	56
	91	0	3	60
3. वेगलाइ	87	0	35	42
	88	0	35	27
	86	0	11	6
	89	0	18	3
	102	0	5	31
	172	0	0	20
	184	0	28	7

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वेारलाइ	पाडा	0	11	8
	92	0	15	34
	78	0	12	48
	77	0	84	58
	75	0	74	70
	76	0	25	78
	64	0	26	36
	67	0	3	84
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	16
	65	0	0	49
	68	0	9	42
	66	0	9	27
	57	0	9	81
	58	0	13	62
	नदी	0	10	34
	31	0	0	18
	33	0	57	50
	34	0	23	71
	35	0	26	93
	180	1	48	9
	26	0	19	87
	24	0	13	89
	25	0	19	34
	20	0	17	60
4. अच्छारी	डामर रास्ता	0	3	81
	74	0	65	32
	नहर	0	2	63
	75	0	0	22
	76	0	16	38
	72	0	26	89
	71	0	89	47
	70	0	40	48
	37	0	67	54
	36	0	39	96
	44	0	1	20
	45	0	71	77
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	62
	नदी	0	42	24

तहसील : पारडी	जिल्ला ः वलसाड	राज्य ः गुजरात		
1 डुंगरा	नदी	0	38	43
	111	0	17	0
	115	0	35	57
	नाला	0	29	76
	116	0	6	17

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर डुंगग	नाला	0	14	59
	117	0	2	5
	120	0	1	93
	121	0	14	21
	127	0	54	67
	129	0	21	63
	नाला	0	1	45
	149	0	69	12
	नाला	0	0	10
	148	0	1	45
	153	0	5	12
	150	0	17	36
	144	0	17	6
	151	0	1	1
	141	0	1	21
	142	0	12	67
	140	0	5	23
	139	0	28	38
	299	0	13	66
	298	0	40	86
	297	0	29	66
	303	0	19	31
	219	0	10	32
	306	0	19	12
	309	0	7	2
	316	0	29	80
	315	0	18	24
	317	0	40	83
	नहर	0	1	20
	318	0	30	92
	गस्ता	0	7	47
	323	0	50	73
	327	0	21	4
	328	0	30	15
	421	0	34	95
	420	0	7	93
	419	0	31	28
	416	0	1	24
	426	0	36	43
	405	0	7	69
	404	0	16	65
	403	0	0	54
	406	0	10	38
	410	0	58	2
	402	0	1	74

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर डुंगरा	398	0	72	5
	399	0	6	86
2. करवड	नाला	0	3	54
	282	0	32	40
	283	0	20	93
	284	0	0	16
	287	0	0	9
	286	0	26	96
	304	0	3	66
	305	0	16	5
	303	0	2	13
	330	0	44	46
	328	0	0	4
	329	0	1	15
	331	0	18	10
	332	0	16	26
	338	0	3	23
	रास्ता	0	3	81
	337	0	2	29
	334	0	0	24
	336	0	25	42
	335	0	6	62
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	7	26
	479	0	19	92
	482	0	49	68
	481	0	27	73
	480	0	15	83
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	58
	441	0	10	18
	443	0	13	1
	442	0	3	1
	438	0	19	38
	436	0	0	3
	437	0	5	87
	435	0	10	85
	381	0	19	56
	नहर	0	3	56
	380	0	2	59
	382	0	12	4
	383	0	25	41
	385	0	48	45
	384	0	3	10
	581	0	8	33
	582	0	37	52
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	95

1	2	3	4	5
3 कोचरवा	34	0	25	15
	33	0	24	52
	32	0	0	60
	24	0	24	84
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	2	45
	38	0	39	7
	19	0	1	28
	18	0	1	8
	39	0	15	82
	40	0	27	89
	17	0	0	89
	41	0	44	23
	41 ओर 82 के बीच	0	14	0
	82	0	12	57
	81	0	24	64
	83	0	3	99
	80	0	2	51
	96	0	13	85
	95	0	3	69
	97	0	19	50
	98	0	22	41
	101	0	10	83
	100	0	8	60
	99	0	37	4
	108	0	5	72
	रास्ता	0	4	10
	172	0	18	33
	171	0	16	71
	177	0	23	60
	डामर रास्ता	0	4	73
	169	0	7	37
	168	0	32	69
	167	0	12	48
	180	0	13	60
	162	0	41	21
	160	0	4	10
	181	0	3	13
	161	0	48	57
	नाला	0	0	58
	नदी	0	19	37
4. पंडोर	राता खाडी	0	46	39
	253	0	15	2
	254	0	9	13
	250	0	45	92
	252	0	44	35

1	2	3	4	5
	रास्ता	0	4	42
निरंतर पंडोर	248	0	12	0
	247	0	28	69
	271	0	5	12
	272	0	7	65
	273	0	19	68
	246	0	12	34
	274	0	41	47
	282	0	70	85
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	31
	287	0	25	84
	286	0	1	23
	290	0	35	27
	292	0	12	64
	293	0	37	30
	237	0	8	18
	201	0	14	59
	202	0	1	31
	200	0	17	9
	199	0	18	11
	194	0	29	54
	रास्ता	0	19	51
	98	0	2	56
	104	0	58	99
	रास्ता	0	10	76
	191	0	3	75
	190	0	3	87
	105	0	1	37
	188	0	26	93
	रास्ता	0	5	16
	138	0	12	23
	139	0	0	34
	137	0	46	49
	नदी	0	55	93
	कोलक नदी	0	16	15
5. अंबाच	471	0	33	70
	454 पैकी	0	0	39
	455	0	44	77
	463+ 464+ 465	0	4	59
	457	0	39	47
	नाला	0	2	88
	460	0	14	46
	459	0	16	32
	461	0	39	28
	446	0	11	96

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर अंवाच	444	0	14	73
	445	0	7	73
	रास्ता	0	4	7
	439	0	29	98
	437	0	13	44
	438	0	17	23
	424	0	40	87
	नाला	0	6	25
	420	0	3	42
	422	0	16	62
	421	0	33	44
	417	0	21	18
	डामर रास्ता	0	4	30
	323	0	4	16
	423	0	9	84
	322	0	36	23
	816	0	45	94
	815	0	22	71
	834	0	6	79
	817	0	16	62
	818	0	1	6
	833	0	27	9
	819	0	0	42
	840	0	6	50
	843	0	45	51
	नाला	0	8	18
6 दुमलाव	नाला	0	8	77
	515	0	30	61
	512	0	25	90
	513	0	25	37
	514	0	5	22
	509	0	25	88
	520	0	0	31
	521	0	8	51
	522	0	36	65
	523	0	9	10
	470	0	19	8
	467	0	6	85
	469	0	23	72
	468	0	7	85
	459	0	56	44
	460	0	9	79
	546	0	2	91
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	13
	450	0	2	77

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर डुमलाव	449	0	20	95
	448	0	7	70
	426	0	17	21
	447	0	20	36
	446	0	36	40
	434	0	15	41
	435	0	6	3
	436	0	9	7
	नाला	0	10	63
	437	0	0	90
	196	0	30	37
	195	0	17	1
	194	0	11	1
	191	0	55	60
	186	0	28	1
	185	0	0	62
	164	0	62	50
	160	0	12	12
	165	0	14	44
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	12
	नहर	0	5	46
	166	0	4	49
	167	0	26	44
	158	0	10	99
	157	0	4	75
	153	0	18	0
	155	0	33	59
7. खुटेज	133	0	47	8
	137	0	1	94
	138	0	44	88
8. रोहीणा	579	0	29	2
	डामर रास्ता	0	4	43
	578	0	0	27
	577	0	78	38
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	4	58
	115	0	15	70
	102	0	58	48
	106	0	21	86
	103	0	20	35
	104	0	5	32
	107	0	1	5
	105	0	0	26
	108	0	27	39
	94	0	38	65
	91	0	10	39

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर रोहीणा	नाला	0	4	53
	92	0	39	28
	93	0	24	3
9 नरमालीया	294	0	3	53
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	7	76
	275	0	14	58
	274	0	11	66
	276	0	18	93
	273	0	5	11
	279	0	11	89
	279 और 249 के बीच	0	1	49
	249	0	20	13
	250	0	16	63
	251	0	42	12
	252	0	20	17
	253	0	18	1
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	89
	126	0	24	63
	133	0	0	26
	132	0	6	25
	128	0	3	48
	131	0	15	59
	130	0	13	62
	109	0	16	30
	110	0	5	0
	108	0	43	9
	104	0	3	50
	103	0	13	26
	103 और 102 के बीच	0	11	79
	102	0	0	21
	102 और 101 के बीच	0	15	25
	102 और 101 के बीच	0	2	49
	101	0	15	48
	88	0	0	20
	10	0	11	50
	12	0	9	5
	11	0	17	9
	13	0	8	9
	3	0	10	47
	6	0	17	65
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	11
	5	0	13	39
	4	0	5	62
	नाला	0	1	35

1	2	3	4	5
10. सोनवाडा	559	0	8	74
	नाला	0	10	80
	542	0	19	50
	543	0	16	39
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	6	9
	557	0	35	90
	544	0	1	13
	546	0	21	60
	533	0	25	60
	532	0	26	74
	507	0	14	68
	नाला	0	2	5
	508	0	7	71
	510	0	0	11
	509	0	10	60
	505	0	0	97
	512	0	32	87
	513	0	10	5
	521	0	2	96
	519	0	33	13
	520	0	2	13
	518	0	1	35
	517	0	13	87
	516	0	0	21
	3	0	1	45
	2	0	7	20
	1	0	5	35
	1 और 1 से 9 / पै के बीच	0	3	60
	(1 से 9 / पै)	0	56	97
	रास्ता	0	3	49
	18	0	2	15
	17	0	9	77
	13	0	2	65
	16	0	13	61
	14	0	2	46
	15	0	49	68
	44	0	19	19
	43	0	0	10
	45	0	11	36
	46	0	10	94
	80	0	0	18
	85	0	13	47
	नाला	0	7	68
	नाला और 107 के बीच	0	1	26
	107	0	41	54

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर सोनवाडा	नहर	0	4	31
	112	0	35	59
	118	0	24	8
	121	0	5	50
	122	0	0	31
	नाला	0	0	97
	129	0	0	99
	128	0	0	10
	127	0	38	95
	126	0	1	36
	134	0	2	67
	135	0	11	68
	136	0	28	89
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	8	75
	142	0	9	99
	141	0	23	96
	140	0	21	66
	166	0	6	27
	नाला	0	1	46
11 सुखेश	नाला	0	4	55
	458	0	34	92
	457	0	33	19
	456	0	22	95
	429	0	5	71
	455	0	27	15
	430	0	7	76
	431	0	10	30
	432	0	25	94
	433	0	2	96
	450	0	58	39
	448	0	26	47
	471	0	24	69
	472	0	16	29
	444	0	10	43
	474	0	16	14
	443	0	50	51
	442	0	25	36
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	8	83
	279	0	10	86
	278	0	24	91
	276	0	17	25
	281	0	7	68
	275	0	24	47
	283	0	22	96
	284	0	8	34

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर सुखेश	290	0	18	57
	289	0	45	66
	297	0	0	24
	311	0	5	78
	301	0	28	69
	310	0	10	48
	309	0	3	64
	313	0	27	66
	314	0	21	78
	321	0	2	79
	317	0	9	52
	315	0	8	52
12 सोनंधलवाडा	227	0	56	24
	222	0	0	1
	228	0	8	45
	226	0	18	64
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	79
	223	0	27	20
	221	0	10	1
	219	0	50	57
	216	0	12	60
	215	0	18	43
	नाला	0	10	93
13. परवासा	नाला	0	4	68
	227	0	33	38
	228	0	0	51
	229	0	36	33
	230	0	45	83
	239	0	26	19
	235	0	22	63
	238	0	0	10
	236	0	53	75
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	32
	308	0	26	31
	309	0	34	42
	321	0	14	85
	320	0	26	50
	327	0	46	97
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	7	85
	328	0	18	51
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	0
	331	0	36	16
	330	0	0	11
	332	0	1	98
	335	0	33	97

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर परवाना	पर नदी	0	26	37
तहसील : वलसाड	जिल्ला ः वलसाड	राज्य ः गुजरात		
1 नवेरा	पर नदी	0	32	11
	968	0	50	88
	972	0	31	12
	971	0	12	29
	973	0	21	68
	983	0	21	36
	कच्चा गस्ता	0	2	33
	890	0	48	67
	894	0	8	10
	893	0	4	78
	886	0	51	53
	900	0	4	14
	वेलंडी नदी	0	15	38
	881	1	21	80
	876	0	11	56
	877	0	1	31
	कच्चा गस्ता	0	1	37
	875	0	2	57
	874	0	2	18
	865	0	38	33
	867	0	22	55
	849	0	23	34
	डामर गस्ता	0	5	74
	846	0	27	28
	842	0	20	16
	नहर	0	2	35
	841	0	24	60
	नाला	0	11	6
	764	0	35	56
	763	0	3	13
	748	0	29	97
	746	0	12	62
	743	0	15	34
	742	0	17	50
	739	0	7	38
	738	0	17	45
	734	0	37	53
	732	0	12	94
	31	0	43	39
2 अंजलाव	465	1	2	7
	नहर	0	1	79
	466	0	25	65

1	2	3	4	5
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	28
निरंतर अम्जलाव	463	0	14	25
	462	0	6	98
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	75
	448	0	35	42
	445	0	7	45
	446	0	9	40
	447	0	3	40
	449	0	0	56
	444	0	17	19
	443	0	55	71
	439	0	2	64
	440	0	6	26
	441	0	10	41
	442	0	10	25
	1305	0	1	84
3. गाडरीया	1306	0	6	90
	1304	0	23	41
	1307	0	1	46
	1302	0	1	68
	1301	0	9	81
	1300	0	1	13
	1298	0	8	6
	1297	0	3	92
	1299	0	8	73
	1296	0	20	3
	1295	0	16	13
	1291	0	17	66
	1290	0	2	34
	1284	0	17	68
	1285	0	12	48
	1280	0	9	92
	1289	0	4	54
	1279	0	23	98
	1274	0	0	20
	1275	0	0	12
	1278	0	3	30
	1277	0	8	11
	राज्य धोरीमार्ग	0	12	39
	1093	0	8	88
	1092	0	1	67
	1094	0	11	9
	1096	0	43	48
	1090	0	6	0
	नाला	0	5	36

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर गाडरीया	1098	0	23	4
	1099	0	22	64
	1085	0	0	45
	1100	0	26	31
	1074	0	26	50
	1075	0	27	18
	1076	0	22	21
	1072	0	0	11
	1077	0	23	48
	1034	0	21	37
	1035	0	0	16
	1033	0	0	24
	नहर	0	13	94
	1026	0	24	4
	1027	0	44	28
	नाला	0	13	97
4. कांजनहारी	वांकी नदी	0	8	71
	164	0	56	72
	163	0	6	58
	162	0	28	74
	145	0	13	44
	146	0	0	19
	144	0	0	10
	147	0	14	40
	161	0	30	87
	148	0	10	0
	149	0	9	28
	नहर	0	19	0
	150	0	23	21
	118	0	20	2
	126	0	6	1
	125	0	31	30
	119	0	24	67
	डामर रास्ता	0	4	2
	93	0	64	30
	94	0	12	39
	91	0	20	42
	64	0	43	30
	50	0	29	64
	67	0	11	22
	68	0	2	81
	69	0	6	80
	70	0	9	80
	71	0	19	68
	79	0	5	73

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर कांजनहारी	75	0	21	79
	76	0	7	89
	नदी	0	20	60
5. ठककरवाडा	नदी	0	21	1
	274	0	24	75
	273	0	1	55
	275	0	28	22
	313	0	24	1
	315	0	22	40
	316	0	19	18
	308	0	18	76
	293	0	1	88
	डामर रास्ता	0	8	81
	302	0	7	94
	301	0	5	4
	332	0	21	49
	331	0	10	51
	335	0	0	93
	336	0	24	78
	5	0	8	49
	6	0	12	0
	4	0	23	96
	9	0	29	13
	10	0	3	30
	14	0	83	34
	13	0	8	43
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	26
6. कलवाडा	585	0	49	59
	584	0	9	25
	नहर	0	26	4
	583	0	2	66
	613	0	22	72
	614	0	19	30
	नाला	0	9	72
	567	0	44	16
	566	0	6	93
	नहर	0	4	40
	569	0	48	10
	512	0	22	38
	515	0	6	4
	508	0	10	38
	517	0	4	40
	516	0	8	38
	518	0	4	15
	520	0	10	95

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर कलवाडा	519	0	41	23
	500	0	9	17
	504	0	7	29
	501	0	15	68
	502	0	11	80
	503	0	4	20
7 कोचवाडा	55	0	0	46
	56	0	12	22
	57	0	5	86
	64	0	10	67
	69	0	9	85
	66	0	0	1
	70	0	2	42
	68	0	8	67
	71	0	0	92
	72	0	3	60
	118	0	13	56
	117	0	3	11
	रास्ता	0	5	74
	114	0	2	26
	112	0	7	87
	109	0	1	60
	113	0	2	35
	92	0	16	29
	91	0	0	86
	95	0	16	56
	108	0	0	1
	93	0	3	89
	94	0	19	40
	96	0	5	2
	171	0	0	1
	172	0	26	2
	173	0	0	8
	289	0	3	19
	288	0	16	57
	287	0	23	37
	नहर	0	5	32
	286	0	14	5
	रास्ता (ओ.डी.आर)	0	7	46
	223	0	21	18
	224	0	0	95
	225	0	10	78
	227	0	3	99
	226	0	24	89
8 फनगवाडा	203	0	9	69

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर फनसवाडा	216	0	66	24
	211	0	2	73
	212	0	14	55
	नहर	0	7	30
	210	0	4	30
	301	0	13	14
	300	0	1	84
	302	0	12	29
	309	0	18	22
	504	0	6	39
	310	0	41	86
	503	0	6	42
	323	0	5	77
	नहर	0	11	25
	500	0	1	36
	490	0	42	60
	488	0	10	40
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	74
	344	0	15	3
	487	0	8	90
	486	0	4	36
	485	0	5	97
	नहर	0	6	71
	484	0	25	8
	483	0	34	77
	482	0	14	5
	नहर	0	3	37
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	4	77
	366	0	33	30
	367	0	12	86
	368	0	12	80
	369	0	19	41
	370	0	48	50
	371	0	7	90
	नाला	0	8	16
	372	0	5	35
	373	0	22	38
	374	0	42	27
	375	0	29	6
	डामर रास्ता	0	1	65
9. घनेरी	1095	0	20	39
	1096	0	1	85
	नहर	0	4	8
	1090	0	7	8

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर घनोरी	1091	0	0	53
	1089	0	14	57
	1088	0	0	2
	रास्ता (अेम.डी.आर)	0	4	8
	878	0	4	19
	879	0	3	3
	880	0	7	19
	881	0	9	93
	883	0	23	87
	882	0	0	1
	868	0	29	44
	905	0	21	2
	907	0	14	91
	908	0	0	23
	910	0	3	40
	912	0	10	23
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	5	91
	रास्ता	0	6	82
	919	0	18	38
	851	0	20	38
	858	0	12	50
	854	0	10	57
	नाला	0	1	95
	855	0	8	73
	857	0	9	49
	707	0	24	6
	856	0	0	49
	710	0	12	47
	709	0	28	59
	708	0	28	10
	712	0	0	15
	375	0	98	76
	नाला	0	1	0
	358	0	43	34
	328	0	38	40
	331	0	0	51
	329	0	9	58
	नहर	0	5	92
	319	0	3	45
	330	0	5	10
	313	0	18	50
	309	0	0	44
	311	0	11	33
	312	0	12	35
	नहर	0	10	15

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर घनोरी	312	0	17	37
	280	0	57	96
	287	0	4	66
	277	0	29	71
	278	0	14	48
	नहर	0	2	5
10. तीघरा	233	0	9	2
	232	0	6	2
	231	0	11	30
	230	0	20	99
	227	0	25	66
	226	0	13	54
	225	0	30	35
	डामर रास्ता	0	7	81
	220	0	22	30
	218	0	2	80
	219	0	38	7
	217	0	0	69
	221	0	13	85
	136	0	25	75
	135	0	38	25
	134	0	0	15
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	51
	128	0	29	71
	129	0	44	35
	नाला	0	2	6
11. गोरगाम	336	0	1	15
	337	0	37	59
	331	0	19	10
	340	0	28	98
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	1	99
	325	0	13	47
	324	0	55	35
	323	0	11	63
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	4	66
	315	0	48	42
	314	0	34	70
	300	0	12	70
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	8	7
	138	0	1	19
	143	0	4	54
	नहर	0	6	47
	264	0	19	41
	263	0	4	76

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर गोरगाम	कच्चा रास्ता	0	4	85
	252	0	0	19
	253	0	7	68
	254	0	15	20
	259	0	9	57
	258	0	11	17
	255	0	13	40
	256	0	16	53
	257	0	0	1
	231	0	26	67
	232	0	10	20
	215	0	14	96
	221	0	0	56
	228	0	3	7
	222	0	14	22
	223	0	6	6
	220	0	17	17
	219	0	23	20
	218	0	13	46
	डामर रास्ता	0	2	21
	880	0	1	76
	881	0	29	43
	878	0	0	8
	882	0	40	87
	883	0	24	71
	884	0	35	9
	885	0	31	47
	886	0	11	71
	रास्ता (मेटल)	0	10	95
	949	0	37	47
	950	0	0	9
	917	0	35	74
	916	0	3	84
	915	0	60	63
	918	0	0	2
	919	0	1	49
	913	0	40	56
	नाला	0	2	39
	914	0	12	51
	नाला	0	5	26
	910	0	23	95
12 वाघलधरा	124	0	20	30
	123	0	13	56
	125	0	2	59
	122	0	26	99

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वाघलधरा	121	0	49	12
	95	0	1	17
	120	0	18	55
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	6	97
	128	0	9	3
	गटर	0	2	38
	डामर रास्ता	0	3	50
	392	0	7	87
	391	0	38	98
	404	0	2	58
	घोरीमार्ग 8	0	14	54
	400	0	1	65
	399	0	11	82
	398	0	1	31
	409	0	20	33
	426	0	23	49
	427	0	21	56
	425	0	3	29
	378	0	0	6
	428	0	0	10
	429	0	13	33
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	6	27
	377	0	0	41
	376	0	6	75
	375	0	1	0
	366	0	59	95
	368	0	0	53
	नदी	0	31	13
	557	0	12	10
	558	0	0	79
	559	0	4	13
	560	0	0	55
	554	0	10	58
	561	0	15	29
	562	0	30	53
	563	0	1	1
	564	0	23	18
	565	0	7	91
	566	0	2	12
	590	0	22	91
	583	0	12	13
	582	0	2	42
	584	0	2	44
	589	0	0	4
	581	0	2	22

1	2	3	4	5
निरंतर वाघलधरा	585	0	6	94
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	2	58
	769	0	1	58
	768	0	37	35
	766	0	14	81
	763	0	5	44
	762	0	10	49
	761	0	1	97
	760	0	0	5
	रास्ता (अेम.डी.आर)	0	2	30
	662	0	12	7
	663	0	1	22
	कच्चा रास्ता	0	3	24
	661	0	0	1
	664	0	8	45
	667	0	12	22
	668	0	5	26
	669	0	4	79
	674	0	4	96
	677	0	0	26
	676	0	3	61
	675	0	12	26
	680	0	1	14
	694	0	2	1
	682	0	15	8
	687	0	7	1
	686	0	5	35
	688	0	7	41
	689	0	5	57
	685	0	0	37

[फा. सं. एल-14014/40/2004-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 16th November, 2004

S. O. 3033.— Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest to lay pipeline for transportation of Natural Gas through an interconnection between Jamnagar-Bhopal and Kakinada -Hydarabad Goa pipeline, by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited,

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user (ROU) in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub- section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein,

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri A.K.Sanghavi, , Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited Pipeline Project, Atlanta Tower, 3rd Floor, Opp. Inqulab Society, Gulbai Tekra, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad – 380 006, Gujarat.

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : Umargam	District : Valsad	State : Gujarat		
Name of the Village	Survey No./Block No.	Area to be acquired for ROU		
		Hectare	Are	Sq m
1	2	3	4	5
1. Zaroli	252	0	79	89
	251	0	8	74
	Canal	0	21	8
	253	0	1	22
	254	0	22	24
	244	0	59	66
	243	0	7	71
	242	0	6	98
	241	0	22	27
	240	0	16	47
	238	0	75	66
	237	0	14	18
	234	0	36	34
	235	0	16	13
	233	0	56	7
	Canal	0	5	50
	232	0	35	54
	228	0	29	57
	231	0	3	73
	229	0	21	5
	280	0	1	52
	225	0	31	11
	224	1	11	95
	223	0	7	82
	202	0	78	58
	Road	0	2	83
	203	0	3	1
	192	0	57	85
	191	0	35	50
	189	0	11	60
	188	0	23	14
	187	0	12	47
	330A/1	0	1	38
	330 B	0	0	70
	330 C	0	5	98

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Zaroli	330 D	0	4	7
	186	0	16	89
	184	0	50	45
	185	0	14	40
	Road	0	4	90
	Walvi Pada	0	10	36
	175	0	38	79
	176	0	28	84
	174	0	71	32
	167	0	46	40
	168	0	4	76
	165	0	48	76
	166	0	12	60
	161	0	45	45
	162	0	1	22
	116	0	33	84
	River	0	15	90
	158	0	73	92
	154	0	0	83
	155	0	48	23
	Road	0	2	48
	157	0	24	23
	Road	0	2	17
	141	0	70	82
	139	1	45	1
	Cart Track	0	2	72
	129	0	85	15
2. Bhilad	123	0	60	20
	119	1	47	64
	117	0	77	23
	115	0	2	16
	107	0	57	2
	104	0	40	36
	96	0	19	9
	97	0	12	84
	Road (S.H)	0	7	49
	93	0	14	14
	95	0	10	97
	90	1	22	56
	91	0	3	60
3. Borlai	87	0	35	42
	88	0	35	27
	86	0	11	6
	89	0	18	3
	102	0	5	31
	172	0	0	20

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Borlai	184	0	28	7
	Pada	0	11	8
	92	0	15	34
	78	0	12	48
	77	0	84	58
	75	0	74	70
	76	0	25	78
	64	0	26	36
	67	0	3	84
	Road(Asp)	0	2	16
	65	0	0	49
	68	0	9	42
	66	0	9	27
	57	0	9	81
	58	0	13	62
	River	0	10	34
	31	0	0	18
	33	0	57	50
	34	0	23	71
	35	0	26	93
	180	1	48	9
	26	0	19	87
	24	0	13	89
	25	0	19	34
	20	0	17	60
4. Achchhari	Road (Asp)	0	3	81
	74	0	65	32
	Canal	0	2	63
	75	0	0	22
	76	0	16	38
	72	0	26	89
	71	0	89	47
	70	0	40	48
	37	0	67	54
	36	0	39	96
	44	0	1	20
	45	0	71	77
	Road (Asp)	0	3	62
	River	0	42	24
Taluka : Pardi	**Dist : Valsad**	**State: Gujarat**		
1. Dungara	River	0	38	43
	111	0	17	0
	115	0	35	57
	Nala	0	29	76
	116	0	6	17
	Nala	0	14	59

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Dungara	117	0	2	5
	120	0	1	93
	121	0	14	21
	127	0	54	67
	129	0	21	63
	Nala	0	1	45
	149	0	69	12
	Nala	0	0	10
	148	0	1	45
	153	0	5	12
	150	0	17	36
	144	0	17	6
	151	0	1	1
	141	0	1	21
	142	0	12	67
	140	0	5	23
	139	0	28	38
	299	0	13	66
	298	0	40	86
	297	0	29	66
	303	0	19	31
	219	0	10	32
	306	0	19	12
	309	0	7	2
	316	0	29	80
	315	0	18	24
	317	0	40	83
	Canal	0	1	20
	318	0	30	92
	Road (S.H)	0	7	47
	323	0	50	73
	327	0	21	4
	328	0	30	15
	421	0	34	95
	420	0	7	93
	419	0	31	28
	416	0	1	24
	426	0	36	43
	405	0	7	69
	404	0	16	65
	403	0	0	54
	406	0	10	38
	410	0	58	2
	402	0	1	74
	398	0	72	5
	399	0	6	86

1	2	3	4	5
2. Karvad	Nala	0	3	54
	282	0	32	40
	283	0	20	93
	284	0	0	16
	287	0	0	9
	286	0	26	96
	304	0	3	66
	305	0	16	5
	303	0	2	13
	330	0	44	46
	328	0	0	4
	329	0	1	15
	331	0	18	10
	332	0	16	26
	338	0	3	23
	Road	0	3	81
	337	0	2	29
	334	0	0	24
	336	0	25	42
	335	0	6	62
	Road (S.H)	0	7	26
	479	0	19	92
	482	0	49	68
	481	0	27	73
	480	0	15	83
	Cart Track	0	1	58
	441	0	10	18
	443	0	13	1
	442	0	3	1
	438	0	19	38
	436	0	0	3
	437	0	5	87
	435	0	10	85
	381	0	19	56
	Canal	0	3	56
	380	0	2	59
	382	0	12	4
	383	0	25	41
	385	0	48	45
	384	0	3	10
	581	0	8	33
	582	0	37	52
	Road (Asp)	0	3	95
3. Kocharava	34	0	25	15
	33	0	24	52
	32	0	0	60

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Kocharava	24	0	24	84
	Cart Track	0	2	45
	38	0	39	7
	19	0	1	28
	18	0	1	8
	39	0	15	82
	40	0	27	89
	17	0	0	89
	41	0	44	23
	Betn 41 & 82	0	14	0
	82	0	12	57
	81	0	24	64
	83	0	3	99
	80	0	2	51
	96	0	13	85
	95	0	3	69
	97	0	19	50
	98	0	22	41
	101	0	10	83
	100	0	8	60
	99	0	37	4
	108	0	5	72
	Road	0	4	10
	172	0	18	33
	171	0	16	71
	177	0	23	60
	Road (Asp)	0	4	73
	169	0	7	37
	168	0	32	69
	167	0	12	48
	180	0	13	60
	162	0	41	21
	160	0	4	10
	181	0	3	13
	161	0	48	57
	Nala	0	0	58
	River	0	19	37
4. Pandor	Rata Khadi	0	46	39
	253	0	15	2
	254	0	9	13
	250	0	45	92
	252	0	44	35
	Road	0	4	42
	248	0	12	0
	247	0	28	69

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Pandor	271	0	5	12
	272	0	7	65
	273	0	19	68
	246	0	12	34
	274	0	41	47
	282	0	70	85
	Cart Track	0	1	31
	287	0	25	84
	286	0	1	23
	290	0	35	27
	292	0	12	64
	293	0	37	30
	237	0	8	18
	201	0	14	59
	202	0	1	31
	200	0	17	9
	199	0	18	11
	194	0	29	54
	Road	0	19	51
	98	0	2	56
	104	0	58	99
	Road	0	10	76
	191	0	3	75
	190	0	3	87
	105	0	1	37
	188	0	26	93
	Road	0	5	16
	138	0	12	23
	139	0	0	34
	137	0	46	49
	River	0	55	93
5. Ambach	Kolak River	0	16	15
	471	0	33	70
	454P	0	0	39
	455	0	44	77
	463+464+465	0	4	59
	457	0	39	47
	Nala	0	2	88
	460	0	14	46
	459	0	16	32
	461	0	39	28
	446	0	11	96
	444	0	14	73
	445	0	7	73

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Ambach	Road	0	4	7
	439	0	29	98
	437	0	13	44
	438	0	17	23
	424	0	40	87
	Nala	0	6	25
	420	0	3	42
	422	0	16	62
	421	0	33	44
	417	0	21	18
	Road (Asp)	0	4	30
	323	0	4	16
	423	0	9	84
	322	0	36	23
	816	0	45	94
	815	0	22	71
	834	0	6	79
	817	0	16	62
	818	0	1	6
	833	0	27	9
	819	0	0	42
	840	0	6	50
	843	0	45	51
	Nala	0	8	18
6. Dumlav	Nala	0	8	77
	515	0	30	61
	512	0	25	90
	513	0	25	37
	514	0	5	22
	509	0	25	88
	520	0	0	31
	521	0	8	51
	522	0	36	65
	523	0	9	10
	470	0	19	8
	467	0	6	85
	469	0	23	72
	468	0	7	85
	459	0	56	44
	460	0	9	79
	546	0	2	91
	Cart Track	0	5	13
	450	0	2	77
	449	0	20	95

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Dumlav	448	0	7	70
	426	0	17	21
	447	0	20	36
	446	0	36	40
	434	0	15	41
	435	0	6	3
	436	0	9	7
	Nala	0	10	63
	437	0	0	90
	196	0	30	37
	195	0	17	1
	194	0	11	1
	191	0	55	60
	186	0	28	1
	185	0	0	62
	164	0	62	50
	160	0	12	12
	165	0	14	44
	Road (Asp)	0	3	12
	Canal	0	5	46
	166	0	4	49
	167	0	26	44
	158	0	10	99
	157	0	4	75
	153	0	18	0
	155	0	33	59
7. Khutej	133	0	47	8
	137	0	1	94
	138	0	44	88
8. Rohina	579	0	29	2
	Road (Asp)	0	4	43
	578	0	0	27
	577	0	78	38
	Cart Track	0	4	58
	115	0	15	70
	102	0	58	48
	106	0	21	86
	103	0	20	35
	104	0	5	32
	107	0	1	5
	105	0	0	26
	108	0	27	39
	94	0	38	65
	91	0	10	39

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Rohina	Nala	0	4	53
	92	0	39	28
	93	0	24	3
9. Tarmaliya	294	0	3	53
	Cart Track	0	7	76
	275	0	14	58
	274	0	11	66
	276	0	18	93
	273	0	5	11
	279	0	11	89
	Betn 279 & 249	0	1	49
	249	0	20	13
	250	0	16	63
	251	0	42	12
	252	0	20	17
	253	0	18	1
	Road (Asp)	0	2	89
	126	0	24	63
	133	0	0	26
	132	0	6	25
	128	0	3	48
	131	0	15	59
	130	0	13	62
	109	0	16	30
	110	0	5	0
	108	0	43	9
	104	0	3	50
	103	0	13	26
	Betn 103 & 102	0	11	79
	102	0	0	21
	Betn 102 & 101	0	15	25
	Betn 102 & 101	0	2	49
	101	0	15	48
	88	0	0	20
	10	0	11	50
	12	0	9	5
	11.	0	17	9
	13	0	8	9
	3	0	10	47
	6	0	17	65
	Cart Track	0	3	11
	5	0	13	39
	4	0	5	62
	Nala	0	1	35

1	2	3	4	5
10. Sonwada	559	0	8	74
	Nala	0	10	80
	542	0	19	50
	543	0	16	39
	Cart Track	0	6	9
	557	0	35	90
	544	0	1	13
	546	0	21	60
	533	0	25	60
	532	0	26	74
	507	0	14	68
	Nala	0	2	5
	508	0	7	71
	510	0	0	11
	509	0	10	60
	505	0	0	97
	512	0	32	87
	513	0	10	5
	521	0	2	96
	519	0	33	13
	520	0	2	13
	518	0	1	35
	517	0	13	87
	516	0	0	21
	3	0	1	45
	2	0	7	20
	1	0	5	35
	Betn 1 & (1 to 9 / P)	0	3	60
	(1 to 9 / P)	0	56	97
	Road	0	3	49
	18	0	2	15
	17	0	9	77
	13	0	2	65
	16	0	13	61
	14	0	2	46
	15	0	49	68
	44	0	19	19
	43	0	0	10
	45	0	11	36
	46	0	10	94
	80	0	0	18
	85	0	13	47
	Nala	0	7	68
	Betn Nala & 107	0	1	26
	107	0	41	54

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Sonwada	Canal	0	4	31
	112	0	35	59
	118	0	24	8
	121	0	5	50
	122	0	0	31
	Nala	0	0	97
	129	0	0	99
	128	0	0	10
	127	0	38	95
	126	0	1	36
	134	0	2	67
	135	0	11	68
	136	0	28	89
	Cart Track	0	8	75
	142	0	9	99
	141	0	23	96
	140	0	21	66
	166	0	6	27
	Nala	0	1	46
11. Sukhesh	Nala	0	4	55
	458	0	34	92
	457	0	33	19
	456	0	22	95
	429	0	5	71
	455	0	27	15
	430	0	7	76
	431	0	10	30
	432	0	25	94
	433	0	2	96
	450	0	58	39
	448	0	26	47
	471	0	24	69
	472	0	16	29
	444	0	10	43
	474	0	16	14
	443	0	50	51
	442	0	25	36
	Road (S.H)	0	8	83
	279	0	10	86
	278	0	24	91
	276	0	17	25
	281	0	7	68
	275	0	24	47
	283	0	22	96

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Sukhesh	284	0	8	34
	290	0	18	57
	289	0	45	66
	297	0	0	24
	311	0	5	78
	301	0	28	69
	310	0	10	48
	309	0	3	64
	313	0	27	66
	314	0	21	78
	321	0	2	79
	317	0	9	52
	315	0	8	52
12. Sondhalwada	227	0	56	24
	222	0	0	1
	228	0	8	45
	226	0	18	64
	Cart Track	0	5	79
	223	0	27	20
	221	0	10	1
	219	0	50	57
	216	0	12	60
	215	0	18	43
	Nala	0	10	93
13. Parwasa	Nala	0	4	68
	227	0	33	38
	228	0	0	51
	229	0	36	33
	230	0	45	83
	239	0	26	19
	235	0	22	63
	238	0	0	10
	236	0	53	75
	Cart Track	0	5	32
	308	0	26	31
	309	0	34	42
	321	0	14	85
	320	0	26	50
	327	0	46	97
	Cart Track	0	7	85
	328	0	18	51
	Cart Track	0	1	0
	331	0	36	16
	330	0	0	11

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Parwasa	332	0	1	98
	335	0	33	97
	Par River	0	26	37
Taluka : Valsad	**Dist : Valsad**	**State: Gujarat**		
1. Navera	Par River	0	32	11
	968	0	50	88
	972	0	31	12
	971	0	12	29
	973	0	21	68
	983	0	21	36
	CartTrack	0	2	33
	890	0	48	67
	894	0	8	10
	893	0	4	78
	886	0	51	53
	900	0	4	14
	Velandi Nadi	0	15	38
	881	1	21	80
	876	0	11	56
	877	0	1	31
	Cart Track	0	1	37
	875	0	2	57
	874	0	2	18
	865	0	38	33
	867	0	22	55
	849	0	23	34
	Road (Asp)	0	5	74
	846	0	27	28
	842	0	20	16
	Canal	0	2	35
	841	0	24	60
	Nala	0	11	6
	764	0	35	56
	763	0	3	13
	748	0	29	97
	746	0	12	62
	743	0	15	34
	742	0	17	50
	739	0	7	38
	738	0	17	45
	734	0	37	53
	732	0	12	94
	731	0	43	39

1	2	3	4	5
2. Anjalav	465	1	2	7
	Canal	0	1	79
	466	0	25	65
	Cart Track	0	3	28
	463	0	14	25
	462	0	6	98
	Cart Track	0	3	75
	448	0	35	42
	445	0	7	45
	446	0	9	40
	447	0	3	40
	449	0	0	56
	444	0	17	19
	443	0	55	71
	439	0	2	64
	440	0	6	26
	441	0	10	41
	442	0	10	25
3. Gadariya	1305	0	1	84
	1306	0	6	90
	1304	0	23	41
	1307	0	1	46
	1302	0	1	68
	1301	0	9	81
	1300	0	1	13
	1298	0	8	6
	1297	0	3	92
	1299	0	8	73
	1296	0	20	3
	1295	0	16	13
	1291	0	17	66
	1290	0	2	34
	1284	0	17	68
	1285	0	12	48
	1280	0	9	92
	1289	0	4	54
	1279	0	23	98
	1274	0	0	20
	1275	0	0	12
	1278	0	3	30
	1277	0	8	11
	Road (S.H)	0	12	39
	1093	0	8	88
	1092	0	1	67

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Gadariya	1094	0	11	9
	1096	0	43	48
	1090	0	6	0
	Nala	0	5	36
	1098	0	23	4
	1099	0	22	64
	1085	0	0	45
	1100	0	26	31
	1074	0	26	50
	1075	0	27	18
	1076	0	22	21
	1072	0	0	11
	1077	0	23	48
	1034	0	21	37
	1035	0	0	16
	1033	0	0	24
	Canal	0	13	94
	1026	0	24	4
	1027	0	44	28
	Nala	0	13	97
4. Kanjanhari	Wanki Nadi	0	8	71
	164	0	56	72
	163	0	6	58
	162	0	28	74
	145	0	13	44
	146	0	0	19
	144	0	0	10
	147	0	14	40
	161	0	30	87
	148	0	10	0
	149	0	9	28
	Canal	0	19	0
	150	0	23	21
	118	0	20	2
	126	0	6	1
	125	0	31	30
	119	0	24	67
	Road (Asp)	0	4	2
	93	0	64	30

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Kanjanhari	94	0	12	39
	91	0	20	42
	64	0	43	30
	50	0	29	64
	67	0	11	22
	68	0	2	81
	69	0	6	80
	70	0	9	80
	71	0	19	68
	79	0	5	73
	75	0	21	79
	76	0	7	89
	River	0	20	60
5. Thakkarwada	River	0	21	1
	274	0	24	75
	273	0	1	55
	275	0	28	22
	313	0	24	1
	315	0	22	40
	316	0	19	18
	308	0	18	76
	293	0	1	88
	Road (Asp)	0	8	81
	302	0	7	94
	301	0	5	4
	332	0	21	49
	331	0	10	51
	335	0	0	93
	336	0	24	78
	5	0	8	49
	6	0	12	0
	4	0	23	96
	9	0	29	13
	10	0	3	30
	14	0	83	34
	13	0	8	43
	Road (Asp)	0	2	26
6. Kalwada	585	0	49	59
	584	0	9	25
	Canal	0	26	4
	583	0	2	66
	613	0	22	72
	614	0	19	30
	Nala	0	9	72
	567	0	44	16
	566	0	6	93
	Canal	0	4	40

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Kalwada	569	0	48	10
	512	0	22	38
	515	0	6	4
	508	0	10	38
	517	0	4	40
	516	0	8	38
	518	0	4	15
	520	0	10	95
	519	0	41	23
	500	0	9	17
	504	0	7	29
	501	0	15	68
	502	0	11	80
	503	0	4	20
7. Kochvada	55	0	0	46
	56	0	12	22
	57	0	5	86
	64	0	10	67
	69	0	9	85
	66	0	0	1
	70	0	2	42
	68	0	8	67
	71	0	0	92
	72	0	3	60
	118	0	13	56
	117	0	3	11
	Road	0	5	74
	114	0	2	26
	112	0	7	87
	109	0	1	60
	113	0	2	35
	92	0	16	29
	91	0	0	86
	95	0	16	56
	108	0	0	1
	93	0	3	89
	94	0	19	40
	96	0	5	2
	171	0	0	1
	172	0	26	2
	173	0	0	8
	289	0	3	19
	288	0	16	57
	287	0	23	37
	Canal	0	5	32
	286	0	14	5
	Road (ODR)	0	7	46

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Kochvada	223	0	21	18
	224	0	0	95
	225	0	10	78
	227	0	3	99
	226	0	24	89
8. Fanaswada	203	0	9	69
	216	0	66	24
	211	0	2	73
	212	0	14	55
	Canal	0	7	30
	210	0	4	30
	301	0	13	14
	300	0	1	84
	302	0	12	29
	309	0	18	22
	504	0	6	39
	310	0	41	86
	503	0	6	42
	323	0	5	77
	Canal	0	11	25
	500	0	1	36
	490	0	42	60
	488	0	10	40
	Road (Asp)	0	3	74
	344	0	15	3
	487	0	8	90
	486	0	4	36
	485	0	5	97
	Canal	0	6	71
	484	0	25	8
	483	0	34	77
	482	0	14	5
	Canal	0	3	37
	Cart Track	0	4	77
	366	0	33	30
	367	0	12	86
	368	0	12	80
	369	0	19	41
	370	0	48	50
	371	0	7	90
	Nala	0	8	16
	372	0	5	35
	373	0	22	38
	374	0	42	27
	375	0	29	6
	Road (Asp)	0	1	65
9. Dhanori	1095	0	20	39

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Dhanori	1096	0	1	85
	Canal	0	4	8
	1090	0	7	8
	1091	0	0	53
	1089	0	14	57
	1088	0	0	2
	Road (MDR)	0	4	8
	878	0	4	19
	879	0	3	3
	880	0	7	19
	881	0	9	93
	883	0	23	87
	882	0	0	1
	868	0	29	44
	905	0	21	2
	907	0	14	91
	908	0	0	23
	910	0	3	40
	912	0	10	23
	Cart Track	0	5	91
	Road	0	6	82
	919	0	18	38
	851	0	20	38
	858	0	12	50
	854	0	10	57
	Nala	0	1	95
	855	0	8	73
	857	0	9	49
	707	0	24	6
	856	0	0	49
	710	0	12	47
	709	0	28	59
	708	0	28	10
	712	0	0	15
	375	0	98	76
	Nala	0	1	0
	358	0	43	34
	328	0	38	40
	331	0	0	51
	329	0	9	58
	Canal	0	5	92
	319	0	3	45
	330	0	5	10
	313	0	18	50
	309	0	0	44
	311	0	11	33
	312	0	12	35

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Dhanori	Canal	0	10	15
	312	0	17	37
	280	0	57	96
	287	0	4	66
	277	0	29	71
	278	0	14	48
	Canal	0	2	5
10. Tighara	233	0	9	2
	232	0	6	2
	231	0	11	30
	230	0	20	99
	227	0	25	66
	226	0	13	54
	225	0	30	35
	Road (Asp)	0	7	81
	220	0	22	30
	218	0	2	80
	219	0	38	7
	217	0	0	69
	221	0	13	85
	136	0	25	75
	135	0	38	25
	134	0	0	15
	Road (Asp)	0	2	51
	128	0	29	71
	129	0	44	35
	Nala	0	2	6
11. Gorgam	336	0	1	15
	337	0	37	59
	331	0	19	10
	340	0	28	98
	Cart Track	0	1	99
	325	0	13	47
	324	0	55	35
	323	0	11	63
	Cart Track	0	4	66
	315	0	48	42
	314	0	34	70
	300	0	12	70
	Cart Track	0	8	7
	138	0	1	19
	143	0	4	54
	Canal	0	6	47
	264	0	19	41
	263	0	4	76
	Cart Track	0	4	85
	252	0	0	19

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Gorgam	253	0	7	68
	254	0	15	20
	259	0	9	57
	258	0	11	17
	255	0	13	40
	256	0	16	53
	257	0	0	1
	231	0	26	67
	232	0	10	20
	215	0	14	96
	221	0	0	56
	228	0	3	7
	222	0	14	22
	223	0	6	6
	220	0	17	17
	219	0	23	20
	218	0	13	46
	Road (Asp)	0	2	21
	880	0	1	76
	881	0	29	43
	878	0	0	8
	882	0	40	87
	883	0	24	71
	884	0	35	9
	885	0	31	47
	886	0	11	71
	Road (Metal)	0	10	95
	949	0	37	47
	950	0	0	9
	917	0	35	74
	916	0	3	84
	915	0	60	63
	918	0	0	2
	919	0	1	49
	913	0	40	56
	Nala	0	2	39
	914	0	12	51
	Nala	0	5	26
	910	0	23	95
12 Vaghaldhara	124	0	20	30
	123	0	13	56
	125	0	2	59
	122	0	26	99
	121	0	49	12
	95	0	1	17
	120	0	18	55
	Cart Track	0	6	97

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Vaghaldhara	128	0	9	3
	Drain	0	2	38
	Road (Asp)	0	3	50
	392	0	7	87
	391	0	38	98
	404	0	2	58
	Road (N.H-8)	0	14	54
	400	0	1	65
	399	0	11	82
	398	0	1	31
	409	0	20	33
	426	0	23	49
	427	0	21	56
	425	0	3	29
	378	0	0	6
	428	0	0	10
	429	0	13	33
	Cart Track	0	6	27
	377	0	0	41
	376	0	6	75
	375	0	1	0
	366	0	59	95
	368	0	0	53
	River	0	31	13
	557	0	12	10
	558	0	0	79
	559	0	4	13
	560	0	0	55
	554	0	10	58
	561	0	15	29
	562	0	30	53
	563	0	1	1
	564	0	23	18
	565	0	7	91
	566	0	2	12
	590	0	22	91
	583	0	12	13
	582	0	2	42
	584	0	2	44
	589	0	0	4
	581	0	2	22
	585	0	6	94
	Cart Track	0	2	58
	769	0	1	58
	768	0	37	35
	766	0	14	81
	763	0	5	44

1	2	3	4	5
Cont'd Vaghaldhara	762	0	10	49
	761	0	1	97
	760	0	0	5
	Road (MDR)	0	2	30
	662	0	12	7
	663	0	1	22
	Cart Track	0	3	24
	661	0	0	1
	664	0	8	45
	667	0	12	22
	668	0	5	26
	669	0	4	79
	674	0	4	96
	677	0	0	26
	676	0	3	61
	675	0	12	26
	680	0	1	14
	694	0	2	1
	682	0	15	8
	687	0	7	1
	686	0	5	35
	688	0	7	41
	689	0	5	57
	685	0	0	37

[F. No. L-14014/40/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 17 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3034.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मुन्द्रा (गुजरात) से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में, जो इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने

के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री. राम करण शर्मा, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, मकान संख्या -1418, सेक्टर - 6, बहादुरगढ़ -- 124507, जिला -- झज्जर (हरियाणा) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

तहसील: बहादुरगढ़	जिला: झज्जर			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
1. बादली	72	4	6	00	02	41
			14	00	00	10
			15	00	13	50
			16	00	02	50
			17	00	10	96
			23	00	04	99
			24	00	08	67
		5	10	00	00	73
			11	00	00	10
		12	2	00	02	42
			3/1	00	02	80
			3/2	00	08	22
			8/4	00	00	10
			9	00	13	43
			11	00	09	50
			12	00	02	60
			20	00	10	44
			21	00	00	10
		13	16	00	03	00
			24	00	00	10
			25/1	00	05	49
			25/2	00	07	82
		20	4	00	12	50
			5/1	00	00	90
			7/1	00	05	60
			7/2	00	00	95
			8	00	06	52
			12	00	00	75

तहसील: बहादुरगढ़		जिला: झज्जर		राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
1. बादली (जारी...)	72	20	13	00	12	71
			18	00	00	94
			19	00	03	77
			21	00	03	90
			22	00	08	40
		34	1	00	12	48
			10	00	03	60
		35	5	00	00	24
			6	00	11	06
			14	00	02	73
			15/1	00	03	96
			15/2	00	06	25
			16/2	00	00	10
			17	00	12	89
			23	00	06	70
			24/1	00	05	52
			24/2	00	00	80
		39	16	00	02	89
			25	00	12	81
		40	2	00	01	02
			3	00	12	81
			8	00	00	66
			9/1	00	05	11
			9/2	00	08	05
			11	00	08	71
			12	00	03	40
			20	00	10	57
			21	00	00	10
		61	4	00	06	31
			5	00	06	51

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
1. बादली (जारी...)	72	61	7	00	12	83
			8	00	00	10
			13	00	09	75
			14	00	03	10
			18	00	11	76
			19	00	01	08
			22	00	12	14
			23	00	00	71
		68	15	00	09	97
			16	00	05	59
			17	00	06	45
			23	00	00	90
			24	00	13	06
		69	1	00	02	78
			2	00	09	02
			9/3	00	00	10
			10	00	12	78
			11	00	05	18
		96	3	00	13	45
			4	00	00	30
			8	00	04	37
			9/1	00	07	15
			9/2	00	02	23
			11	00	03	60
			12	00	09	97
			19	00	00	10
			20	00	13	48
			21	00	03	05
		97	25/2	00	00	47
			25/3	00	03	36

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
1. बादली (जारी...)	72	97	25/4	00	04	62
		104	4	00	02	18
			5	00	10	59
			6	00	00	18
			7	00	12	60
			13	00	05	00
			14	00	07	74
			18	00	12	78
			22	00	06	96
			23	00	04	75
		136	1	00	00	10
			2/1	00	09	08
			2/2	00	02	72
			2/3	00	00	40
			9	00	07	68
			620 (नहर)	00	04	20
			634(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	01	99
			635 (सड़क)	00	02	10
			675 (खाल)	00	01	10
			678 (खाल)	00	00	60
			680 (नाला)	00	00	80
			724 (रास्ता)	00	00	60
			2059 (रास्ता)	00	00	60
			2061 (रास्ता)	00	02	08
			2151(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	02	50
			2154 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
			2170 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
			2187 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
			2193 (रास्ता)	00	01	17
2. महमदपुर माजरा	88	8	6/1	00	05	90

तहसील: बहादुरगढ़	जिला: झज्जर			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. महमदपुर माजरा (जारी...)	88	8	14/2/1	00	00	60
			14/2/2	00	01	38
			15/1	00	05	16
			15/2	00	06	96
			16/2	00	00	14
			17/1/1	00	00	30
			17/1/2	00	08	33
			17/2/1	00	05	60
			17/2/2	00	00	20
			18	00	00	10
			23	00	11	04
			24/1	00	01	97
		9	10/1	00	01	53
			10/2	00	08	42
		15	2/1	00	04	39
			2/2	00	03	56
			3	00	06	03
			9	00	12	06
			10	00	01	13
			11	00	12	50
			12	00	00	60
			20	00	10	60
			21	00	00	91
		16	16	00	00	45
			25	00	05	15
		18	5	00	11	09
			6/1	00	02	85
			6/2	00	08	07
			15	00	10	92
			16	00	10	92

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुस्तिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. महमदपुर माजरा (जारी...)	88	18	24/1	00	00	10
			24/2	00	00	25
			25	00	10	66
		32	4	00	04	14
			5	00	06	76
			6	00	01	77
			7	00	09	30
			14	00	08	60
			39 (सड़क)	00	04	40
			85 (खाल)	00	01	73
			86 (खाल)	00	00	90
			97 (कच्चा रास्ता)	00	02	28
			98 (कच्चा रास्ता)	00	00	90
			123 (खाल)	00	00	60
3. गोयला कलां	58	37	9	00	04	57
			12	00	08	14
			13	00	03	08
			19	00	11	29
			22/1	00	04	41
			22/2	00	06	94
		63	1	00	04	72
			2	00	04	84
			10	00	11	42
			11	00	10	80
			20	00	10	80
			21/1	00	04	86
			21/2	00	03	53
		66	1	00	10	80
			10	00	10	80
			11	00	10	80

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
3. गोयला कलां (जारी...)	58	66	20	00	10	80
			21/1	00	00	60
			21/2	00	10	26
			25	00	04	75
		74	1	00	10	80
			10	00	10	20
			11	00	10	80
			20	00	10	80
			21	00	06	34
		78	5/1	00	00	34
			5/2	00	01	36
			5/3	00	10	70
			6	00	05	19
			7	00	03	66
			93 (सड़क)	00	01	63
			151 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
			482(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	01	90
			489 (रास्ता)	00	00	60
			490 (कच्चा रास्ता)	00	00	79
4. बुपनियां	59	9	10	00	00	27
			11	00	11	14
			20/1	00	05	57
			20/2	00	05	57
			21	00	08	73
		10	25	00	02	41
		19	5/2	00	10	46
			6/1	00	09	26
			6/2	00	00	25
			6/4	00	01	90
			7	00	00	10

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. बुपनियां (जारी...)	59	19	14	00	05	71
			15/1	00	01	79
			15/2	00	03	90
			16/1	00	00	10
			17/1	00	05	10
			17/2	00	06	61
			24	00	11	34
		32	3	00	03	70
			4	00	07	86
			7	00	00	10
			8	00	11	50
			13	00	11	57
			18	00	06	75
			19/1	00	04	84
			22/1	00	00	10
			22/2	00	07	10
			23	00	00	10
		41	16	00	00	10
			25	00	07	30
		42	220	00	14	63
			1	00	00	17
			10	00	08	20
			11	00	10	61
			20	00	10	92
			21	00	02	15
		59	5	00	10	67
			6	00	11	05
			7	00	00	23
			14/1	00	02	73
			14/2	00	04	86

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. बुपनियां (जारी...)	59	59	15	00	03	68
			17	00	11	29
			24	00	11	15
			3	00	04	73
			4/1	00	04	54
			4/2	00	00	56
			7	00	00	10
			8	00	11	60
			13	00	10	97
			18/1	00	04	38
			18/2	00	00	55
			19	00	06	67
			22	00	11	60
		88	2	00	10	83
			9	00	07	68
			10/1	00	02	36
			10/2	00	00	92
			11	00	11	37
			12	00	00	10
			20	00	11	47
			21	00	08	79
		89	25/2	00	02	19
		96	5	00	10	92
			6/1	00	00	43
			6/2	00	06	50
			7	00	03	70
			14	00	11	59
			15	00	00	10
			17	00	11	67
			18	00	00	10

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. बुपनियां (जारी...)	59	96	23	00	06	58
			24	00	05	08
		121	3	00	11	08
			8	00	10	98
			9	00	00	73
			12	00	10	11
			13	00	01	23
			19	00	10	52
			21	00	00	75
			22	00	09	76
		127	15	00	01	52
			16	00	10	60
			25/1	00	02	73
			25/2	00	08	83
		128	1	00	09	00
			2/1	00	01	66
			2/2	00	00	14
			10	00	11	63
			11	00	10	03
			20/1	00	00	80
			26	00	00	20
		152	4	00	00	24
			5/1	00	03	79
			5/2	00	02	33
			6	00	00	35
			7	00	12	96
			14	00	11	43
			17	00	10	07
			18	00	01	35
			23	00	10	18

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. बुपनियां (जारी...)	59	152	24	00	01	25
		159	3	00	10	94
			8/1	00	04	50
			8/2	00	02	12
			9	00	04	86
			12	00	11	57
			13	00	00	10
			19/1	00	J7	06
			19/2	00	04	51
			20/2	00	00	10
			21	00	06	11
			22/1	00	05	46
		181	1/1	00	03	81
			1/2	00	06	02
			10/1	00	03	19
			10/2	00	07	32
			11	00	04	10
		182	15	00	01	76
			16/1	00	03	75
			16/2	00	07	09
			25	00	10	60
		185	4	00	03	75
			5	00	07	80
			6	00	00	10
			7/1	00	10	52
			7/2	00	00	96
			14	00	11	55
			17	00	08	26
			18	00	03	01
			23	00	10	76

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. बुपनियां (जारी...)	59	185	24	00	00	49
		205	3	00	11	30
			8/1	00	07	67
			8/2	00	00	24
			244 (खाल)	00	00	60
			257 (खाल)	00	00	60
			260 (नहर)	00	00	60
			261 (खाल)	00	00	10
			262 (खाल)	00	00	40
			268 (खाल)	00	00	62
			272 (खाल)	00	00	63
			276 (खाल)	00	00	62
			278 (खाल)	00	01	66
			284 (खाल)	00	00	62
			286 (खाल)	00	00	62
			293 (खाल)	00	00	62
			294 (खाल)	00	00	92
			302 (कच्चा रास्ता)	00	01	21
			306 (सड़क)	00	01	50
			307 (सड़क)	00	02	52
			1478(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	00	90
			1498(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	03	50
			1505 (खाल)	00	00	62
			1506(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	00	62
			1516(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	00	92
			1523 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
			1665(कच्चा रास्ता)	00	02	56
			1672 (खाल)	00	00	96
			1673 (रास्ता)	00	00	92
5. डाबोदा खुर्द	49	18	4	00	09	03

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
5. डाबोदा खुर्द (जारी...)	49	18	7	00	11	01
			14	00	11	01
			17	00	09	96
			23/2	00	00	38
			24	00	09	88
		36	3/2	00	03	42
			4/1	00	06	53
			4/2/1	00	00	10
			7	00	03	92
			8/1/1	00	03	55
			8/1/2	00	01	61
			8/2/1	00	00	19
			13/2/2	00	09	53
			14	00	01	31
			17	00	00	10
			18/1	00	10	84
			23/2	00	10	24
		48	3/2	00	10	40
			4/1	00	00	10
			7	00	03	63
			8/1	00	07	37
			13/2	00	01	04
			14	00	09	97
			17	00	11	01
			24/1	00	06	50
			24/2	00	01	20
		66	16	00	04	08
			24	00	01	00
			25	00	12	94
		67	4	00	10	95

तहसील: बहादुरगढ़		जिला: झज्जर		राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
5. डाबोदा खुर्द (जारी...)	49	67	7	00	13	51
			8/1	00	01	13
			11	00	06	31
			12/1	00	08	09
			12/2	00	04	32
			13/1/1	00	02	19
			13/1/2	00	08	97
			14	00	01	25
			20/1	00	01	20
			20/2	00	09	41
		79	4	00	04	09
			5	00	00	68
			7	00	04	50
			8/1	00	08	22
			12	00	00	21
			13	00	12	51
			18	00	02	07
			19	00	10	66
			21	00	01	21
			22	00	10	96
		93	6	00	08	99
			14/2	00	02	02
			15	00	10	38
			16	00	00	10
			17/1	00	09	81
			17/2	00	03	37
			23/2	00	08	13
			24	00	05	30
		94	1	00	12	62
			2	00	00	10
			10/2	00	04	37

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
5. डाबोदा खुर्द (जारी...)	49	107	2/1	00	00	32
			2/2	00	08	05
			3/1	00	03	62
			3/2	00	05	29
			9/1	00	00	14
			9/2	00	04	99
			10	00	05	20
			117 (रास्ता)	00	07	59
			134 (रास्ता)	00	01	62
			148/2 (खाल)	00	00	60
			151/2 (खाल)	00	00	60
			154/2 (खाल)	00	00	60
			157 (खाल)	00	00	72
			162 (सड़क)	00	03	60
			165 (सड़क)	00	03	01
			168/2 (कच्चा रास्ता)	00	01	80
			288 (रास्ता)	00	02	08
			495 (रास्ता)	00	01	11
			501 (रास्ता)	00	00	73
			523/2 (रास्ता)	00	00	60
			543/2 (रास्ता)	00	00	60
6. महन्दीपुर	50	11	2	00	01	08
			3	00	08	09
			8/2	00	00	67
			9	00	11	37
			11	00	00	17
			12/1	00	10	40
			12/2	00	01	48
			19	00	02	60
			20	00	09	43
			21	00	12	03

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
6. महब्दीपुर (जारी...)	50	14	1	00	10	85
			10	00	09	00
			11/1	00	03	12
			11/2	00	02	24
			20/1	00	01	20
			20/2	00	00	61
			21	00	00	10
		15	5/2	00	00	10
			6	00	01	85
			15	00	05	43
			16	00	09	03
			25	00	10	85
		22	5	00	09	05
			6	00	10	86
			15	00	10	86
			16	00	10	86
			25	00	09	95
		27	5	00	10	21
			6	00	10	77
			7	00	00	10
			14/1	00	00	71
			14/2	00	01	46
			15	00	08	64
			16	00	05	18
			17	00	05	66
			24	00	09	15
			25	00	01	73
		34	4	00	07	66
			5/1	00	02	91
			5/2	00	00	28
			6	00	00	21

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
6. महन्दीपुर (जारी...)	50	34	7	00	10	65
			14	00	10	85
			17/1	00	09	65
			17/2	00	01	20
			24	00	10	85
		37	4	00	02	23
			64/2 (खाल)	00	00	62
			68/1 (खाल)	00	00	60
			78/2 (रास्ता)	00	01	81
			159 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
			160 (रास्ता)	00	00	60
			168/2 (रास्ता)	00	00	90
7. डाबोदा कलां	51	2	22	00	01	20
			23	00	08	15
		7	2/1	00	04	67
			2/2	00	04	76
			3	00	01	19
			9	00	12	20
			10/1	00	00	10
			11	00	08	22
			12/1	00	03	99
			20	00	11	53
			21	00	05	49
		8	25	00	06	71
		11	5	00	12	20
			6	00	06	99
			7/1	00	00	10
			7/2	00	05	21
			14	00	12	20
			17	00	08	49
			18	00	03	71

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़		जिलाः झज्जर		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
7. डाबोदा कलां (जारी...)	51	11	23/1	00	02	59
			23/2	00	09	18
			24	00	00	10
		23	3	00	00	14
			63 (खाल)	00	00	67
			64 (खाल)	00	00	91
			81 (रास्ता)	00	00	45
			331 (रास्ता)	00	00	67
8. मान्होठी	53		1262	00	00	16
			1263	00	01	36
			1264	00	29	31
			1266	00	22	78
			1273	00	04	52
			1274	00	01	08
			1275	00	11	67
			1314	00	02	44
			1365	00	00	92
			1366	00	05	32
			1367	00	10	68
			1375	00	19	39
			1377	00	03	15
			1378	00	12	44
			1380	00	05	32
			1381	00	02	39
			1382	00	05	62
			5427/1388/1	00	01	62
			5417/1442/3	00	23	14
			(नहर)5418/1441/2	00	01	80
			5420/1441/3	00	10	62
			(नहर)5423/1440/2	00	04	41
			5425/1440/1	00	08	10

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
8. मान्डोठी (जारी...)	53		1444	00	00	10
			1445	00	00	10
			1473	00	10	26
			1474	00	00	59
			1475	00	17	49
			1544	00	19	07
			1545	00	24	32
			1549	00	05	45
			1550	00	07	30
			1551	00	06	17
			1552	00	10	29
			1554	00	00	20
			1555	00	08	56
			1556	00	01	38
			1557	00	05	42
			1558	00	12	40
			1717	00	00	52
			1718	00	18	14
			1719	00	19	52
			1720	00	00	65
			4736/1722/1	00	11	05
			4737/1722/2	00	02	88
			1723/1	00	07	60
			1723/2	00	00	20
			1724/1	00	00	10
			1724/2	00	00	10
			1721	00	16	16
			1726	00	00	41
			1741	00	12	19
			1742	00	02	23
			1743	00	09	91

तहसील: बहादुरगढ़		जिला: झज्जर		राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
8. मान्डोठी (जारी...)	53		1752	00	00	10
			1753	00	04	02
			1754	00	04	04
			1755	00	04	53
			1756	00	05	62
			1767	00	09	33
			1768	00	11	53
			4591/1770/1	00	13	68
			4592/1770/2	00	10	26
			4593/1770/3	00	03	42
			(नाला) 1779	00	05	62
			1780	00	21	69
			(नाला) 1878	00	03	98
			2212	00	00	29
			2213	00	06	95
			4662/2217/1	00	01	98
			4663/2217/2	00	17	47
			2218	00	00	39
			2224	00	12	31
			2225	00	13	57
			2228	00	11	50
			2231	00	20	29
			2233	00	14	67
			2235	00	18	51
			(नाला) 2236	00	01	80
			2237	00	21	72
			2424	00	16	15
9. जाखोदा	41	15	5/1	00	00	90
			6/2	00	10	95
			15/1	00	00	60
			15/2	00	10	35

तहसीलः बहादुरगढ़	जिलाः झज्जर			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
9. जाखोदा (जारी...)	41	15	16	00	10	95
			24/2	00	01	09
			25	00	09	86
		18	4	00	06	99
			5	00	03	99
			6/1	00	00	10
			6/2	00	00	10
			7/1	00	03	98
			7/2	00	07	76
			13/1	00	00	21
			14	00	06	80
			17	00	01	54
			18	00	07	46
			22	00	00	10
			23	00	08	92
		37	2	00	04	76
			3	00	03	37
			9	00	11	79
			11	00	00	81
			12	00	09	60
			19	00	01	15
			20/1	00	03	45
			20/2	00	00	10
		39	6	00	01	52
			84 (बहर)	00	05	76
			92 (खाल)	00	02	08
			117 (सड़क)	00	05	41
			801 (खाल)	00	02	15
			802 (रास्ता)	00	00	62
10. आसोदा टोडराव	28		826	00	04	57
			830	00	06	30

तहसील: बहादुरगढ़		जिला: झज्जर		राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/ किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
10. आसोदा टोडराव (जारी...)	28		831	00	06	30
			3110/832	00	03	83
			836	00	08	63
			837	00	04	46
			838	00	02	85

[फा. सं. आर-31015/48/2004-ओ.आर-II]

हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 17th November, 2004

S. O. 3034.— Whereas it appears to the Central Govemment that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Mundra (Gujarat) to Delhi, a pipeline should be laid by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas, it appears to the Central Govemment that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Govemment hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Ram Karan Sharma, Competent Authority, Mundra - Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, H. No. 1418, Sector – 6, Bahadurgarh - 124507, District – Jhajjar (Haryana).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1. BADLI	72	4	6	00	02	41
			14	00	00	10
			15	00	13	50
			16	00	02	50
			17	00	10	96
			23	00	04	99
			24	00	08	67
		5	10	00	00	73
			11	00	00	10
		12	2	00	02	42
			3/1	00	02	80
			3/2	00	08	22
			8/4	00	00	10
			9	00	13	43
			11	00	09	50
			12	00	02	60
			20	00	10	44
			21	00	00	10
		13	16	00	03	00
			24	00	00	10
			25/1	00	05	49
			25/2	00	07	82
		20	4	00	12	50
			5/1	00	00	90
			7/1	00	05	60
			7/2	00	00	95
			8	00	06	52
			12	00	00	75

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Haubast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1. BADLI (Contd...)	72	20	13	00	12	71
			18	00	00	94
			19	00	03	77
			21	00	03	90
			22	00	08	40
		34	1	00	12	48
			10	00	03	60
		35	5	00	00	24
			6	00	11	06
			14	00	02	73
			15/1	00	03	96
			15/2	00	06	25
			16/2	00	00	10
			17	00	12	89
			23	00	06	70
			24/1	00	05	52
			24/2	00	00	80
		39	16	00	02	89
			25	00	12	81
		40	2	00	01	02
			3	00	12	81
			8	00	00	66
			9/1	00	05	11
			9/2	00	08	05
			11	00	08	71
			12	00	03	40
			20	00	10	57
			21	00	00	10
		61	4	00	06	31
			5	00	06	51

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1. BADLI (Contd...)	72	61	7	00	12	83
			8	00	00	10
			13	00	09	75
			14	00	03	10
			18	00	11	76
			19	00	01	08
			22	00	12	14
			23	00	00	71
		68	15	00	09	97
			16	00	05	59
			17	00	06	45
			23	00	00	90
			24	00	13	06
		69	1	00	02	78
			2	00	09	02
			9/3	00	00	10
			10	00	12	78
			11	00	05	18
		96	3	00	13	45
			4	00	00	30
			8	00	04	37
			9/1	00	07	15
			9/2	00	02	23
			11	00	03	60
			12	00	09	97
			19	00	00	10
			20	00	13	48
			21	00	03	05
		97	25/2	00	00	47
			25/3	00	03	36

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1. BADLI (Contd...)	72	97	25/4	00	04	62
		104	4	00	02	18
			5	00	10	59
			6	00	00	18
			7	00	12	60
			13	00	05	00
			14	00	07	74
			18	00	12	78
			22	00	06	96
			23	00	04	75
		136	1	00	00	10
			2/1	00	09	08
			2/2	00	02	72
			2/3	00	00	40
			9	00	07	68
			620 (Canal)	00	04	20
			634 (C.T)	00	01	99
			635 (Road)	00	02	10
			675 (Khal)	00	01	10
			678 (Khal)	00	00	60
			680 (Nala)	00	00	80
			724 (Path)	00	00	60
			2059 (Path)	00	00	60
			2061 (Path)	00	02	08
			2151 (C.T)	00	02	50
			2154 (Path)	00	00	90
			2170 (Path)	00	00	90
			2187 (Path)	00	00	90
			2193 (Path)	00	01	17
2. MOHAMAD PUR MAJRA	88	8	6/1	00	05	90

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. MOHAMAD PUR MAJRA (Contd...)	88	8	14/2/1	00	00	60
			14/2/2	00	01	38
			15/1	00	05	16
			15/2	00	06	96
			16/2	00	00	14
			17/1/1	00	00	30
			17/1/2	00	08	33
			17/2/1	00	05	60
			17/2/2	00	00	20
			18	00	00	10
			23	00	11	04
			24/1	00	01	97
		9	10/1	00	01	53
			10/2	00	08	42
		15	2/1	00	04	39
			2/2	00	03	56
			3	00	06	03
			9	00	12	06
			10	00	01	13
			11	00	12	50
			12	00	00	60
			20	00	10	60
			21	00	00	91
		16	16	00	00	45
			25	00	05	15
		18	5	00	11	09
			6/1	00	02	85
			6/2	00	08	07
			15	00	10	92
			16	00	10	92

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. MOHAMAD PUR MAJRA (Contd...)	88	18	24/1	00	00	10
			24/2	00	00	25
			25	00	10	66
		32	4	00	04	14
			5	00	06	76
			6	00	01	77
			7	00	09	30
			14	00	08	60
			39 (Road)	00	04	40
			85 (Khal)	00	01	73
			86 (Khal)	00	00	90
			97 (C.T)	00	02	28
			98 (C.T)	00	00	90
			123 (Khal)	00	00	60
3. GOYALA KALAN	58	37	9	00	04	57
			12	00	08	14
			13	00	03	08
			19	00	11	29
			22/1	00	04	41
			22/2	00	06	94
		63	1	00	04	72
			2	00	04	84
			10	00	11	42
			11	00	10	80
			20	00	10	80
			21/1	00	04	86
			21/2	00	03	53
		66	1	00	10	80
			10	00	10	80
			11	00	10	80

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
3. GOYALA KALAN (Contd...)	58	66	20	00	10	80
			21/1	00	00	60
			21/2	00	10	26
			25	00	04	75
		74	1	00	10	80
			10	00	10	20
			11	00	10	80
			20	00	10	80
			21	00	06	34
		78	5/1	00	00	34
			5/2	00	01	36
			5/3	00	10	70
			6	00	05	19
			7	00	03	66
			93 (Road)	00	01	63
			151 (Path)	00	00	90
			482 (C.T)	00	01	90
			489 (Path)	00	00	60
			490 (C.T)	00	00	79
4. BHUPANIA	59	9	10	00	00	27
			11	00	11	14
			20/1	00	05	57
			20/2	00	05	57
			21	00	08	73
		10	25	00	02	41
		19	5/2	00	10	46
			6/1	00	09	26
			6/2	00	00	25
			6/4	00	01	90
			7	00	00	10

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. BHUPANIA (Contd...)	59	19	14	00	05	71
			15/1	00	01	79
			15/2	00	03	90
			16/1	00	00	10
			17/1	00	05	10
			17/2	00	06	61
			24	00	11	34
		32	3	00	03	70
			4	00	07	86
			7	00	00	10
			8	00	11	50
			13	00	11	57
			18	00	06	75
			19/1	00	04	84
			22/1	00	00	10
			22/2	00	07	10
			23	00	00	10
		41	16	00	00	10
			25	00	07	30
		42	220	00	14	63
			1	00	00	17
			10	00	08	20
			11	00	10	61
			20	00	10	92
			21	00	02	15
		59	5	00	10	67
			6	00	11	05
			7	00	00	23
			14/1	00	02	73
			14/2	00	04	86

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. BHUPANIA (Contd...)	59	59	15	00	03	68
			17	00	11	29
			24	00	11	15
			3	00	04	73
			4/1	00	04	54
			4/2	00	00	56
			7	00	00	10
			8	00	11	60
			13	00	10	97
			18/1	00	04	38
			18/2	00	00	55
			19	00	06	67
			22	00	11	60
		88	2	00	10	83
			9	00	07	68
			10/1	00	02	36
			10/2	00	00	92
			11	00	11	37
			12	00	00	10
			20	00	11	47
			21	00	08	79
		89	25/2	00	02	19
		96	5	00	10	92
			6/1	00	00	43
			6/2	00	06	50
			7	00	03	70
			14	00	11	59
			15	00	00	10
			17	00	11	67
			18	00	00	10

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. BHUPANIA (Contd...)	59	96	23	00	06	58
			24	00	05	08
		121	3	00	11	08
			8	00	10	98
			9	00	00	73
			12	00	10	11
			13	00	01	23
			19	00	10	52
			21	00	00	75
			22	00	09	76
		127	15	00	01	52
			16	00	10	60
			25/1	00	02	73
			25/2	00	08	83
		128	1	00	09	00
			2/1	00	01	66
			2/2	00	00	14
			10	00	11	63
			11	00	10	03
			20/1	00	00	80
			26	00	00	20
		152	4	00	00	24
			5/1	00	03	79
			5/2	00	02	33
			6	00	00	35
			7	00	12	96
			14	00	11	43
			17	00	10	07
			18	00	01	35
			23	00	10	18

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. BHUPANIA (Contd...)	59	152	24	00	01	25
		159	3	00	10	94
			8/1	00	04	50
			8/2	00	02	12
			9	00	04	86
			12	00	11	57
			13	00	00	10
			19/1	00	07	06
			19/2	00	04	51
			20/2	00	00	10
			21	00	06	11
			22/1	00	05	46
		181	1/1	00	03	81
			1/2	00	06	02
			10/1	00	03	19
			10/2	00	07	32
			11	00	04	10
		182	15	00	01	76
			16/1	00	03	75
			16/2	00	07	09
			25	00	10	60
		185	4	00	03	75
			5	00	07	80
			6	00	00	10
			7/1	00	10	52
			7/2	00	00	96
			14	00	11	55
			17	00	08	26
			18	00	03	01
			23	00	10	76

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. BHUPANIA (Contd...)	59	185	24	00	00	49
		205	3	00	11	30
			8/1	00	07	67
			8/2	00	00	24
			244 (Khal)	00	00	60
			257 (Khal)	00	00	60
			260 (Canal)	00	00	60
			261 (Khal)	00	00	10
			262 (Khal)	00	00	40
			268 (Khal)	00	00	62
			272 (Khal)	00	00	63
			276 (Khal)	00	00	62
			278 (Khal)	00	01	66
			284 (Khal)	00	00	62
			286 (Khal)	00	00	62
			293 (Khal)	00	00	62
			294 (Khal)	00	00	92
			302 (C.T)	00	01	21
			306 (Road)	00	01	50
			307 (Road)	00	02	52
			1478 (C.T)	00	00	90
			1498 (C.T)	00	03	50
			1505 (Khal)	00	00	62
			1506 (C.T)	00	00	62
			1516 (C.T)	00	00	92
			1523 (Path)	00	00	90
			1665 (C.T)	00	02	56
			1672 (Khal)	00	00	96
			1673 (Path)	00	00	92
5. DABODA KHURD	49	18	4	00	09	03

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
5. DABODA KHURD	49	18	7	00	11	01
(Contd...)			14	00	11	01
			17	00	09	96
			23/2	00	00	38
			24	00	09	88
		36	3/2	00	03	42
			4/1	00	06	53
			4/2/1	00	00	10
			7	00	03	92
			8/1/1	00	03	55
			8/1/2	00	01	61
			8/2/1	00	00	19
			13/2/2	00	09	53
			14	00	01	31
			17	00	00	10
			18/1	00	10	84
			23/2	00	10	24
		48	3/2	00	10	40
			4/1	00	00	10
			7	00	03	63
			8/1	00	07	37
			13/2	00	01	04
			14	00	09	97
			17	00	11	01
			24/1	00	06	50
			24/2	00	01	20
		66	16	00	04	08
			24	00	01	00
			25	00	12	94
		67	4	00	10	95

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
5. DABODA KHURD (Contd...)	49	67	7	00	13	51
			8/1	00	01	13
			11	00	06	31
			12/1	00	08	09
			12/2	00	04	32
			13/1/1	00	02	19
			13/1/2	00	08	97
			14	00	01	25
			20/1	00	01	20
			20/2	00	09	41
		79	4	00	04	09
			5	00	00	68
			7	00	04	50
			8/1	00	08	22
			12	00	00	21
			13	00	12	51
			18	00	02	07
			19	00	10	66
			21	00	01	21
			22	00	10	96
		93	6	00	08	99
			14/2	00	02	02
			15	00	10	38
			16	00	00	10
			17/1	00	09	81
			17/2	00	03	37
			23/2	00	08	13
			24	00	05	30
		94	1	00	12	62
			2	00	00	10
			10/2	00	04	37

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA	
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area	
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
5. DABODA KHURD (Contd...)	49	107	2/1	00	00	32
			2/2	00	08	05
			3/1	00	03	62
			3/2	00	05	29
			9/1	00	00	14
			9/2	00	04	99
			10	00	05	20
			117 (Path)	00	07	59
			134 (Path)	00	01	62
			148/2 (Khal)	00	00	60
			151/2 (Khal)	00	00	60
			154/2 (Khal)	00	00	60
			157 (Khal)	00	00	72
			162 (Road)	00	03	60
			165 (Road)	00	03	01
			168/2 (C.T)	00	01	80
			288 (Path)	00	02	08
			495 (Path)	00	01	11
			501 (Path)	00	00	73
			523/2 (Path)	00	00	60
			543/2 (Path)	00	00	60
6. MEHINDIPUR	50	11	2	00	01	08
			3	00	08	09
			8/2	00	00	67
			9	00	11	37
			11	00	00	17
			12/1	00	10	40
			12/2	00	01	48
			19	00	02	60
			20	00	09	43
			21	00	12	03

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
6. MEHINDIPUR (Contd...)	50	14	1	00	10	85
			10	00	09	00
			11/1	00	03	12
			11/2	00	02	24
			20/1	00	01	20
			20/2	00	00	61
			21	00	00	10
		15	5/2	00	00	10
			6	00	01	85
			15	00	05	43
			16	00	09	03
			25	00	10	85
		22	5	00	09	05
			6	00	10	86
			15	00	10	86
			16	00	10	86
			25	00	09	95
		27	5	00	10	21
			6	00	10	77
			7	00	00	10
			14/1	00	00	71
			14/2	00	01	46
			15	00	08	64
			16	00	05	18
			17	00	05	66
			24	00	09	15
			25	00	01	73
		34	4	00	07	66
			5/1	00	02	91
			5/2	00	00	28
			6	00	00	21

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
6. MEHINDIPUR (Contd...)	50	34	7	00	10	65
			14	00	10	85
			17/1	00	09	65
			17/2	00	01	20
			24	00	10	85
		37	4	00	02	23
			64/2 (Khal)	00	00	62
			68/1 (Khal)	00	00	60
			78/2 (Path)	00	01	81
			159 (Path)	00	00	90
			160 (Path)	00	00	60
			168/2 (Path)	00	00	90
7. DABODA KALAN	51	2	22	00	01	20
			23	00	08	15
		7	2/1	00	04	67
			2/2	00	04	76
			3	00	01	19
			9	00	12	20
			10/1	00	00	10
			11	00	08	22
			12/1	00	03	99
			20	00	11	53
			21	00	05	49
		8	25	00	06	71
		11	5	00	12	20
			6	00	06	99
			7/1	00	00	10
			7/2	00	05	21
			14	00	12	20
			17	00	08	49
			18	00	03	71

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
7. DABODA KALAN (Contd...)	51	11	23/1	00	02	59
			23/2	00	09	18
			24	00	00	10
		23	3	00	00	14
			63 (Khal)	00	00	67
			64 (Khal)	00	00	91
			81 (Path)	00	00	45
			331 (Path)	00	00	67
8. MANDOTHI	53		1262	00	00	16
			1263	00	01	36
			1264	00	29	31
			1266	00	22	78
			1273	00	04	52
			1274	00	01	08
			1275	00	11	67
			1314	00	02	44
			1365	00	00	92
			1366	00	05	32
			1367	00	10	68
			1375	00	19	39
			1377	00	03	15
			1378	00	12	44
			1380	00	05	32
			1381	00	02	39
			1382	00	05	62
			5427/1388/1	00	01	62
			5417/1442/3	00	23	14
		(Canal)	5418/1441/2	00	01	80
			5420/1441/3	00	10	62
		(Canal)	5423/1440/2	00	04	41
			5425/1440/1	00	08	10

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
8. MANDOTHI (Contd...)	53		1444	00	00	10
			1445	00	00	10
			1473	00	10	26
			1474	00	00	59
			1475	00	17	49
			1544	00	19	07
			1545	00	24	32
			1549	00	05	45
			1550	00	07	30
			1551	00	06	17
			1552	00	10	29
			1554	00	00	20
			1555	00	08	56
			1556	00	01	38
			1557	00	05	42
			1558	00	12	40
			1717	00	00	52
			1718	00	18	14
			1719	00	19	52
			1720	00	00	65
			4736/1722/1	00	11	05
			4737/1722/2	00	02	88
			1723/1	00	07	60
			1723/2	00	00	20
			1724/1	00	00	10
			1724/2	00	00	10
			1721	00	16	16
			1726	00	00	41
			1741	00	12	19
			1742	00	02	23
			1743	00	09	91

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
8. MANDOTHI (Contd...)	53		1752	00	00	10
			1753	00	04	02
			1754	00	04	04
			1755	00	04	53
			1756	00	05	62
			1767	00	09	33
			1768	00	11	53
			4591/1770/1	00	13	68
			4592/1770/2	00	10	26
			4593/1770/3	00	03	42
			(Nala) 1779	00	05	62
			1780	00	21	69
			(Nala) 1878	00	03	98
			2212	00	00	29
			2213	00	06	95
			4662/2217/1	00	01	98
			4663/2217/2	00	17	47
			2218	00	00	39
			2224	00	12	31
			2225	00	13	57
			2228	00	11	50
			2231	00	20	29
			2233	00	14	67
			2235	00	18	51
			(Nala) 2236	00	01	80
			2237	00	21	72
			2424	00	16	15
9. JAKHODA	41	15	5/1	00	00	90
			6/2	00	10	95
			15/1	00	00	60
			15/2	00	10	35

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
9. JAKHODA (Contd...)	41	15	16	00	10	95
			24/2	00	01	09
			25	00	09	86
		18	4	00	06	99
			5	00	03	99
			6/1	00	00	10
			6/2	00	00	10
			7/1	00	03	98
			7/2	00	07	76
			13/1	00	00	21
			14	00	06	80
			17	00	01	54
			18	00	07	46
			22	00	00	10
			23	00	08	92
		37	2	00	04	76
			3	00	03	37
			9	00	11	79
			11	00	00	81
			12	00	09	60
			19	00	01	15
			20/1	00	03	45
			20/2	00	00	10
		39	6	00	01	52
			84 (Canal)	00	05	76
			92 (Khal)	00	02	08
			117 (Road)	00	05	41
			801 (Khal)	00	02	15
			802 (Path)	00	00	62
10. ASUDHA TODRAN	28		826	00	04	57
			830	00	06	30

Tehsil :BAHADURGARH		District : JHAJJAR		State : HARYANA	
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area	
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
10. ASUDHA TODRAN (Contd...)	28		831	00	06	30
			3110/832	00	03	83
			836	00	08	63
			837	00	04	46
			838	00	02	85

[No. R-31015/48/2004-O.R.-II]
HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3035.— तेल उद्योग (विकास) अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 47) की धारा-3 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित अधिकारियों को तेल उद्योग विकास बोर्ड के सदस्य के रूप मे, उनके सामने दर्शायी गई अवधि के लिए, अगले आदेश जारी होने या जो भी पहले हो, नियुक्त/पुनर्नियुक्त करती है:-

	से	तक
1. श्री पी.के सिन्हा, संयुक्त सचिव एवं वित्तीय सलाहकार, पेट्रोलियम एवं प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय	4.11.2004	3.11.2006
2. श्री एस. बहुरिया, अध्यक्ष एवं प्रबंध निदेशक, भारत पेट्रोलियम कार्पोरेशन लि०	1.7.2004	30.6.2006
3. श्री वी.के सिबल, महा निदेशक, हाइड्रोकार्बन महानिदेशालय	1.11.2004	31.10.2006

[फा. सं. जी-35012/2/91-वित्त-II]
सुनीता शर्मा, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th November, 2004

S. O. 3035.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of Sub-section (3) of Section 3 of the Oil Industry (Development) Act, 1974 (47 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints/re-appoints the following officers as Members of the Oil Industry Development Board for the period shown against their names or until further orders, whichever is earlier :

		From	To
1.	Shri P.K. Sinha, Joint Secretary & Financial Adviser, Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas.	4.11.2004	3.11.2006
2.	Shri S. Behuria, CMD, BPCL	1.7.2004	30.6.2006
3.	Shri V.K. Sibal, DG, DGH.	1.11.2004	31.10.2006

[No. G-35012/2/91-Fin.-II]
SUNITA SHARMA, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 19 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3036.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मुन्द्रा (गुजरात) से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में, जो इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री. राम करण शर्मा, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, मकान संख्या -1418, सेक्टर - 6, बहादुरगढ़ --- 124507, जिला --- झज्जर (हरियाणा) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसुची

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
1. धीलबावास	273	16	16	00	00	10
			25/1	00	02	83
			25/2	00	01	46
		17	11	00	05	66
			20	00	11	05
			21	00	05	53
		20	1/1	00	03	61
			10	00	00	10
		21	5	00	07	44
			6	00	11	05
			15/1	00	01	84
			15/2	00	08	60
			16	00	11	05
			24	00	00	10
			25/1	00	10	52
		34	4	00	04	87
			5/1	00	04	20
			6	00	00	10
			7	00	10	74
			14/1	00	03	40
			14/2	00	07	04
			17/1/2	00	13	20
			17/2	00	00	20
			119	00	01	20
			127	00	01	17
			129	00	02	87
2. खलीलपुर	272	9	25/2	00	00	13
		10	20	00	05	53

तहसील: पाटौदी	जिला: गुड़गाँव			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. खलीलपुर (जारी...)	272	10	21/1	00	04	93
			21/2	00	06	05
		12	1	00	04	08
		13	5	00	07	24
			6/1	00	10	16
			15/3	00	11	08
			16	00	11	08
			24	00	01	20
			25/1	00	08	39
			25/2	00	01	47
		20	4	00	07	36
			5	00	03	80
			7	00	11	16
			14/1	00	03	12
			14/2	00	06	85
			17/1	00	09	61
			17/2	00	01	48
			18/2	00	00	10
			23	00	06	05
			24	00	05	10
		24	3	00	09	87
			4/1	00	00	23
			8	00	11	02
			13	00	11	02
			18/1	00	02	40
			18/3	00	08	30
			22/2	00	02	35
			23/1	00	08	66
		32	2	00	10	44
			3	00	00	28

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. खलीलपुर (जारी...)	272	32	9/1	00	05	92
			9/2	00	03	54
			12	00	11	02
			19/1	00	08	25
			19/2	00	02	47
			21/1	00	00	27
			21/2	00	01	83
			22	00	08	97
		35	1	00	09	03
			2/1	00	01	42
			10/1	00	04	61
			10/2	00	06	45
			11	00	05	33
			49	00	01	55
			50	00	01	18
			368	00	01	02
			387	00	00	90
			392	00	00	30
			393	00	00	30
			402	00	00	60
			410	00	00	30
3. खेतियावास	268	4	24	00	10	85
			25	00	01	98
		11	4	00	12	00
			7/2	00	05	02
			8/1	00	06	21
			8/2	00	00	76
			13/1	00	06	72
			13/2	00	04	62
			18	00	10	48

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
3. खेतियावास (जारी...)	268	11	19	00	01	09
			22	00	00	10
			23	00	08	50
			24/2	00	00	53
		18	4	00	13	77
			6/1	00	00	10
			7/1	00	09	78
			7/2	00	01	32
			14/1	00	10	01
			14/2	00	00	60
			17/2	00	08	65
			23	00	02	35
			24	00	06	56
		28	3	00	11	05
			8	00	11	25
			12/2	00	00	66
			13	00	10	59
			18	00	02	65
			19/1	00	07	66
			22	00	11	25
		32	2	00	04	89
			41	00	09	04
			44	00	02	37
			141	00	01	56
			144	00	04	38
4. बलेवा	271	6	2/1	00	07	16
			9/2	00	09	89
			10/1	00	00	58
			11/1	00	02	75
			11/2	00	04	51

तहसील: पाटौदी	जिला: गुड़गाँव			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. बलेवा (जारी...)	271	6	12/1	00	03	22
			12/4	00	00	78
			19/2	00	00	10
			20	00	06	92
			176	00	00	60
			177	00	00	60
5. बपास	266	3	14	00	03	54
			15/1	00	02	10
			15/2	00	04	70
			16	00	00	10
			17/1	00	04	88
			17/2/1	00	05	99
			17/2/2	00	00	71
			23	00	00	86
			24	00	11	11
		16	3	00	10	75
			4/1	00	01	22
			8/1	00	00	16
			8/2	00	11	81
			9/1	00	00	10
			12	00	07	74
			13/1	00	04	23
			19	00	04	89
			47	00	00	64
			223	00	00	42
6. गांगली	267	9	19	00	04	51
			21	00	03	08
			22	00	09	02
		12	1	00	11	91
			2	00	00	10

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
6. गांगली (जारी...)	267	12	10	00	11	19
			11	00	01	30
		13	6	00	01	32
			15	00	11	38
			16/2	00	11	24
			17	00	00	10
			22 सड़क	00	01	19
7. पहाड़ी	265	1	18	00	00	10
			19	00	06	48
			22	00	11	84
		9	1	00	00	10
			2/1	00	11	74
			9	00	04	05
			10/1	00	02	67
			10/2	00	05	02
			11	00	11	84
			20	00	04	17
		22	11	00	08	35
			19	00	07	41
			20	00	03	96
			22	00	11	60
			23	00	00	71
		24	25/2	00	00	10
		25	2/1	00	01	64
			2/2	00	05	17
			3	00	04	51
			9	00	11	53
			11	00	01	37
			12/1	00	10	66
			19	00	00	72

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
7. पहाड़ी (जारी...)	265	25	20	00	11	30
			21	00	11	90
		40	1/1	00	03	35
		41	5	00	06	78
			6	00	12	16
			26	00	02	01
			52/1	00	05	40
			308	00	00	10
			319	00	00	21
			320	00	00	28
			324/1	00	00	20
8. मौजाबाद	21	6	20	00	05	83
			21	00	01	43
		7	16	00	00	93
			25	00	10	21
		14	5	00	11	64
			6	00	09	11
			7/1	00	02	48
			14	00	04	64
			15	00	06	35
			16	00	10	95
			17	00	00	10
			25	00	11	02
		16	1	00	00	10
		17	5	00	11	30
			6/1	00	08	36
			15	00	00	15
			41	00	02	36
9. डाडावास	20	19	24/1	00	04	39
		30	3	00	00	18

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
9. डाडावास (जारी...)	20	30	4	00	11	37
			7	00	03	38
			8/1	00	07	36
			8/2	00	00	63
			13	00	11	56
			18/1	00	00	10
			18/2	00	05	67
			53	00	01	19
10. हकदारपुर	19	3	20	00	06	51
			21/1	00	02	43
			21/2	00	09	07
			22/1	00	00	10
		16	5	00	00	76
			6	00	10	10
			14/2	00	00	10
			15	00	11	68
			16/1	00	05	04
			16/2	00	02	28
			17	00	02	84
			24	00	11	51
			25/1	00	00	15
		17	1	00	10	65
			10/2	00	01	59
		25	4	00	11	56
			7/1	00	00	55
			7/2	00	06	45
			8	00	03	98
			13	00	11	76
			14/1	00	00	10
			18	00	11	60

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
10. हकदारपुर (जारी...)	19	25	19	00	00	16
			22	00	08	90
			23/1	00	01	07
			23/2	00	01	79
		36	25/2	00	02	64
		37	2	00	11	64
			9	00	10	80
			10	00	00	84
			11	00	09	48
			12	00	01	54
			20	00	11	64
			21/1	00	03	88
			21/2	00	05	36
		41	1/1	00	00	31
		42	5	00	11	27
			6/1	00	02	54
			6/2/1	00	03	20
			6/2/2	00	05	83
			14	00	03	89
			15	00	07	69
			16	00	00	10
			17/1	00	07	47
			17/2	00	04	06
			24/1	00	05	77
			24/2	00	00	20
			64	00	00	64
			73/2	00	01	49
			105	00	00	60
			107	00	01	18
11. शेरपुर	16	1	25	00	08	92

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
11. शेरपुर (जारी...)	16	4	5	00	11	06
			6	00	11	06
			14/2	00	01	64
			15/1	00	09	42
			16	00	02	16
			17	00	08	90
			24	00	11	06
		8	4	00	11	24
			7/1	00	10	82
			7/2	00	00	22
			8/1	00	00	18
			13	00	10	73
			14/1	00	04	12
			18	00	06	36
			23/1	00	01	96
			23/2	00	03	08
			23/3	00	03	61
		10	3	00	10	76
			8	00	10	98
			13	00	11	05
			18	00	11	04
			19	00	00	10
			22	00	04	31
			23/1	00	05	87
			23/2	00	00	85
		26	2/1	00	05	20
			2/2	00	05	61
			3/1	00	00	41
			9/1	00	00	67
			9/2	00	06	81

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
11. शेरपुर (जारी...)	16	26	9/3	00	03	11
			12	00	11	22
			19	00	07	37
			20	00	03	84
			21	00	10	94
			22/1	00	00	27
		29	1/2	00	10	97
			10	00	10	37
			11/1	00	09	11
			11/2	00	01	79
			20/1	00	04	83
			20/2	00	06	05
			21/1	00	02	92
			21/2	00	04	50
		45	5	00	00	10
			6	00	08	04
			15/1	00	01	16
			15/2	00	10	44
			16	00	12	99
			17/1	00	00	10
			17/2	00	00	51
			24/1	00	04	02
			24/2	00	04	01
		46	1	00	11	05
			10	00	03	57
		49	4	00	11	61
			7/2	00	10	11
			8	00	01	50
			13/1	00	09	32
			13/2	00	01	40

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	श्रेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
11. शेरपुर (जारी...)	16	49	14	00	00	90
			18	00	10	97
			22	00	01	75
			23/1	00	05	92
			26	00	03	03
		61	2	00	10	88
			9/1	00	05	96
			9/2	00	06	03
			10/2	00	00	10
			11	00	08	75
			12	00	03	39
			19	00	00	56
			26	00	00	23
			76	00	02	40
			77	00	01	20
			79/1	00	01	61
			82	00	01	20
			88	00	03	30
			268	00	00	60
			270	00	00	60
			305	00	02	24
12. राजपुरा	8	3	22	00	08	47
			23	00	04	49
		12	2/1	00	11	34
			2/2	00	00	20
			9	00	11	55
			10/1	00	00	10
			11/2	00	02	49
			12/1	00	06	47
			20	00	11	58

तहसीलः पाटौदी	जिलाः गुड़गाँव		राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
12. राजपुरा (जारी...)	8	12	21	00	11	40
		13	25	00	00	26
		17	5	00	09	71
			6	00	11	70
			14	00	02	82
			15	00	08	87
			16	00	00	20
			17	00	11	49
			24	00	11	70
		18	1	00	01	82
		28	3	00	05	32
			4/1/1	00	06	36
			4/1/2	00	00	10
			8	00	11	79
			12	00	00	64
			13	00	11	15
			18/1	00	00	88
			18/2	00	00	78
			19/1	00	02	95
			19/2	00	07	17
			22	00	11	79
		32	16/1	00	00	64
		33	1/1	00	00	38
			1/2	00	04	04
			2	00	07	36
			9	00	00	10
			10	00	11	23
			11	00	08	03
			20/1	00	02	42
			20/2	00	08	00

तहसील: पाटौदी	जिला: गुड़गाँव			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
12. राजपुरा (जारी...)	8	33	21	00	01	83
			55	00	03	80
			56	00	02	66
			174	00	00	60

[फा. सं. आर-31015/49/2004-ओ.आर-II]
हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 19th November, 2004

S. O. 3036.— Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Mundra (Gujarat) to Delhi, a pipeline should be laid by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Ram Karan Sharma, Competent Authority, Mundra - Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, H. No. 1418, Sector – 6, Bahadurgarh - 124507, District – Jhajjar (Haryana).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil : PATODI	District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1. GHILANAWAS	273	16	16	00	00	10
			25/1	00	02	83
			25/2	00	01	46
		17	11	00	05	66
			20	00	11	05
			21	00	05	53
		20	1/1	00	03	61
			10	00	00	10
		21	5	00	07	44
			6	00	11	05
			15/1	00	01	84
			15/2	00	08	60
			16	00	11	05
			24	00	00	10
			25/1	00	10	52
		34	4	00	04	87
			5/1	00	04	20
			6	00	00	10
			7	00	10	74
			14/1	00	03	40
			14/2	00	07	04
			17/1/2	00	13	20
			17/2	00	00	20
			119	00	01	20
			127	00	01	17
			129	00	02	87
2. KHALILPUR	272	9	25/2	00	00	13
		10	20	00	05	53

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA	
				Area	
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. KHALILPUR (Contd...)	272	10	21/1	00	04	93
			21/2	00	06	05
		12	1	00	04	08
		13	5	00	07	24
			6/1	00	10	16
			15/3	00	11	08
			16	00	11	08
			24	00	01	20
			25/1	00	08	39
			25/2	00	01	47
		20	4	00	07	36
			5	00	03	80
			7	00	11	16
			14/1	00	03	12
			14/2	00	06	85
			17/1	00	09	61
			17/2	00	01	48
			18/2	00	00	10
			23	00	06	05
			24	00	05	10
		24	3	00	09	87
			4/1	00	00	23
			8	00	11	02
			13	00	11	02
			18/1	00	02	40
			18/3	00	08	30
			22/2	00	02	35
			23/1	00	08	66
		32	2	00	10	44
			3	00	00	28

Tehsil : PATODI	District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. KHALILPUR (Contd...)	272	32	9/1	00	05	92
			9/2	00	03	54
			12	00	11	02
			19/1	00	08	25
			19/2	00	02	47
			21/1	00	00	27
			21/2	00	01	83
			22	00	08	97
		35	1	00	09	03
			2/1	00	01	42
			10/1	00	04	61
			10/2	00	06	45
			11	00	05	33
			49	00	01	55
			50	00	01	18
			368	00	01	02
			387	00	00	90
			392	00	00	30
			393	00	00	30
			402	00	00	60
			410	00	00	30
3. KHETIAWAS	268	4	24	00	10	85
			25	00	01	98
		11	4	00	12	00
			7/2	00	05	02
			8/1	00	06	21
			8/2	00	00	76
			13/1	00	06	72
			13/2	00	04	62
			18	00	10	48

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
3. KHETIAWAS (Contd...)	268	11	19	00	01	09
			22	00	00	10
			23	00	08	50
			24/2	00	00	53
		18	4	00	13	77
			6/1	00	00	10
			7/1	00	09	78
			7/2	00	01	32
			14/1	00	10	01
			14/2	00	00	60
			17/2	00	08	65
			23	00	02	35
			24	00	06	56
		28	3	00	11	05
			8	00	11	25
			12/2	00	00	66
			13	00	10	59
			18	00	02	65
			19/1	00	07	66
			22	00	11	25
		32	2	00	04	89
			41	00	09	04
			44	00	02	37
			141	00	01	56
			144	00	04	38
4. BALEWA	271	6	2/1	00	07	16
			9/2	00	09	89
			10/1	00	00	58
			11/1	00	02	75
			11/2	00	04	51

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. BALEWA (Contd...)	271	6	12/1	00	03	22
			12/4	00	00	78
			19/2	00	00	10
			20	00	06	92
			176	00	00	60
			177	00	00	60
5. BAPAS	266	3	14	00	03	54
			15/1	00	02	10
			15/2	00	04	70
			16	00	00	10
			17/1	00	04	88
			17/2/1	00	05	99
			17/2/2	00	00	71
			23	00	00	86
			24	00	11	11
		16	3	00	10	75
			4/1	00	01	22
			8/1	00	00	16
			8/2	00	11	81
			9/1	00	00	10
			12	00	07	74
			13/1	00	04	23
			19	00	04	89
			47	00	00	64
			223	00	00	42
6. GANGLI	267	9	19	00	04	51
			21	00	03	08
			22	00	09	02
		12	1	00	11	91
			2	00	00	10

Tehsil : PATODI	District : GURGAON			State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
6. GANGLI (Contd...)	267	12	10	00	11	19
			11	00	01	30
		13	6	00	01	32
			15	00	11	38
			16/2	00	11	24
			17	00	00	10
			22 Road	00	01	19
7. PAHARI	265	1	18	00	00	10
			19	00	06	48
			22	00	11	84
		9	1	00	00	10
			2/1	00	11	74
			9	00	04	05
			10/1	00	02	67
			10/2	00	05	02
			11	00	11	84
			20	00	04	17
		22	11	00	08	35
			19	00	07	41
			20	00	03	96
			22	00	11	60
			23	00	00	71
		24	25/2	00	00	10
		25	2/1	00	01	64
			2/2	00	05	17
			3	00	04	51
			9	00	11	53
			11	00	01	37
			12/1	00	10	66
			19	00	00	72

Tehsil : PATODI	District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
7. PAHARI (Contd...)	265	25	20	00	11	30
			21	00	11	90
		40	1/1	00	03	35
		41	5	00	06	78
			6	00	12	16
			26	00	02	01
			52/1	00	05	40
			308	00	00	10
			319	00	00	21
			320	00	00	28
			324/1	00	00	20
8. MOZZABAD	21	6	20	00	05	83
			21	00	01	43
		7	16	00	00	93
			25	00	10	21
		14	5	00	11	64
			6	00	09	11
			7/1	00	02	48
			14	00	04	64
			15	00	06	35
			16	00	10	95
			17	00	00	10
			25	00	11	02
		16	1	00	00	10
		17	5	00	11	30
			6/1	00	08	36
			15	00	00	15
			41	00	02	36
9. DADAWAS	20	19	24/1	00	04	39
		30	3	00	00	18

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
9. DADAWAS (Contd...)	20	30	4	00	11	37
			7	00	03	38
			8/1	00	07	36
			8/2	00	00	63
			13	00	11	56
			18/1	00	00	10
			18/2	00	05	67
			53	00	01	19
10. HAKDARPUR	19	3	20	00	06	51
			21/1	00	02	43
			21/2	00	09	07
			22/1	00	00	10
		16	5	00	00	76
			6	00	10	10
			14/2	00	00	10
			15	00	11	68
			16/1	00	05	04
			16/2	00	02	28
			17	00	02	84
			24	00	11	51
			25/1	00	00	15
		17	1	00	10	65
			10/2	00	01	59
		25	4	00	11	56
			7/1	00	00	55
			7/2	00	06	45
			8	00	03	98
			13	00	11	76
			14/1	00	00	10
			18	00	11	60

Tehsil : PATODI	District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
10. HAKDARPUR (Contd...)	19	25	19	00	00	16
			22	00	08	90
			23/1	00	01	07
			23/2	00	01	79
		36	25/2	00	02	64
		37	2	00	11	64
			9	00	10	80
			10	00	00	84
			11	00	09	48
			12	00	01	54
			20	00	11	64
			21/1	00	03	88
			21/2	00	05	36
		41	1/1	00	00	31
		42	5	00	11	27
			6/1	00	02	54
			6/2/1	00	03	20
			6/2/2	00	05	83
			14	00	03	89
			15	00	07	69
			16	00	00	10
			17/1	00	07	47
			17/2	00	04	06
			24/1	00	05	77
			24/2	00	00	20
			64	00	00	64
			73/2	00	01	49
			105	00	00	60
			107	00	01	18
11. SHERPUR	16	1	25	00	08	92

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
11. SHERPUR (Contd...)	16	4	5	00	11	06
			6	00	11	06
			14/2	00	01	64
			15/1	00	09	42
			16	00	02	16
			17	00	08	90
			24	00	11	06
		8	4	00	11	24
			7/1	00	10	82
			7/2	00	00	22
			8/1	00	00	18
			13	00	10	73
			14/1	00	04	12
			18	00	06	36
			23/1	00	01	96
			23/2	00	03	08
			23/3	00	03	61
		10	3	00	10	76
			8	00	10	98
			13	00	11	05
			18	00	11	04
			19	00	00	10
			22	00	04	31
			23/1	00	05	87
			23/2	00	00	85
		26	2/1	00	05	20
			2/2	00	05	61
			3/1	00	00	41
			9/1	00	00	67
			9/2	00	06	81

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
11. SHERPUR (Contd...)	16	26	9/3	00	03	11
			12	00	11	22
			19	00	07	37
			20	00	03	84
			21	00	10	94
			22/1	00	00	27
		29	1/2	00	10	97
			10	00	10	37
			11/1	00	09	11
			11/2	00	01	79
			20/1	00	04	83
			20/2	00	06	05
			21/1	00	02	92
			21/2	00	04	50
		45	5	00	00	10
			6	00	08	04
			15/1	00	01	16
			15/2	00	10	44
			16	00	12	99
			17/1	00	00	10
			17/2	00	00	51
			24/1	00	04	02
			24/2	00	04	01
		46	1	00	11	05
			10	00	03	57
		49	4	00	11	61
			7/2	00	10	11
			8	00	01	50
			13/1	00	09	32
			13/2	00	01	40

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
11. SHERPUR (Contd...)	16	49	14	00	00	90
			18	00	10	97
			22	00	01	75
			23/1	00	05	92
			26	00	03	03
		61	2	00	10	88
			9/1	00	05	96
			9/2	00	06	03
			10/2	00	00	10
			11	00	08	75
			12	00	03	39
			19	00	00	56
			26	00	00	23
			76	00	02	40
			77	00	01	20
			79/1	00	01	61
			82	00	01	20
			88	00	03	30
			268	00	00	60
			270	00	00	60
			305	00	02	24
12. RAJPURA	8	3	22	00	08	47
			23	00	04	49
		12	2/1	00	11	34
			2/2	00	00	20
			9	00	11	55
			10/1	00	00	10
			11/2	00	02	49
			12/1	00	06	47
			20	00	11	58

Tehsil : PATODI		District : GURGAON		State : HARYANA	
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area	
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
12. RAJPURA (Contd...)	8	12	21	00	11	40
		13	25	00	00	26
		17	5	00	09	71
			6	00	11	70
			14	00	02	82
			15	00	08	87
			16	00	00	20
			17	00	11	49
			24	00	11	70
		18	1	00	01	82
		28	3	00	05	32
			4/1/1	00	06	36
			4/1/2	00	00	10
			8	00	11	79
			12	00	00	64
			13	00	11	15
			18/1	00	00	88
			18/2	00	00	78
			19/1	00	02	95
			19/2	00	07	17
			22	00	11	79
		32	16/1	00	00	64
		33	1/1	00	00	38
			1/2	00	04	04
			2	00	07	36
			9	00	00	10
			10	00	11	23
			11	00	08	03
			20/1	00	02	42
			20/2	00	08	00

Tehsil : PATODI	District : GURGAON			State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
12. RAJPURA (Contd...)	8	33	21	00	01	83
			55	00	03	80
			56	00	02	66
			174	00	00	60

[No. R-31015/49/2004-O.R.-II]
HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3037.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड की संप्रवर्तक कम्पनी मेसर्स रिलाएंस इण्डस्ट्रीज कम्पनी लिमिटेड के गोवा में उत्तरी/दक्षिणी अपतट में खोज ब्लाकों और आन्ध्रप्रदेश में संरचनाओ से महाराष्ट्र राज्य में ठाणे जिले के विभिन्न उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाइे जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपजाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमिं में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम् 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलबद्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के सम्बन्ध में श्री एस. डी. भिंसे सक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी. टी.आई. सी. एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, प्लॉट नं0 एस 13, एच 13 से 15 तक, चौथा माला, भारत गैस गोडाउन के सामने, लाल चौकी आघारवाडी रोड, कल्याण प जिला ठाणे पिनकोड 421301 को लिखित रुप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : तलासरी जिल्हा : ठाणे राज्य : महाराष्ट्र

गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नं / गट नं	आर ओ यू अर्जित करने के लिए प्रस्तावित		
		हेक्टर	एर	सि एर
1	2	3	4	5
1) वडकली	गाव सीमा और सर्वे नं 161 के बीच में नदी	00	21	24
	161	00	86	89
	129/1/2	00	03	38
	129/2/5	00	01	56
	129/2/4	00	03	57
	129/2/3	00	24	48
	129/2/2	00	01	82
	129/2/1	00	18	08
	129/1/5	00	29	37
	129/1/3	00	16	30
	132	00	47	11
	126/2ए	00	00	96
	126/2बी	00	00	18
	125/1	00	18	70
	125/2	00	00	82
	124/1	00	17	00
	124/2	00	34	66
	सर्वे नं 123 के बीच में रस्ता	00	02	97
	123/1सी	00	11	57
	123/1ए	00	08	77
	112	00	20	57
	121	00	38	40
	116/2/2	00	00	30
	116/2/1	00	05	93
	120/1बी	00	35	86
	113/1	00	52	11
	113/2	00	00	35
	193	00	17	11
	114/1	00	08	77
	114/3	00	01	30
	111/1	00	02	46
	181/1	00	02	95
	181/2	01	60	07
	सर्वे नं 181 में रस्ता	00	03	86
	38/1	00	00	77
	35/1	00	04	45

1	2	3	4	5
1) वडवली (निरंतर)	35/2	00	14	38
	35/3	00	10	24
	35/5	00	10	30
	35/6	00	04	30
	182	00	07	72
	179	01	08	62
	33/1	00	19	21
	32	00	05	96
2) ठाकरपाडा	86	00	71	12
	सर्वे नं 86 में रस्ता	00	11	11
	89/पी	00	96	34
	2पी	00	50	88
	6	00	32	55
	7पी	00	43	91
	10/1/10	00	24	49
	10/1/11	00	10	92
	10/1/16	00	00	09
	8पी	00	65	82
	11	00	14	63
3) सुतारपाडा	14पी	00	31	98
	26	00	07	51
	27	00	02	92
	29	00	07	12
4) इभाडपाडा	73	00	02	36
	67	00	59	24
	सर्वे नं 66 में रस्ता	00	04	68
	66	00	04	17
	64	00	33	31
	सर्वे नं 67 में रस्ता	00	01	33
	61/7	00	02	30
	61/8	00	00	13
	61/9	00	06	69
	61/11	00	23	53
	61/15	00	02	25
	61/16	00	15	84
	61/19	00	38	46
	61/20	00	24	45
	61/21	00	05	01
	58/1	00	21	32
	56/2	00	01	15
	18पी	00	07	92
	20पी	00	41	51
	19	00	00	16

1	2	3	4	5
4) इमाडपाडा (निरंतर)	17पी	00	38	23
	24	01	26	27
	23	00	17	04
5) तलासरी	71	01	89	96
	72पी	00	03	88
	70	00	03	45
	67/1	00	03	42
	62	02	02	74
	65	00	14	46
	63/पी	00	01	69
	59	00	00	10
	सर्वे नं 57 और 56 के बिच में	00	24	33
	57/पी	00	23	09
	56	00	43	16
	55	00	15	96
	41	00	64	81
	42/1	00	06	04
	42/2	00	11	93
	42/4	00	27	46
	42/5	00	25	41
	42/6	00	04	20
	सर्वे नं 42 में रस्ता	00	12	26
	44/1	00	24	28
	44/2	00	00	60
	49/1/1	00	15	71
	49/1/2	00	13	23
	49/1/3	00	01	80
6) वरवाडा	173/4	00	09	24
	173/12	00	00	80
	173/13	00	53	25
	173/15	00	06	29
	173/16	00	02	89
	173/17	00	24	66
	58	00	25	06
	60पी	00	02	24
	159	00	05	56
	56पी	00	39	03
	55	00	06	15
	53	00	27	20
	196	00	05	17
	52पी	00	16	05
	197	00	17	74
	172	00	99	23

1	2	3	4	5
6) वरवाडा (निरंतर)	156पी	00	03	37
	45/2	00	02	04
	50/3	00	00	34
	46/2	00	20	64
	46/3	00	00	32
	47/3	00	03	76
	41/2/1	00	02	41
	41/2/5	00	01	45
	41/2/6	00	09	14
	171/6	01	77	20
	171/7	00	52	23
	40	00	06	56
	37	00	29	56
	35/1	00	15	46
	164	00	03	32
	32/3ए	00	26	60
	32/3बी	00	05	25
	31	00	26	60
	208	00	72	32
	6/2	00	09	17
	7/5	00	07	35
	7/7	00	08	78
	168पी	01	62	27
7) उपलाट	713	00	06	47
	714	00	23	73
	507/पी-203/डब्ल्यू	00	14	91
	1280	00	06	41
	716	00	42	94
	717	00	01	23
	1276	00	31	07
	725	00	66	12
	1268	00	00	10
	726	00	48	58
	727	00	03	21
	745	00	00	48
	744	00	01	47
	749	00	39	18
	751	00	07	89
	757	00	10	53
	759	00	25	17
	756	00	01	23
	761	00	00	62
	752	00	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
7) उपलाट (निरंतर)	760	00	23	41
	770	00	00	10
	769	00	57	86
	सर्वे नं 772 मे रस्ता	00	02	09
	772	00	38	47
	778	00	34	71
	777	00	09	15
	507	00	66	98
	780	00	28	48
	765	00	11	76
	763	00	00	10
	784	00	09	57
	792	00	24	33
	793	00	08	51
	207पी	00	20	28
	507/पी -डब्ल्यु-47	01	35	34
	446	00	04	13
	448	00	09	18
	451	00	04	91
	455	00	14	23
	454	00	08	74
	410	00	37	28
	413	00	08	32
	414	00	41	09
	420	00	09	11
	213	00	49	26
	214	00	00	53
	220/2	01	33	08
	सर्वे नं 220 और 226 मे नाला	00	01	94
	226	00	83	58
	227	00	00	86
	229	00	13	51
	230	00	01	39
	237	00	10	93
	239	00	12	62
	235	00	08	35
	238	00	12	98
	255	00	05	72
	254/1	00	17	81
	320	00	28	95
	सर्वे नं 320 मे रास्ता	00	00	96

1	2	3	4	5
मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : कल्याण	जिल्हा : ठाणे	राज्य : महाराष्ट्र		
1) वडगाव	गाव सीमा और सर्वे नं 45 के बीच में नदी	00	12	43
	45/1	00	39	00
	55	00	54	73
	56/1	00	35	36
	56/3	00	25	20
	56/4	00	52	80
	56/5	00	05	94
	56/6	00	00	96
	56/7ए पी	00	32	58
	61/8	00	02	08
2) आपटी	26/1	00	17	64
	28/2	00	05	64
	28/3	00	00	32
	28/4	00	13	35
	28/5	00	05	47
	26/6पी	00	72	62
	58	00	81	45
	सर्वे न 56 और गाव सीमा के बीच में नदी	00	12	77
	17/3	00	01	91
	17/7	00	00	12
3) मांजर्ली	23	00	09	94
	32/13	00	21	44
	31	00	09	78
	25/6पी	00	42	78
	25/7	00	00	12
	25/8	00	01	12
	25/12	00	00	90
	25/13	00	24	61
	25/14	00	02	20
	26/2	00	10	22
	26/3	00	01	14
	69/9	00	13	50
	69/14	00	20	24
	69/23	00	09	90
	69/24	00	11	08
	69/25	00	05	60
	9	00	43	00
	8/7	00	01	96
	11/10	00	07	88
	11/11	00	06	20
	11/3	00	09	40

1	2	3	4	5
3) कांजली (निरंतर)	11/5	00	10	60
	11/9	00	27	10
4) वाहोली	17/8/1	00	00	39
	17/8/2	00	03	09
	15/1	00	00	54
	14/3	00	00	68
	14/4पी	00	05	17
	14/5	00	54	45
	14/6	00	00	20
	सर्वे नं 14 में रस्ता	00	04	61
	10/1	00	11	87
	10/4	00	05	60
	10/5	00	16	61
	10/6	00	00	20
	9	00	00	10
	8/1/1	00	45	40
	8/1/3	00	08	54
	8/2	00	03	54
	7/4	00	33	23
	7/6	00	06	16
	7/7	00	04	44
	108/1	00	07	94
	108/2	00	20	37
	107/2	00	14	00
	107/3	00	26	97
	112/3	00	15	89
	112/4	00	06	03
	112/5	00	02	36
	112/6	00	05	65
	112/7	00	00	16
	112/9	00	03	44
	114/1	00	35	27
	114/2	00	13	64
	114/3	00	02	41
	114/4	00	20	23
	114/5	00	13	18
	114/6	00	15	57
	111/8	00	00	62
	111/9	00	00	16
	111/10	00	00	10
	113	00	07	67
	115/3	00	25	79
	116/1	00	32	82

1	2	3	4	5
4) वाहोली (निरंतर)	116/3	00	05	83
	96/1पी	00	47	12
	96/5	00	28	22
	96/6	00	31	06
	96/7	00	07	81
	96/8	00	07	45
	95/पी	00	06	10
	92/1	00	04	40
	92/2पी	00	00	19
	94	00	28	15
5) गोवेली	55/4	00	11	34
	53/3	00	27	54
	53/5/1	00	20	16
	53/5/2	00	05	61
	53/6	00	11	68
	53/7	00	12	53
	52/2	00	20	39
	52/3अ,ब	00	12	68
	52/4	00	12	72
	52/5	00	07	80
	52/6/1	00	11	87
	52/6/2+7	00	08	64
	51/6	00	00	14
	51/7	00	11	63
	49	00	14	77
	50	00	14	83
6) रेवती	43	00	25	97
	39/2	00	05	01
	42/बी	00	19	89
	40	00	16	53
	42/सी	00	11	12
	सर्वे नं 42/सी और 21 के बीच में रास्ता	00	18	71
	21/4	00	00	10
	21/5	00	07	70
	18/1	00	21	80
	18/2	00	21	22
	17/1पी	00	25	81
	17/3पी	00	31	37
	1/1	00	37	30
	2/1	00	43	96
	3/1	00	06	81
	3/2	00	00	36
	3/3	00	00	27

1	2	3	4	5
6) रेवती (निरंतर)	3/4	00	07	51
	3/8पी	00	03	13
	3/9	00	08	47
	10/1	00	26	42
	10/2	00	00	29
	10/4	00	12	01
	10/5	00	04	37
	सर्वे नं 10 में रस्ता	00	01	82
	9/1	00	14	20
	9/2	00	09	15
	9/6	00	02	28
	9/7	00	09	94
	8/1	00	13	99
	8/2	00	01	20
	8/3	00	03	78
	8/5/1पी	00	03	74
7) अनखर	28/1	00	00	61
	28/2	00	03	08
	28/3	00	26	58
	28/4	00	00	42
	28/5	00	21	09
	28/6	00	02	58
	28/7	00	02	35
	28	00	30	70
	32/6	00	03	56
	32/7	00	16	78
	32/8	00	12	47
	44	00	10	11
	46/1पी	00	04	13
	45	00	91	50
	75/3ए	00	05	37
	74/2	00	09	07
	80/1पी	00	42	29
	80/2	00	17	02
	80/5	00	30	44
	77/1	00	04	08
	77/2	00	11	32
	77/3	00	02	52
	77/4	00	01	54
	79/1	00	01	18
	81/1	00	10	40
	61/2	00	02	45
	83/ब1क,2क	00	00	95

1	2	3	4	5
7) अम्बर (निरंतर)	83/1ए	00	03	00
	82	00	20	64
8) चेटलई	35	00	98	61
	सर्वे नं 35/1 में रस्ता	00	01	99
	34	01	22	65
	28	00	40	03
	31	00	00	10
9) पलवक	110/1पी	00	09	64
10) रिटपक्का	66/पी	01	60	09
	सर्वे नं 66 में रस्ता	00	03	19
	77/2	00	01	12
	67/3	00	00	24
	67/4	00	04	60
	67/5	00	39	93
	67/7	00	03	50
	67/8	00	08	00
	65/1	00	21	20
	64	00	14	63
	70	00	15	73
	68/1	00	13	92
	71	00	42	56
	50/2पी	00	18	04
	73/1	00	23	84
	49	00	26	53
	47/1ए	00	03	26
	47/1बी	00	10	61
	47/2	00	04	50
	47/4	00	19	12
	सर्वे नं 46 में रस्ता	00	03	45
	46/3	00	04	80
	46/10	00	03	30
	46/11	00	16	17
	243	00	06	51
	36/1पी	00	27	66
	36/2	00	07	94
	35/पी	00	46	75
	25	00	12	14
	26/2पी	00	45	67
	30/1	00	15	43
	30/2	00	17	66
	27/2	00	02	04
	235/1पी	00	20	10
	235/2	00	31	20

1	2	3	4	5
10) टिटवाळा (निरंतर)	सर्वे नं 235 और गाव सीम के बीच में नदी	00	32	75
11) उतने	गाव सीम और सर्वे नं 136 के बीच में नदी	00	31	86
	136	00	60	07
	80/2	00	03	50
	81/2	00	01	33
	65/पी	00	45	19
	137	00	50	66
	87	00	03	16
	89/1पी	00	13	41
	89/2	00	08	28
	सर्वे नं 89 में रस्ता	00	03	06
	140	00	06	85
	144	00	03	87
	96/1पी	00	14	51
	98/3	00	10	35
	96/8	00	73	93
12) गुरवली	58	00	37	36
	57	00	02	35
	61/1/2	00	08	63
	61/2	00	03	07
	61/11	00	09	14
	62/1	00	22	57
	62/2	00	00	11
	62/3/1	00	03	85
	62/3/2	00	03	34
	62/4	00	07	06
	63/1	00	00	10
	63/4	00	04	53
	63/5	00	14	71
	63/7	00	22	30
	68/3/1	00	19	05
	68/3/2	00	23	42
	68/3/3	00	05	11
	68/4	00	12	52
	68/13/1	00	05	81
	68/13/2	00	14	80
	68/13/3	00	07	98
	सर्वे नं [illegible] बीच में रेल्वे	00	09	73
	3/3	00	03	44
	3/4	00	02	23
	3/8	00	04	98
	40/4	00	03	96
	40/6	00	26	60

1	2	3	4	5
12) गुरवली (निरंतर)	4/2	00	00	65
	4/3	00	08	54
	4/4	00	15	76
	4/8	00	17	78
	39/1	00	13	04
	39/4	00	03	65
	39/5	00	12	00
	39/6	00	05	54
	39/7	00	03	94
	39/9	00	13	06
	38/3पी	00	02	31
	37/1अ	00	08	12
	37/1ब	00	13	90
	36/1	00	06	60
	36/2	00	09	02
	सर्वे नं 39 और 36 के बिच मे	00	05	04
	33	00	18	45
	34/1/3	00	08	20
	34/2	00	06	61
	34/3	00	09	72
	34/4	00	09	62
	29/1	00	09	00
	29/2	00	10	50
	29/3	00	04	50
	29/4	00	04	50
	29/5	00	04	60
	18/1	00	40	70
	19/1ब	00	00	97
	19/1क	00	05	80
	19/1ड	00	03	10
	19/2	00	09	16
	20/3	00	04	01
	20/4	00	18	06
	सर्वे नं 20 और गांव सीमा के बीच में रस्ता	00	02	52
13) निंबवली	84/1/2	00	16	25
	84/2	00	10	77
	84/3सी/पी	00	14	64
14) राया	54/1	00	02	31
	54/2पी	00	23	02
	54/8	00	13	28
	54/9	00	06	19
	54/10	00	07	59
	46/5	00	01	67

1	2	3	4	5
14) राया (निरंतर)	46/6	00	17	90
	58/2	00	00	76
	58/4	00	05	54
	45/1	00	32	28
	45/2	00	11	83
	44/1पी	00	34	18
	64/1	00	11	84
	64/2	00	05	68
	64/3	00	00	16
	64/4	00	00	15
	64/7	00	22	87
	64/8	00	00	10
	43/1पी	00	19	25
	73/1	00	00	28
	73/3	00	00	10
	71/2	00	37	89
	68/1	00	06	87
	88/2	00	01	87
	68/3	00	03	93
	68/4	00	01	87
	68/5	00	00	10
	68/15	00	02	80
	69/1	00	17	73
	69/2	00	01	94
	70	00	07	19
15) ओझली	32	00	00	23
	22/1पी	00	07	06
	22/2	00	04	29
	31/1	00	19	10
	31/2	00	08	35
	36/1	00	43	47
	36/7	00	00	40
	30/7	00	04	52
	23/3	00	21	82
	13/1पी	00	00	18
	13/2	00	08	45
	13/3	00	13	98
	13/4	00	05	17
	12/1	00	06	30
	11/1पी	00	00	53
	10/1/1	00	20	21
	10/1/2	00	10	15
	10/2	00	10	14

1	2	3	4	5
15) ओसली (निरंतर)	8/2पी	00	00	10
	8/5	00	26	93
	39	00	04	15
	5/3पी	00	05	03
	6/2पी	00	08	15
	6/3पी	00	00	10
	6/4	00	03	02
	6/5पी	00	11	16
	सर्वे नं 6 और गाव सीमा के बीच में नदी	00	34	85

[फा. सं. एल-14014/42/2004-जी.पी.]
एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd November, 2004

S. O. 3037.— Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of natural gas from exploration blocks in the Northern/Southern Offshore of Goa and structures in Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Industries Limited, the promoter company of M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited to various consumers of District Thane in the State of Maharashtra, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipelines are proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub- section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of this notification, as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1)of section (3) of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri S.D.Bhise,Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited, Plot No.S-13, H-13 to 15, 4th floor, Opp./ Bharat Gas Godown, Andharwadi Road, Lal Chowki, Kalyan (W), Pin code – 421301.

Schedule

Mandal/Thesil/Taluk : Talasari **District : Thane** **State : Maharashtra**

Village	Survey No./Gat No.	Area to be acquired for ROU		
		Hectare	Are	C-Are
1	2	3	4	5
1) Vadavali	River in bet. V.B & Svy.No. 161	00	21	24
	161	00	86	89
	129/1/2	00	03	38
	129/2/5	00	01	56
	129/2/4	00	03	57
	129/2/3	00	24	48
	129/2/2	00	01	82
	129/2/1	00	16	08
	129/1/5	00	29	37
	129/1/3	00	16	30
	132	00	47	11
	126/2A	00	00	96
	126/2B	00	00	18
	125/1	00	18	70
	125/2	00	00	82
	124/1	00	17	00
	124/2	00	34	66
	Road in Bet Svy. No.123	00	02	97
	123/1C	00	11	57
	123/1A	00	08	77
	112	00	20	57
	121	00	38	40
	116/2/2	00	00	30
	116/2/1	00	05	93
	120/1B	00	35	86
	113/1	00	52	11
	113/2	00	00	35
	193	00	17	11
	114/1	00	06	77
	114/3	00	01	30
	111/1	00	02	46
	181/1	00	02	95
	181/2	01	60	07
	Road in Svy. No. 181	00	03	86
	38/1	00	00	77
	35/1	00	04	45

1	2	3	4	5
1) Vadavali (Contd....)	35/2	00	14	38
	35/3	00	10	24
	35/5	00	10	30
	35/6	00	04	30
	182	00	07	72
	179	01	08	62
	33/1	00	19	21
	32	00	05	96
2) Thakarpada	86	00	71	12
	Road in Svy. No. 86	00	11	11
	89/P	00	96	34
	2P	00	60	88
	6	00	32	55
	7P	00	43	91
	10/1/10	00	24	49
	10/1/11	00	10	92
	10//1/16	00	00	09
	8P	00	65	82
	11	00	14	83
3) Sutarpada	14P	00	31	98
	26	00	07	51
	27	00	02	92
	29	00	07	12
4) Ibhadpada	73	00	02	36
	67	00	59	24
	Road in Svy. No. 66	00	04	88
	66	00	04	17
	64	00	33	31
	Road in Svy. No. 67	00	01	33
	61/7	00	02	30
	61/8	00	00	13
	61/9	00	06	69
	61/11	00	23	53
	61/15	00	02	25
	61/16	00	15	84
	61/19	00	38	46
	61/20	00	24	45
	61/21	00	05	01
	58/1	00	21	32
	58/2	00	01	15
	18P	00	07	92
	20P	00	41	51
	19	00	00	16

1	2	3	4	5
4) Ibhadpada (Contd....)	17P	00	38	23
	24	01	26	27
	23	00	17	04
5) Talavan	71	01	89	96
	72P	00	03	88
	70	00	03	45
	67/1	00	03	42
	62	02	02	74
	65	00	14	46
	63/P	00	01	69
	59	00	00	10
	In Bet Svy No. 57 & 56	00	24	33
	57/P	00	23	09
	56	00	43	16
	55	00	15	96
	41	00	64	81
	42/1	00	06	04
	42/2	00	11	93
	42/4	00	27	46
	42/5	00	25	41
	42/6	00	04	20
	Road in Svy No. 42	00	12	26
	44/1	00	24	28
	44/2	00	00	60
	49/1/1	00	15	71
	49/1/2	00	13	23
	49/1/3	00	01	80
6) Varvada	173/4	00	09	24
	173/12	00	00	80
	173/13	00	53	25
	173/15	00	06	29
	173/16	00	02	89
	173/17	00	24	66
	58	00	25	06
	60P	00	02	24
	159	00	05	56
	56P	00	39	03
	55	00	06	15
	53	00	27	20
	196	00	05	17
	52P	00	16	05
	197	00	17	74
	172	00	99	23

1	2	3	4	5
6) Varvada (Contd....)	156P	00	03	37
	45/2	00	02	04
	50/3	00	00	34
	46/2	00	20	64
	46/3	00	00	32
	47/3	00	03	76
	41/2/1	00	02	41
	41/2/5	00	01	45
	41/2/6	00	09	14
	171/6	01	77	20
	171/7	00	52	23
	40	00	06	56
	37	00	29	56
	35/1	00	15	46
	164	00	03	32
	32/3A	00	26	60
	32/3B	00	05	25
	31	00	26	80
	208	00	72	32
	6/2	00	09	17
	7/5	00	07	35
	7/7	00	08	78
	168P	01	62	27
7) Uplat	713	00	06	47
	714	00	23	73
	507/P -203/W	00	14	91
	1280	00	06	41
	716	00	42	94
	717	00	01	23
	1276	00	31	07
	725	00	66	12
	1268	00	00	10
	726	00	48	58
	727	00	03	21
	745	00	00	48
	744	00	01	47
	749	00	39	18
	751	00	07	89
	757	00	10	53
	759	00	25	17
	756	00	01	23
	761	00	00	62
	752	00	00	10

1	2	3	4	5
7) Uplat (Contd....)	760	00	23	41
	770	00	00	10
	769	00	57	86
	Road in Svy. No. 772	00	02	09
	772	00	38	47
	778	00	34	71
	777	00	09	15
	507	00	66	96
	780	00	28	48
	785	00	11	78
	783	00	00	10
	784	00	09	57
	792	00	24	33
	793	00	08	51
	207P	00	20	28
	507/P-W-47	01	35	34
	446	00	04	13
	448	00	09	16
	451	00	04	91
	455	00	14	23
	454	00	08	74
	410	00	37	28
	413	00	08	32
	414	00	41	09
	420	00	09	11
	213	00	49	28
	214	00	00	53
	220/2	01	33	06
	Nala In Bet Svy No 220 & 226	00	01	94
	226	00	63	58
	227	00	00	88
	229	00	13	51
	230	00	01	39
	237	00	10	93
	239	00	12	82
	235	00	08	35
	238	00	12	98
	255	00	05	72
	254/1	00	17	81
	320	00	28	95
	Road In Svy No. 320	00	00	96

1	2	3	4	5
Mandal/Theeil/Taluk : Kalyan	**District : Thane**	**State : Maharashtra**		
1) Dahagaon	River In bet V.B & Svy. No. 45	00	12	43
	45/1	00	39	00
	55	00	54	73
	56/1	00	35	36
	56/3	00	25	20
	56/4	00	52	80
	56/5	00	05	94
	56/6	00	00	98
	56/7AP	00	32	58
	61/8	00	02	08
2) Apti	28/1	00	17	84
	28/2	00	05	84
	28/3	00	00	32
	28/4	00	13	35
	28/5	00	05	47
	28/6P	00	72	62
	56	00	81	45
	River in bet. Svy No. 56 & V.B	00	12	77
	17/3	00	01	91
	17/7	00	00	12
3) Manjarli	23	00	09	94
	32/13	00	21	44
	31	00	09	78
	25/6P	00	42	78
	25/7	00	00	12
	25/8	00	01	12
	25/12	00	00	90
	25/13	00	24	61
	25/14	00	02	20
	26/2	00	10	22
	26/3	00	01	14
	69/9	00	13	50
	69/14	00	20	24
	69/23	00	09	90
	69/24	00	11	08
	69/25	00	05	60
	9	00	43	00
	8/7	00	01	96
	11/10	00	07	88
	11/11	00	06	20
	11/3	00	09	40

1	2	3	4	5
3) Manjarli (Contd....)	11/5	00	10	60
	11/9	00	27	10
4) Vaholi	17/8/1	00	00	39
	17/8/2	00	03	09
	15/1	00	00	54
	14/3	00	00	68
	14/4P	00	05	17
	14/5	00	54	45
	14/6	00	00	20
	Road in Svy. No. 14	00	04	61
	10/1	00	11	87
	10/4	00	05	60
	10/5	00	16	61
	10/6	00	00	20
	9	00	00	10
	8/1/1	00	45	40
	8/1/3	00	08	54
	8/2	00	03	54
	7/4	00	33	23
	7/6	00	06	16
	7/7	00	04	44
	108/1	00	07	94
	108/2	00	20	37
	107/2	00	14	00
	107/3	00	26	97
	112/3	00	15	89
	112/4	00	06	03
	112/5	00	02	36
	112/6	00	05	65
	112/7	00	00	16
	112/9	00	03	44
	114/1	00	35	27
	114/2	00	13	64
	114/3	00	02	41
	114/4	00	20	23
	114/5	00	13	18
	114/6	00	15	57
	111/8	00	00	62
	111/9	00	00	16
	111/10	00	00	10
	113	00	07	67
	115/3	00	25	79
	116/1	00	32	82

1	2	3	4	5
4) Vaholi (Contd....)	116/3	00	05	83
	96/1F	00	47	12
	96/5	00	28	22
	96/6	00	31	06
	96/7	00	07	81
	96/8	00	07	45
	95/P	00	06	10
	92/1	00	04	40
	92/2P	00	00	19
	94	00	28	15
5) Goveli	55/4	00	11	34
	53/3	00	27	54
	53/5/1	00	20	16
	53/5/2	00	05	61
	53/6	00	11	68
	53/7	00	12	53
	52/2	00	20	39
	52/3A,B	00	12	68
	52/4	00	12	72
	52/5	00	07	80
	52/6/1	00	11	87
	52/6/2+7	00	08	64
	51/6	00	00	14
	51/7	00	11	63
	49	00	14	77
	50	00	14	83
6) Revati	43	00	25	97
	39/2	00	05	01
	42/B	00	19	89
	40	00	16	53
	42/C	00	11	12
	Road in bet. Svy. No. 42/C & 21	00	18	71
	21/4	00	00	10
	21/5	00	07	70
	18/1	00	21	80
	18/2	00	21	22
	17/1P	00	25	81
	17/3P	00	31	37
	1/1	00	37	30
	2/1	00	43	96
	3/1	00	06	81
	3/2	00	00	36
	3/3	00	00	27

1	2	3	4	5
6) Revati (Contd....)	3/4	00	07	51
	3/8P	00	03	13
	3/9	00	08	47
	10/1	00	26	42
	10/2	00	00	29
	10/4	00	12	01
	10/5	00	04	37
	Road in Svy. No. 10	00	01	62
	9/1	00	14	20
	9/2	00	09	15
	9/6	00	02	28
	9/7	00	09	94
	8/1	00	13	99
	8/2	00	01	20
	8/3	00	03	78
	8/5/1P	00	03	74
7) Anakhar	28/1	00	00	61
	28/2	00	03	08
	28/3	00	26	56
	28/4	00	00	42
	28/5	00	21	09
	28/6	00	02	58
	28/7	00	02	35
	26	00	30	70
	32/6	00	03	56
	32/7	00	16	78
	32/8	00	12	47
	44	00	10	11
	46/1P	00	04	13
	45	00	91	50
	75/3A	00	05	37
	74/2	00	09	07
	80/1P	00	42	29
	80/2	00	17	02
	80/5	00	30	44
	77/1	00	04	08
	77/2	00	11	32
	77/3	00	02	52
	77/4	00	01	54
	79/1	00	01	16
	81/1	00	10	40
	81/2	00	02	45
	83/(1C,2C)	00	00	95

1	2	3	4	5
7) Anakhar (Contd....)	83/1A	00	03	00
	82	00	20	64
8) Ghotsai	35	00	98	61
	Road in Svy No. 35/1	00	01	99
	34	01	22	65
	28	00	40	03
	31	00	00	10
9) Mhaskal	110/1P	00	09	64
10) Titwala	66/P	01	60	09
	Road in Svy No. 66	00	03	19
	77/2	00	01	12
	67/3	00	00	24
	67/4	00	04	60
	67/5	00	39	93
	67/7	00	03	50
	67/8	00	08	00
	65/1	00	21	20
	64	00	14	83
	70	00	15	73
	68/1	00	13	92
	71	00	42	56
	50/2P	00	18	04
	73/1	00	23	84
	49	00	26	53
	47/1A	00	03	26
	47/1B	00	10	61
	47/2	00	04	50
	47/4	00	19	12
	Road in Svy No. 46	00	03	15
	46/3	00	04	80
	46/10	00	03	30
	46/11	00	16	17
	243	00	06	51
	36/1P	00	27	66
	36/2	00	07	94
	35/P	00	46	75
	25	00	12	14
	26/2P	00	45	67
	30/1	00	15	43
	30/2	00	17	66
	27/2	00	02	04
	235/1P	00	20	10
	235/2	00	31	20

1	2	3	4	5
10) Titwala (Contd....)	River in bet. Svy No. 235 & V.B.	00	32	75
11) Utane	River in bet. Svy. No. 136 & V. B.	00	31	86
	136	00	60	07
	80/2	00	03	50
	81/2	00	01	33
	85/P	00	45	19
	137	00	50	66
	87	00	03	16
	89/1P	00	13	41
	89/2	00	06	28
	Road in Svy. No. 89	00	03	06
	140	00	06	85
	144	00	03	87
	96/1P	00	14	51
	96/3	00	10	35
	96/8	00	73	93
12) Guravali	58	00	37	36
	57	00	02	35
	61/1/2	00	08	63
	61/2	00	03	07
	61/11	00	09	14
	62/1	00	22	57
	62/2	00	00	11
	62/3/1	00	03	85
	62/3/2	00	03	34
	62/4	00	07	06
	63/1	00	00	10
	63/4	00	04	53
	63/5	00	14	71
	63/7	00	22	30
	68/3/1	00	19	05
	68/3/2	00	23	42
	68/3/3	00	05	11
	68/4	00	12	52
	68/13/1	00	05	81
	68/13/2	00	14	80
	68/13/3	00	07	98
	Railway in bet Svy. No. 68 & 3	00	09	73
	3/3	00	03	44
	3/4	00	02	23
	3/8	00	04	98
	40/4	00	03	96
	40/6	00	26	60

1	2	3	4	5
12) Guravali (Contd....)	4/2	00	00	65
	4/3	00	08	54
	4/4	00	15	76
	4/8	00	17	78
	39/1	00	13	04
	39/4	00	03	65
	39/5	00	12	00
	39/6	00	05	54
	39/7	00	03	94
	39/9	00	13	06
	38/3P	00	02	31
	37/1A	00	06	12
	37/1B	00	13	90
	36/1	00	06	60
	36/2	00	09	02
	In Bet Svy.No 39 & 36	00	05	04
	33	00	18	45
	34/1/3	00	08	20
	34/2	00	06	61
	34/3	00	09	72
	34/4	00	09	62
	29/1	00	09	00
	29/2	00	10	50
	29/3	00	04	50
	29/4	00	04	50
	29/5	00	04	80
	18/1	00	40	70
	19/1B	00	00	97
	19/1C	00	05	80
	19/1D	00	03	10
	19/2	00	09	16
	20/3	00	04	01
	20/4	00	18	06
	Road in bet Svy. No. 20 & V.B	00	02	52
13) Nimboli	84/1/2	00	16	25
	84/2	00	10	77
	84/3C/P	00	14	64
14) Raya	54/1	00	02	31
	54/2P	00	23	02
	54/8	00	13	28
	54/9	00	06	19
	54/10	00	07	59
	46/5	00	01	67

1	2	3	4	5
14) Raya (Contd....)	46/6	00	17	90
	58/2	00	00	76
	58/4	00	05	54
	45/1	00	32	28
	45/2	00	11	83
	44/1P	00	34	18
	64/1	00	11	84
	64/2	00	05	68
	64/3	00	00	16
	64/4	00	00	15
	64/7	00	22	87
	64/8	00	00	10
	43/1P	00	19	25
	73/1	00	00	28
	73/3	00	00	10
	71/2	00	37	89
	68/1	00	06	87
	68/2	00	01	87
	68/3	00	03	93
	68/4	00	01	87
	68/5	00	00	10
	68/15	00	02	60
	69/1	00	17	73
	69/2	00	01	94
	70	00	07	19
15) Ozharli	32	00	00	23
	22/1P	00	07	06
	22/2	00	04	29
	31/1	00	19	10
	31/2	00	08	35
	36/1	00	43	47
	36/7	00	00	40
	30/7	00	04	52
	23/3	00	21	82
	13/1P	00	00	18
	13/2	00	08	45
	13/[illegible]	00	13	98
	13/[illegible]	00	05	17
	[illegible]	00	06	30
	[illegible]1P	00	00	53
	10/1/1	00	20	21
	10/1/2	00	10	15
	10/2	00	10	14

1	2	3	4	5
15) Ozharli (Contd....)	8/2P	00	00	10
	8/5	00	26	93
	39	00	04	15
	5/3P	00	05	03
	6/2P	00	08	15
	6/3P	00	00	10
	6/4	00	03	02
	6/5P	00	11	16
	River in bet. Svy No. 6 & V.B	00	34	65

[F. No. L-14014/42/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3038.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए जामनगर-भोपाल और काकीनाडा-हैदराबाद-गोवा पाइपलाइन को आपस में जोडने के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाइे जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपजाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमिं में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम् 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोपणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा (3) की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलबद्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री ए. के.संघवी, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी. टी.आई. सी. एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, तीसरी मंजिल, एटलांटा टावर, इन्कलाब सोसायटी के सामने गुलबाई टेकरा, एलिसब्रिज - अहमदाबाद - 380006, गुजरात राज्य को लिखित रुप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा ।

अनुसूची

तालुका : नडियाद जिला: खेडा राज्य : गुजरात

आर ओ यू अर्जित करने के लिए क्षेत्रफल

गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर		हेक्टर	आरे	स्केर मी.
1	2		3	4	5
1. नवागाम	231		00	08	49
	232		00	06	22
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं.232 और 233 के बीच में)	00	00	91
	233		00	11	08
	234		00	32	72
	338		00	12	75
	235		00	24	92
	236		00	36	44
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे न. 236 और 329 के बीच में)	00	03	40
	329		00	11	14
	328		00	05	23
	326		00	05	75
	327		00	13	38
	366		00	16	86
	323		00	23	75
	365		00	09	20
	367		00	00	52
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे न. 367 और 412 के बीच में)	00	02	17
	412		00	38	53
	407		00	14	91

तालुका : मातर जिला: खेडा राज्य : गुजरात

आर ओ यू अर्जित करने के लिए क्षेत्रफल

गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर		हेक्टर	आरे	स्केर मी.
1	2		3	4	5
1. सीहोलडी	425		00	24	33
	424		00	10	87
	430		00	02	40
	नहर	(सर्वे नं. 430 और 424 के बीच में)	00	15	58
	423		00	06	62
	422		00	13	02
	432		00	13	31
	433		00	23	10
	435		00	22	45
	401		00	38	01
	399		00	08	21
	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 399 और 397 के बीच में)	00	10	38
	397		00	00	60
	396		00	38	03
	393		00	16	41
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 393 और 443 के बीच में)	00	03	35
	443		00	08	51
	392		00	10	17
	445		00	14	42
	367		00	07	52
	363		00	41	90
	362		00	01	37
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 362 और 361 के बीच में)	00	03	20

1	2		3	4	5
सीहोलडी निरंतर..	361		00	10	80
	360		00	19	35
	359	(सरकारी जमीन -तालाब)	00	09	77
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 360 और 358 के बीच म)	00	00	64
	358		00	10	47
	457/ब		00	18	05
	455		00	00	02
	457/अ		00	32	25
	456		00	01	03
	459		00	20	25
	461/अ		00	21	24
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 461/A और 465 के बीच में)	00	00	93
	465		00	12	02
	461/ब		00	21	82
	463		00	19	18
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे नं. 463 और 487 के बीच में)	00	02	39
	487		00	12	27
	488		00	11	16
	494		00	39	59
	495		00	01	94
	501/अ		00	14	03
	501/ब		00	04	30
	500		00	04	52
	502		00	16	60
	504		00	04	50
	503		00	10	15
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 503 और 506 के बीच मे)	00	10	48
	506		00	06	63
	516		00	12	02
	508		00	17	09
	509		00	25	97
	515		00	01	46
	514		00	00	25
2. मलियातज	503		00	00	21
	504		00	32	80
	502		00	01	02
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 504 और 507 के बीच मे)	00	00	91
	507		00	18	74
	506/1		00	34	14
	508		00	05	65
	534/1		00	04	93
	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 534/1 और 534/2 के बीच में)	00	06	57
	534/2		00	16	88
3. अलिन्द्रा	246/2		00	10	11
	243/2		00	07	25
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे नं. 243/2 और 243/1 के बीच में)	00	11	17
	243/1		00	10	03
	244		00	08	60
	241		00	24	95
	240		00	02	44
	228		00	01	46
	229		00	22	53
	230		00	12	60
	224		00	00	16

1	2		3	4	5
अलिन्द्रा निरंतर..	223		00	17	25
	213		00	05	55
	214		00	22	04
	180		00	34	00
	216		00	08	44
	179		00	19	12
	178		00	29	10
	177		00	03	74
	176		00	30	40
	174		00	06	86
	139	(सरकारी जमीन -नाला)	00	16	22
	415		00	01	06
	417		00	10	06
	418		00	33	90
	419		00	00	85
	425		00	28	35
	426		00	16	51
	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 426 और 447 के बीच में)	00	05	74
	447		00	07	85
	446		00	14	30
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 446 और 711 के बीच मे)	00	02	01
	711		00	26	50
	नाला	(सर्वे न. 712 और 876 के बीच में)	00	03	70
	712		00	01	45
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे न. 712 और 876 के बीच में)	00	03	16
	876		00	06	15
	875		00	47	70
	884		00	13	41
	882		00	01	65
	885		00	20	71
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 885 और 870 के बीच मे)	00	03	20
	870		00	01	16
	836		00	29	03
	857		00	13	69
	855		00	04	15
	854		00	17	02
	837		00	07	92
	839		00	04	96
	838		00	17	46
	827		00	02	03
	826		00	19	43
	816		00	17	92
	817		00	00	32
	812		00	18	90
	810		00	00	40
	807		00	09	58
	814		00	00	08
	813		00	03	70
	806		00	01	95
	801		00	01	08
	800		00	12	63
	799		00	00	09
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे नं. 799 और 986 के बीच मे)	00	10	97
	986		00	27	89

1	2		3	4	5
अलिन्द्रा निरंतर..	987		00	23	32
	988		00	05	80
4. उंधेला	कच्चा रास्ता	(गांव की हद और सर्वे नं. 317 के बीच में)	00	04	20
	317		00	04	34
	318		00	37	13
	319		00	00	23
	314		00	17	22
	323		00	10	67
	322		00	06	30
	324		00	25	97
	396	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	00	01
	392		00	02	20
	393		00	29	49
	395		00	08	48
	394		00	12	87
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 394 और 498 के बीच में)	00	04	03
	498		00	10	78
	499		00	03	52
	497		00	20	77
	496		00	01	15
	487		00	04	52
	486		00	01	30
	488		00	11	87
	490		00	13	42
	489		00	01	30
	482	(सरकारी जमीन -तालाब)	00	03	78
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 490 और 530 के बीच में)	00	00	61
	नहर	(सर्वे नं. 482 और 531 के बीच में)	00	05	98
	530		00	01	63
	531		00	27	09
	532		00	01	05
	533		00	18	06
	534		00	01	29
	528		00	17	26
	535		00	02	74
	536		00	06	99
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 528 और 602 के बीच में)	00	03	51
	602		00	00	35
	600		00	16	67
	601		00	01	30
	612		00	25	19
	614		00	07	79
	613		00	10	47
	611		00	00	55
	637		00	03	93
	636		00	01	46
	698		00	15	11
	635		00	09	43
	699		00	03	51
	700		00	05	82
	701		00	03	92
	708		00	25	00
	709		00	00	01
	707		00	02	71

1	2		3	4	5
उधेला निरनर..	706		00	07	31
	704		00	01	29
	705		00	01	97
	716		00	18	16
	717		00	00	01
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 716 और 733 के बीच मे)	00	01	45
	733		00	16	29
	732		00	11	19
	731		00	04	50
	730		00	04	71
	729		00	11	14
	728		00	02	98
5. उंटाई	293		00	01	13
	296		00	41	99
	297		00	08	51
	255/1		00	07	58
	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 255/1 और 255/2 के बीच मे)	00	21	33
	255/2		00	07	69
	256		00	03	87
	253/1		00	25	18
	221/1		00	14	54
	252		00	17	90
	251		00	02	99
	223		00	05	10
	224		00	30	44
	222	(सरकारी जमीन - डी.एल.बी.कमेटी)	00	01	36
	226		00	10	92
6. मातर	कच्चा रास्ता	(गांव की हद और सर्वे नं.1025 के बीच मे)	00	02	50
	1025		00	23	25
	1026		00	03	84
	1027		00	15	36
	1028		00	07	20
	1029		00	18	95
	1021		00	00	05
	1035		00	20	13
	1030		00	00	61
	1036		00	25	22
	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 1036 और 1089 के बीच मे)	00	03	62
	1089		00	16	14
	1087		00	23	37
	1086		00	00	24
	1085		00	06	20
	नाला	सर्वे नं. 1085 और 1038 के बीच मे)	00	04	14
	1038		00	03	36
	1039		00	00	22
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 1039 और 1072 के बीच मे)	00	08	23
	1072		00	15	94
	1071		00	12	87
	1073		00	05	05
	1074		00	25	59
	1075		00	13	98
	नाला	सर्वे नं. 1075 और 1081 के बीच मे)	00	05	35
	1081		00	05	50
	1079		00	17	90

1	2		3	4	5
मातर निरंतर..	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 1079 और 1076 के बीच में)	00	05	64
	1076		00	00	72
	1077		00	35	97
	1078	(सरकारी जमीन -तालाब)	00	00	77
	1062		00	00	33
	नाला	(सर्वे नं. 1062 और 1117 के बीच में)	00	03	36
	1117		00	11	71
	1118		00	20	34
	1119		00	62	28
	1121		00	01	53
	नहर	(सर्वे नं. 1121 और 1119 के बीच में)	00	03	71
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 1119 और गांव की हद के बीच में)	00	02	43
	1321		00	00	09
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे नं. 1321 और 1322 के बीच में)	00	03	96
	1322		00	17	88
	1323		00	16	28
	1326		00	24	67
	1328		00	05	53
	1327		00	26	67
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्व न. 1327 और 1416 के बीच में)	00	04	53
	1416		00	03	21
	1413		00	58	75
	1433/अ		00	40	95
	1436		00	15	04
	1435		00	31	92
	नहर	(सर्वे नं 1435 में)	00	03	35
	1447		00	24	28
	1449		00	17	88
	1389		00	19	45
	1386		00	21	08
	1385		00	13	95
	1382		00	29	21
	1383		00	00	20
	1377		00	26	88
	1376		00	04	16
	पक्की सडक -एस एच	(सर्वे नं. 1376 और 1455 के बीच में)	00	04	19
	1455		00	01	71
	1454		00	21	18
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 1454 और 1467 के बीच मे)	00	01	87
	1467		00	36	64
	1468		00	11	71
	1473		00	02	40
	1472		00	09	37
	1471		00	08	95
	1470		00	07	30
	1478		00	16	20
	1477		00	30	83
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 1477 और 1489 के बीच में)	00	04	16
	1489		00	49	77
	1488/1		00	38	45
7. सोखडा	189		00	32	83
	कच्चा रास्ता	(गांव की हद और सर्व नं.193 के बीच मे)	00	03	99
	193		00	47	15
	नहर.	(सर्वे नं 193 में)	00	08	34

1	2		3	4	5
सोखडा निरंतर..	197		00	35	25
	198		00	15	96
	225		00	05	45
	226		00	43	11
	227		00	08	75
	228		00	08	34
	229		00	00	52
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे नं. 228 और 229 के बीच में)	00	06	26
	623		00	04	25
	622		00	31	70
	621		00	18	24
	620		00	20	12
	629		00	16	46
	641		00	25	95
	640		00	49	83
	638		00	04	51
	637		00	15	48
	636		00	35	68
	सरकारी-जमीन	(सर्वे नं. 636 और वात्रक नदी के बीच में)	00	15	14
	नदी	(वात्रक नदी)	00	44	86

तालुका : **खेडा** जिला: **खेडा** राज्य : गुजरात

गांव का नाम	सर्वे नंबर		क्षेत्रफल हेक्टर	आरे	स्केर मी.
1	2		3	4	5
1. हरियाला	नदी	(वात्रक नदी)	00	38	52
	192		00	41	03
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्व न. 192 और 206 के बीच में)	00	04	93
	206		00	36	95
	205		00	19	39
	207		00	40	18
	210/1		00	00	20
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे नं. 210/1 और 210/2 के बीच में)	00	10	27
	210/2		00	33	96
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 210/2 और 349 के बीच में)	00	03	40
	349		00	43	54
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 349 और 350 के बीच में)	00	00	93
	351		00	00	30
	350		00	09	13
	427		00	16	01
	सरकारी-जमीन		00	11	40
	428		00	09	64
	429		00	02	47
	450		00	21	50
	449		00	10	22
	430		00	23	15
	448		00	01	09
	447		00	30	91
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्व न. 447 और 444 के बीच मे)	00	04	39
	444		00	48	18
	445		00	06	33
	475		00	55	91
	474		00	15	89
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्व न. 474 और 479 के बीच में)	00	04	43

1	2		3	4	5
हरियाला निरंतर..	479		00	37	31
	482		00	15	60
	518		00	25	75
	483		00	11	10
	505		00	62	90
	504		00	00	08
	507		00	52	61
	पक्की सड़क	(सर्वे नं. 507 और 658 के बीच में)	00	06	30
	658		00	07	76
	657		00	93	84
	656		00	00	02
	653		00	70	79
	652		00	76	37
	612/2		00	07	15
	651		00	16	43
	625/2		00	63	26
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे 625/2 और केनाल के बीच में)	00	08	80
	नहर	(सर्वे नं. 625/2 और 625/1 के बीच में)	00	18	79
	625/1		00	01	65
	626		00	11	12
	627/1		00	32	86
	628		00	26	53
	630		00	02	21
	631		00	26	50
	नाला	(सर्वे न. 631 और 632 के बीच में)	00	23	43
	632		00	50	14
	633		00	25	30
	634		00	36	96
	635		00	24	64
2. काजीपुरा	115		00	20	69
	116		00	88	49
	114		00	21	69
	120		00	34	67
	113	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	01	30
	121/ब		00	13	79
	नहर	(सर्वे न 121/B और 127 के बीच में)	00	05	84
	127		00	25	90
	112/अ		00	18	56
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 112/A और 129 के बीच में)	00	05	55
	129		00	33	78
	130		00	36	30
	131		00	15	86
	132		00	19	12
	149		00	06	23
	134		00	02	80
	136/अ		00	33	76
	136/ब		00	31	01
	136/ड		00	11	78
	राष्ट्रीय मार्ग	(सर्वे न. 136/D और 136/B के बीच में)	00	37	53
	136/क		00	05	81
	137		00	02	10
3. गोभलज	855		00	39	85
	856		00	00	07
	857		00	36	05

1	2		3	4	5
गोभलज निरंतर..	858		00	23	40
	707/2		00	00	05
	867		00	40	50
	866		00	11	16
	868		00	40	96
	873		00	18	39
	869		00	00	64
	कच्चा रास्ता	(सर्वे नं. 869 और 871 के बीच में)	00	03	07
	871		00	36	87
	972	(गामतल)	00	00	52
	971	(पी.डबल्यू.डी.)	00	29	97
	973	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	31	71
	नाला	(सर्व न. 973 म)	00	02	09
	969	(पी.डबल्यू.डी.)	00	09	86
	979		00	28	35
	980	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	00	36
	995		00	22	64
	994		00	04	83
	997		00	14	19
	1002		00	00	69
	1001		00	47	38
	1003		00	12	67
	1004	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	00	32
	1005	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	15	18
	1006		00	03	07
	1008		00	09	64
	1009		00	10	62
	1016		00	13	14
	1011		00	00	18
	1014		00	00	89
	1012		00	05	98
	1013		00	03	99
	987	(पी.डबल्यू.डी.)	00	19	27
	1114		00	20	00
	1117		00	16	44
	1120		00	02	18
	1119		00	07	54
	1118		00	28	36
	1127		00	42	20
	1128	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	03	75
	पक्की सडक	(सर्वे न. 1128 और 1129 के बीच में)	00	03	75
	1129	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	24	88
4 मलारपरा	22	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	40	52
	23	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	08	80
	25	(सरकारी जमीन)	00	05	44
	6/4		00	17	07
	26		00	06	20
	27		00	85	54
	6/1		00	83	02
	4		00	17	73
5. कनेरा	30	(सरकारी जमीन - गोचर)	00	33	16
	32	(सरकारी जमीन - गोचर)	00	11	29
	31	(सरकारी जमीन - गोचर)	00	31	47
	54		00	57	16

1	2	3	4	5
कनेरा निरंतर..	57	00	17	50
	105	00	12	32
	109	00	15	61
	110	00	01	07
	124	00	03	46
	111	00	12	34
	123	00	06	24
	112	00	10	47
	122	00	07	90
	99	00	00	28
	114	00	03	25
	113	00	29	92
	118	00	11	60
	117	00	28	59
	135	00	06	20
	कच्चा रास्ता (सर्वे नं. 135 और 414के बीच में)	00	03	51
	414	00	02	87
	412	00	05	04
	413	00	15	51
	446	00	01	52
	444	00	09	72
	443	00	00	01
	445	00	03	11
	465	00	02	52
	447	00	00	07
	464	00	06	87
	463	00	06	20
	448	00	00	01
	466	00	00	18
	451	00	02	75
	468	00	01	26
	462	00	02	59
	461	00	02	63
	460	00	10	10
	453	00	09	92
	454	00	07	59
	404	00	00	95
	455	00	04	03
	456	00	01	71
	457	00	35	70
	399	00	03	03
	398	00	32	47
	385	00	00	44
	397	00	04	84
	391	00	15	51
	386	00	14	09

1	2	3	4	5
कनेरा निरंतर..	390	00	00	47
	387	00	16	77

[फा. सं. एल-14014/35/2004-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd November, 2004

S. O. 3038.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of Natural Gas through an interconnection between Jamnagar - Bhopal and Kakinada Hyderabad-Goa pipeline, a pipeline should be laid by Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user (ROU) in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub- section (1) of the section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri A.K.Sanghavi, Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited Pipeline Project, Atlanta Tower, 3rd Floor. Opp Inqulab Society, Gulbai Tekra, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad – 380 006, Gujarat.

SCHEDULE

Taluka : Nadiad **District : Kheda** **State : Gujarat**

Name of the Village	Survey No.		Area to be acquired for R. Hectare	Are	Sq.Mtr.
1	2		3	4	5
1. NAVAGAM	231		00	08	49
	232		00	06	22
	Cart Track	(Inbetween survey 232 & 233)	00	00	91
	233		00	11	08
	234		00	32	72
	338		00	12	75
	235		00	24	92
	236		00	36	44
	Cart Track	(Inbetween survey 236 & 329)	00	03	40
	329		00	11	14
	328		00	05	23
	326		00	05	75
	327		00	13	38
	366		00	16	86
	323		00	23	75
	365		00	09	20
	367		00	00	52
	Cart Track	(Inbetween survey No 367&412)	00	02	17
	412		00	38	53
	407		00	14	91

Taluka : Matar **District : Kheda** **State : Gujarat**

Name of the Village	Survey No.		Area to be acquired for ROU Hectare	Are	Sq.Mtr.
1	2		3	4	5
1. SIHOLDI	425		00	24	33
	424		00	10	87
	430		00	02	40
	Canal	(In between Survey No. 430 & 424)	00	15	58
	423		00	06	62
	422		00	13	02
	432		00	13	31
	433		00	23	10
	435		00	22	45
	401		00	38	01
	399		00	08	21
	Nala	(In between Survey No. 399 & 397)	00	10	38
	397		00	00	60
	396		00	38	03
	393		00	16	41
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 393 & 443)	00	03	35
	443		00	08	51
	392		00	10	17
	445		00	14	42
	367		00	07	52
	363		00	41	90
	362		00	01	37
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 362 & 361)	00	03	20

1	2		3	4	5
Siholdi Cont'd	361		00	10	80
	360		00	19	35
	359	(Govt. Land - Pond)	00	09	77
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 360 & 358)	00	00	64
	358		00	10	47
	457/B		00	18	05
	455		00	00	02
	457/A		00	32	25
	456		00	01	03
	459		00	20	25
	461/A		00	21	24
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 461/A & 465)	00	00	93
	465		00	12	02
	461/B		00	21	82
	463		00	19	18
	Asphalted Road	(In between Survey No. 463 & 487)	00	02	39
	487		00	12	27
	488		00	11	16
	494		00	39	59
	495		00	01	94
	501/A		00	14	03
	501/B		00	04	30
	500		00	04	52
	502		00	16	60
	504		00	04	50
	503		00	10	15
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 503 & 506)	00	10	48
	506		00	06	63
	516		00	12	02
	508		00	17	09
	509		00	25	97
	515		00	01	46
	514		00	00	25
2. MALIYATAJ	503		00	00	21
	504		00	32	80
	502		00	01	02
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 504 & 507)	00	00	91
	507		00	18	74
	506/1		00	34	14
	508		00	05	65
	534/1		00	04	93
	Nala	(In between Survey No. 534/1 & 534/2)	00	06	57
	534/2		00	16	88
3. ALINDRA	246/2		00	10	11
	243/2		00	07	25
	Asphalted Road	(In between Survey No. 243/2 & 243/1)	00	11	17
	243/1		00	10	03
	244		00	08	60
	241		00	24	95
	240		00	02	44
	228		00	01	46
	229		00	22	53
	230		00	12	60
	224		00	00	16

1	2		3	4	5
ALINDRA Cont'd...	223		00	17	25
	213		00	05	55
	214		00	22	04
	180		00	34	00
	216		00	08	44
	179		00	19	12
	178		00	29	10
	177		00	03	74
	176		00	30	40
	174		00	06	86
	139	(Govt. Land - NALA)	00	16	22
	415		00	01	06
	417		00	10	06
	418		00	33	90
	419		00	00	85
	425		00	28	35
	426		00	16	51
	Nala	(In between Survey No 426&447)	00	05	74
	447		00	07	85
	446		00	14	30
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 446 & 711)	00	02	01
	711		00	26	50
	Nala	(in between Survey No 712 & 876)	00	03	70
	712		00	01	45
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 712 & 876)	00	03	16
	876		00	06	15
	875		00	47	70
	884		00	13	41
	882		00	01	65
	885		00	20	71
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 885 & 870)	00	03	20
	870		00	01	16
	836		00	29	03
	857		00	13	69
	855		00	04	15
	854		00	17	02
	837		00	07	92
	839		00	04	96
	838		00	17	46
	827		00	02	03
	826		00	19	43
	816		00	17	92
	817		00	00	32
	812		00	18	90
	810		00	00	40
	807		00	09	58
	814		00	00	08
	813		00	03	70
	806		00	01	95
	801		00	01	08
	800		00	12	63
	799		00	00	09
	Asphalted Road	(In between Survey No. 799 & 986)	00	10	97
	986		00	27	89

1	2		3	4	5
ALINDRA Cont'd...	987		00	23	32
	988		00	05	80
4 UNDHELA	Cart Track	(In between VB & Survey No. 317)	00	04	20
	317		00	04	34
	318		00	37	13
	319		00	00	23
	314		00	17	22
	323		00	10	67
	322		00	06	30
	324		00	25	97
	396	(Govt. Land)	00	00	01
	392		00	02	20
	393		00	29	49
	395		00	08	48
	394		00	12	87
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 394 & 498)	00	04	03
	498		00	10	78
	499		00	03	52
	497		00	20	77
	496		00	01	15
	487		00	04	52
	486		00	01	30
	488		00	11	87
	490		00	13	42
	489		00	01	30
	482	(Govt. Land - Pond)	00	03	78
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 490 & 530)	00	00	61
	Canal	(In between Survey No.482 & 531)	00	05	98
	530		00	01	63
	531		00	27	09
	532		00	01	05
	533		00	18	06
	534		00	01	29
	528		00	17	26
	535		00	02	74
	536		00	06	99
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 528 & 602)	00	03	51
	602		00	00	35
	600		00	16	67
	601		00	01	30
	612		00	25	19
	614		00	07	79
	613		00	10	47
	611		00	00	55
	637		00	03	93
	636		00	01	46
	698		00	15	11
	635		00	09	43
	699		00	03	51
	700		00	05	82
	701		00	03	92
	708		00	25	00
	709		00	00	01
	707		00	02	71

1	2		3	4	5
UNDHELA Cont'd	706		00	07	31
	704		00	01	29
	705		00	01	97
	716		00	18	16
	717		00	00	01
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 716 & 733)	00	01	45
	733		00	16	29
	732		00	11	19
	731		00	04	50
	730		00	04	71
	729		00	11	14
	728		00	02	98
5. UNTAI	293		00	01	13
	296		00	41	99
	297		00	08	51
	255/1		00	07	58
	Nala	(In between Survey No. 255/1 & 255/2)	00	21	33
	255/2		00	07	69
	256		00	03	87
	253/1		00	25	18
	221/1		00	14	54
	252		00	17	90
	251		00	02	99
	223		00	05	10
	224		00	30	44
	222	(Govt. Land - D.L.B.Committee)	00	01	36
	226		00	10	92
6. MATAR	Cart Track	(In between VB & Survey No. 1025)	00	02	50
	1025		00	23	25
	1026		00	03	84
	1027		00	15	36
	1028		00	07	20
	1029		00	18	95
	1021		00	00	05
	1035		00	20	13
	1030		00	00	61
	1036		00	25	22
	Nala	(Inbetween Survey No.1036 &1089)	00	03	62
	1089		00	16	14
	1087		00	23	37
	1086		00	00	24
	1085		00	06	20
	Nala	(Inbetween Survey No.1085 &1038)	00	04	14
	1038		00	03	36
	1039		00	00	22
	Cart Track	(Inbetween Survey No.1039 &1072)	00	08	23
	1072		00	15	94
	1071		00	12	87
	1073		00	05	05
	1074		00	25	59
	1075		00	13	98
	Nala	(In between survey No.1075 & 1081)	00	05	35
	1081		00	05	50
	1079		00	17	90

1	2		3	4	5
MATAR (Cont'd)	Nala	(In between Survey No.1079 &1076)	00	05	64
	1076		00	00	72
	1077		00	35	97
	1078	(Govt.Land-Talavadi)	00	00	77
	1062		00	00	33
	Nala	(In between survey No.1062 & 1117)	00	03	36
	1117		00	11	71
	1118		00	20	34
	1119		00	62	28
	1121		00	01	53
	Canal	(In between Survey No.1121 & 1119)	00	03	71
	Cart Track	(In between survey No 1119 & VB)	00	02	43
	1321		00	00	09
	Asphalted Road	(In between survey No 1321 &1322)	00	03	96
	1322		00	17	88
	1323		00	16	28
	1326		00	24	67
	1328		00	05	53
	1327		00	26	67
	Cart Track	(In between survey No 1327& 1416))	00	04	53
	1416		00	03	21
	1413		00	58	75
	1433/A		00	40	95
	1436		00	15	04
	1435		00	31	92
	Canal	(In Survey No.1435)	00	03	35
	1447		00	24	28
	1449		00	17	88
	1389		00	19	45
	1386		00	21	08
	1385		00	13	95
	1382		00	29	21
	1383		00	00	20
	1377		00	26	88
	1376		00	04	16
	State Highway	(In between survey No.1376 & 1455)	00	04	19
	1455		00	01	71
	1454		00	21	18
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No.1454 & 1467)	00	01	87
	1467		00	36	64
	1468		00	11	71
	1473/1		00	02	40
	1472		00	09	37
	1471		00	08	95
	1470		00	07	30
	1478		00	16	20
	1477		00	30	83
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No.1477 &1489)	00	04	16
	1489		00	49	77
	1488/1		00	38	45
7. SOKHADA	189		00	32	83
	Cart Track	(In between VB Survey No. 193)	00	03	99
	193		00	47	15
	Canal	(In Survey No.193)	00	08	34

1	2		3	4	5
SOKHADA (Cont'd)	197		00	35	25
	198		00	15	96
	225		00	05	45
	226		00	43	11
	227		00	08	75
	228		00	08	34
	229		00	00	52
	Asphalted Road	(Inbetween Survey No.228 & 229)	00	06	26
	623		00	04	25
	622		00	31	70
	621		00	18	24
	620		00	20	12
	629		00	16	46
	641		00	25	95
	640		00	49	83
	638		00	04	51
	637		00	15	48
	636		00	35	68
	Govt. Land	(In between Survey No. 636 & River)	00	15	14
	River	(Vatrak River)	00	44	86

Taluka : Kheda **District : Kheda** **State : Gujarat**

Name of the Village	Survey No.		Area Hectare	Are	Sq.Mtr.
1	2		3	4	5
1. HARIYALA	River	(Vatrak River)	00	38	52
	192		00	41	03
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 192 & 206)	00	04	93
	206		00	36	95
	205		00	19	39
	207		00	40	18
	210/1		00	00	20
	Asphalted Road	(In between Survey No. 210/1 & 210/2)	00	10	27
	210/2		00	33	96
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 210/2 & 349)	00	03	40
	349		00	43	54
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 349 & 350)	00	00	93
	351		00	00	30
	350		00	09	13
	427		00	16	01
	Govt. Land		00	11	40
	428		00	09	64
	429		00	02	47
	450		00	21	50
	449		00	10	22
	430		00	23	15
	448		00	01	09
	447		00	30	91
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 447 & 444)	00	04	39
	444		00	48	18
	445		00	06	33
	475		00	55	91
	474		00	15	89
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 474 & 479)	00	04	43

1	2		3	4	5
HARIYALA Cont'd	479		00	37	31
	482		00	15	60
	518		00	25	75
	483		00	11	10
	505		00	62	90
	504		00	00	08
	507		00	52	61
	Asphalted Road	(In between Survey No. 507 & 658)	00	06	30
	658		00	07	76
	657		00	93	84
	656		00	00	02
	653		00	70	79
	652		00	76	37
	612/2		00	07	15
	651		00	16	43
	625/2		00	63	26
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 625/2 & Canal)	00	08	80
	Canal	(In between Survey No. 625/2 & 625/1)	00	18	79
	625/1		00	01	65
	626		00	11	12
	627/1		00	32	86
	628		00	26	53
	630		00	02	21
	631		00	26	50
	Nala	(In between Survey No. 631 & 632)	00	23	43
	632		00	50	14
	633		00	25	30
	634		00	36	96
	635		00	24	64
2. KAJIPURA	115		00	20	69
	116		00	88	49
	114		00	21	69
	120		00	34	67
	113	(Govt. Land)	00	01	30
	121/B		00	13	79
	Canal	(In between Survey No. 121B & 127)	00	05	84
	127		00	25	90
	112/A		00	18	56
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 112/A & 129)	00	05	55
	129		00	33	78
	130		00	36	30
	131		00	15	86
	132		00	19	12
	149		00	06	23
	134		00	02	80
	136A		00	33	76
	136B		00	31	01
	136D		00	11	78
	National Highway	(In between Survey No. 136D & 136B)	00	37	53
	136C		00	05	81
	137		00	02	10
3 GOBHLAJ	855		00	39	85
	856		00	00	07
	857		00	36	05

1	2		3	4	5
GOBHLAJ Cont'd	858		00	23	40
	707/2		00	00	05
	867		00	40	50
	866		00	11	16
	868		00	40	96
	873		00	18	39
	869		00	00	64
	Cart Track	(In between Survey No. 869 & 871)	00	03	07
	871		00	36	87
	972	(Village Site)	00	00	52
	971	(P.W.D.)	00	29	97
	973	(Govt. Land)	00	31	71
	Drain	(In Survey No. 973)	00	02	09
	969	(P.W.D.)	00	09	86
	979		00	28	35
	980	(Govt. Land)	00	00	36
	995		00	22	64
	994		00	04	83
	997		00	14	19
	1002		00	00	69
	1001		00	47	38
	1003		00	12	67
	1004	(Govt. Land)	00	00	32
	1005	(Govt. Land)	00	15	18
	1006		00	03	07
	1008		00	09	64
	1009		00	10	62
	1016		00	13	14
	1011		00	00	18
	1014		00	00	89
	1012		00	05	98
	1013		00	03	99
	987	(P.W.D.)	00	19	27
	1114		00	20	00
	1117		00	16	44
	1120		00	02	18
	1119		00	07	54
	1118		00	28	36
	1127		00	42	20
	1128	(Govt. Land)	00	03	75
	Asphalted Road	(Inbetween survey No.1128 & 1129)	00	03	75
	1129	(Govt. Land)	00	24	88
4.MALARPURA	22	(Govt. Land)	00	40	52
	23	(Govt.Land)	00	08	80
	25	(Govt. Land)	00	05	44
	6/4		00	17	07
	26		00	06	20
	27		00	85	54
	6/1		00	83	02
	4		00	17	73
5. KANERA	30	(Govt. Land - Goucher)	00	33	16
	32	(Govt. Land - Goucher)	00	11	29
	31	(Govt. Land - Goucher)	00	31	47
	54		00	57	16

1	2		3	4	5
KANERA Cont'd	57		00	17	50
	105		00	12	32
	109		00	15	61
	110		00	01	07
	124		00	03	46
	111		00	12	34
	123		00	06	24
	112		00	10	47
	122		00	07	90
	99		00	00	28
	114		00	03	25
	113		00	29	92
	118		00	11	60
	117		00	28	59
	135		00	06	20
	Cart Track	(In between survey No.135 & 414)	00	03	51
	414		00	02	87
	412		00	05	04
	413		00	15	51
	446		00	01	52
	444		00	09	72
	443		00	00	01
	445		00	03	11
	465		00	02	52
	447		00	00	07
	464		00	06	87
	463		00	06	20
	448		00	00	01
	466		00	00	18
	451		00	02	75
	468		00	01	26
	462		00	02	59
	461		00	02	63
	460		00	10	10
	453		00	09	92
	454		00	07	59
	404		00	00	95
	455		00	04	03
	456		00	01	71
	457		00	35	70
	399		00	03	03
	398		00	32	47
	385		00	00	44
	397		00	04	84

1	2	3	4	5
	391	00	15	51
	386	00	14	69
	390	00	00	47
	387	00	16	77

[F. No. L-14014/35/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3039.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड की संप्रवर्तक कम्पनी मेसर्स रिलाएंस इण्डस्ट्रीज कम्पनी लिमिटेड के गोवा में उत्तरी/दक्षिणी अपतट में खोज ब्लाकों और आन्ध्रप्रदेश में संरचनाओ से महाराष्ट्र राज्य में ठाणे जिले के विभिन्न उपभोक्ताओं तक प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए मेसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इनफ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाइे जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपजाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अत: अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमिं में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम् 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारीं की गई अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलबद्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के सम्बन्ध में श्री एस. डी. भिंसे सक्षम प्राधिकारी, जी. टी.आई. सी. एल. पाइपलाइन प्रयोजना, प्लॉट नं0 एस 13, एच 13 से 15 तक, चौथा माला, भारत गैस गोडाऊन के सामने, लाल चौंकी आघारवाडी रोड, कल्याण प जिला ठाणे पिनकोड 421301 को लिखित रुप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा I

अनुसूची

मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक : विकमगड जिल्हा : ठाणे राज्य : महाराष्ट्र

गाँव का नाम	सर्वे नं / गट नं	अधिकार अर्जित करने की भूमि का क्षेत्र		
		हेक्टेर	एर	सि एर
1	2	3	4	5
1) कुर्झे	गाव सीमा और गट नं.553 के बीच में नदी	00	18	73
	553पी	00	45	53
	554	00	01	47
	563/पी	00	09	00
	563पी/1	00	56	70
	568	00	03	49
	573/1पी	00	13	50
	573/1 पी	00	01	64
	573 /1पी	00	26	45
	573/1पी'	00	04	80
	578	00	34	53
	579	00	39	79
	584पी	00	34	91
	586	00	13	20
	588	00	19	93
	589	00	08	23
	590	00	63	70
	गट नं. 590 में रस्ता	00	03	39
	593	00	27	31
	639	00	11	49
	642	00	31	81
	641	00	03	81
	640	00	07	01
	638	00	10	15
	30	00	64	17
	632	00	03	26
	631	00	01	63
	633	00	32	40
	28/पी	00	47	10
	26	00	28	43
	गट नं. 30 और गाव सीमा के बीच का नाला	00	09	65
2) म्हसरोली	गाव सीमा और गट नं.131/9 के बीच में नाला	00	06	74
	131/9	00	20	76
	131/12	00	24	78
	17पी	00	64	34
	29पी	00	54	02
	98पी	00	12	90
	118	00	13	09
	20/2	00	00	54
	108/2	00	22	98
	108/3	00	39	00
	18	00	43	65
	16पी	00	33	00
	130/1	00	65	29
	107	00	90	00
	15	00	54	37
	130/2	00	01	12
	115	00	00	16

1	2	3	4	5
3) शिळ	4ए/76	02	20	76
	12	00	00	15
	31	00	34	38
	75	00	68	10
	74	00	83	12
	17	00	00	68
	19	00	51	57
	72	00	03	57
	71	00	00	90
4) मोपोली	91	00	16	49
	105	00	51	69
	103	00	46	47
	104	00	07	83
	94	00	26	87
	98	00	02	15
	गट नं. 97 में रस्ता	00	08	59
	96	00	13	01
	80/बी	00	46	48
	79	00	55	96
	78	02	00	10
	81 और डब्ल्यु/2	00	06	85
	44	00	14	76
	43	00	01	66
5) तळवली	99	00	49	11
	104	00	57	17
	गट नं. 104 में रस्ता	00	02	19
	गट नं. 104 में रस्ता	00	03	43
	106	00	39	62
	107	00	47	01
	108	00	14	54
	109	00	15	47
	114	00	71	48
	110	00	04	66
	111	00	08	94
	112	00	10	37
	113	00	13	54
	121	00	51	42
	73	00	02	04
	72	00	04	45
	65	00	11	19
	62	00	96	43
	60	00	14	53
	51	00	10	00
	64	00	16	08
	53	00	12	53
	47	00	15	78
	48	00	02	50
	26	00	01	08
	36	00	03	07
	27	00	74	44
	24	00	48	26
	14	00	97	46
	13	00	40	90
	12	00	34	04
6) कोंडगाव	151/4ए	00	50	49
	16/1	00	02	15

1	2	3	4	5
6) कोंडगाव (निरंतर)	131/पी	01	41	86
	96पी	00	07	02
	95	00	07	18
	130/3	00	33	73
	130/5	00	22	64
	130/6	00	07	45
	107/पी	00	05	87
	106	00	31	42
	105	00	06	19
	110	00	20	59
	112पी	00	20	25
	112 पी	00	12	00
	113	00	12	09
	157	00	09	11
	128/6	00	13	68
	70/1पी	00	07	50
	70/2	00	06	70
	155	00	08	18
	12	00	48	93
	115/पी	00	00	14
	63/3	00	00	15
	142	00	03	84
	127पी	00	00	10
	61/1	00	25	64
	61/2	00	02	77
	61/3	00	02	89
	पाडा	00	00	10
	58	00	04	97
	59	00	00	17
	57/1	00	25	86
	57/2पी	00	29	85
	123पी	00	78	28
	56	00	24	91
	गट नं. 123 में रस्ता	00	03	68
	150/4ए-3 डब्ल्यु	00	11	54
	150/4ए-4 डब्ल्यु	00	40	17
	गट नं.4 डब्ल्यू में नाला	00	06	27
7) विळशेत	63	00	26	16
	44	00	28	47
	33	00	15	66
	25/4	00	02	05
	34	00	18	90
	35	00	29	17
	2	00	17	65
	3	00	39	83
	1	00	01	10
	4/3	00	11	63
	4/5	00	02	24
	4/6	00	01	02
	4/7	00	06	60
	5/1	00	26	81
	5/2	00	10	70
	5/3	00	17	44
	42/2	00	51	02
	42/3	00	01	72
	42/5	00	00	38
	42/6	00	07	61

1	2	3	4	5
7) विलशेत (निरंतर)	6	00	32	20
	50	00	04	31
	सर्वे नंबर 47 बीच मे नाला	00	24	06
	47	00	73	21
	60/1	00	03	20
	12ए	00	14	57
	12बी	00	08	05
मंडल/ तेहसिल/ तालुक ः वाडा	जिल्हा ः ठाणे	राज्य ः महाराष्ट्र		
1) मेट	19	00	22	34
	22पी	00	56	75
	25/सी	00	03	25
	25/डी	00	15	31
	25/ई	00	02	15
	26	00	00	10
	39	00	18	95
2) घोणसई	147/बी	00	08	95
	147/ए1	00	25	25
	गट नं.147 और 171 के बीच में राजमार्ग 35	00	09	48
	171	00	22	30
	145/ए	00	00	23
	177	00	21	18
	176	00	47	75
	175	00	05	61
	141	00	07	58
	140	00	02	01
	138	00	01	59
	142/पी	00	04	60
	गट नं. 138 और 83 के बीच में नाला	00	06	21
	83	00	05	04
	80	00	18	97
	85/ए	00	08	83
	85/बी	00	07	89
	87/ए	00	08	77
	90	00	17	17
	91	00	17	57
	93	00	10	09
	53	00	01	84
	52	00	00	77
	98	00	15	16
	50	00	08	20
	49	00	16	82
	51	00	12	80
	11	00	00	25
	48	00	14	15
	12	00	07	35
	13	00	03	02
	19	00	00	37
	20	00	14	11
	21	00	15	65
	43	00	18	61
	40	00	18	06
	38	00	19	18
	509/बी	00	02	80
	33	00	08	01
	37	00	13	57
	36	00	06	38

1	2	3	4	5
2) घोणसई (निरंतर)	35	00	13	56
	34	00	04	61
	509/ए	00	15	26
	508	00	03	54
3) मुसारणे	526	00	00	84
	535	00	06	18
	536	00	21	10
	539/बी	00	08	95
	539/ए	00	00	84
	543	00	15	38
	544	00	00	10
	545	00	16	18
	551	00	11	14
	552	00	06	48
	550	00	02	55
	549	00	01	82
	553	00	13	02
	554	00	03	55
	555	00	02	51
	459	00	03	94
	556	00	00	25
	447	00	01	47
	446	00	01	60
	445	00	01	79
	444	00	04	50
	441	00	03	83
	440	00	09	46
	439	00	08	30
	438	00	02	66
	562	00	20	73
	563	00	01	40
	385	00	28	56
	328	00	11	35
	327	00	17	17
	322	00	21	30
	324	00	15	88
	66	00	32	83
	256	00	04	78
	255	00	09	64
	254	00	10	37
	71/बी	00	37	54
	250	00	01	53
	221	00	14	09
	224	00	02	07
	223	00	04	13
	227	00	02	41
	222	00	04	26
	220	00	05	98
	216/डी	00	10	81
	216/सी	00	09	93
	216/बी	00	05	68
	219	00	05	57
	216/ए	00	05	47
	217/ए	00	05	57
	218	00	05	93
	214/ए	00	21	37
	213	00	03	69

1	2	3	4	5
3) मुसारणे (निरंतर)	201	00	48	96
	212	00	02	63
	203	00	00	10
	202	00	17	38
4) चिंचघर	178	00	02	37
	166	00	11	55
	161	00	04	07
	203	00	43	50
	216	00	01	67
	217	00	24	13
	220	00	08	44
	221	00	13	15
	222	00	34	37
	212/सी	00	21	25
	258/ए	00	09	50
	260	00	10	29
	261	00	12	46
	262	00	12	93
	263	00	11	26
	285	00	08	20
	308	00	04	41
5) विजयगड	13/1	00	04	65
	11/2	00	03	31
	11/1/1	00	14	48
	10/7	00	29	01
	10/4	00	18	88
	10/1	00	00	55
	10/2	00	07	05
	5/10	00	00	21
	5/11	00	12	48
	5/12	00	00	28
	5/9	00	07	65
	5/5	00	01	80
	5/3/1	00	04	21
	5/1	00	02	74
	5/2/1	00	02	21
	गट नं.5 और 6 के बीच में रस्ता	00	02	62
	6/14/1	00	08	52
	6/12	00	04	98
	6/7/1	00	14	49
	6/6	00	02	84
	6/4	00	00	79
	गट नं.6/6 और गाव सीमा के बीच में गाडी रस्ता	00	09	42
	4/3	00	00	10
	4/2	00	00	19
	4/1.	00	00	49
6) डोंगस्ते	15/8	00	06	97
	15/7अ	00	09	00
	15/7क	00	06	86
	15/5	00	04	43
	15/1अ+4	00	09	54
	सर्वे नं. 15/1 और 14/15 के बीच में नाला	00	02	10
	14/15	00	04	17
	14/14	00	06	95
	14/9अ	00	02	24
	14/9ब	00	03	20

1	2	3	4	5
6) डोंगस्ते (निरंतर)	14/8	00	03	58
	14/2/1	00	10	81
	3/1	00	04	73
	3/13क	00	00	10
	3/7	00	17	20
	3/9	00	02	06
	3/11अ	00	08	04
	3/11ब	00	01	08
	3/11ड	00	07	00
	3/11ई	00	02	92
	3/5अ	00	02	88
	3/5ब	00	05	32
	3/5क	00	05	50
	सर्वे नं. 3/5 और 4/6 के बीच में नाला	00	03	00
	4/6	00	25	80
	4/4	00	01	17
	5/8	00	05	19
	5/6	00	02	64
	5/5	00	09	63
	5/4	00	14	00
	37/6	00	07	14
	5/3	00	03	16
	5/2ब	00	05	12
	5/2क	00	00	10
	5/1	00	17	17
	36/1ए	00	34	56
	34/14	00	18	80
	सर्वे नं 34/14 और 35/6 के बीच में रस्ता	00	02	32
	35/6	00	13	72
	35/9	00	03	80
	35/4	00	13	02
	35/5	00	00	33
	35/3	00	00	37
7) बिलावली	196	00	05	30
	195	00	08	58
	197	00	57	19
	194	00	12	40
	187	00	07	80
	186	00	00	15
	184	00	00	99
	183	00	01	32
	181	00	04	08
	208	00	03	05
	202	00	00	56
	203/बी	00	04	15
	206	00	08	30
	205	00	10	30
	204	00	10	28
	237	00	08	17
	239/बी	00	11	78
	238	00	04	71
	249ए	00	08	38
	250	00	06	25
	251	00	05	39
	255	00	16	21
	256	00	00	51
	349	00	34	60

निरंतर

1	2	3	4	5
7) विलावली (निरंतर)	254	00	02	31
	352	00	16	26
	351	00	01	80
	350	00	00	58
	348	00	00	99
	345	00	00	24
	346	00	01	24
	357	00	03	31
	358	00	33	93
	355	00	21	37
	368	00	21	51
	370	00	14	99
	371	00	09	62
	372	00	19	58
	417	00	29	11
	420	00	04	62
	421	00	03	54
	422	00	13	97
	424	00	06	02
	423	00	10	87
	431	00	14	03
	440	00	11	30
	437	00	00	10
	438	00	00	78
	439	00	02	00
	441	00	15	82
	443	00	07	15
	444	00	01	00
	445	00	00	49
	447ए	00	37	19
	448	00	03	86
	449	00	05	54
	461	00	02	99
	469	00	27	45
	466	00	03	80
	468	00	06	93
	465/ए	00	23	66
	465/सी	00	03	21
	464/ए	00	18	49
	463	00	26	90
	481	00	05	67
	480	00	05	59
	479/2	00	23	78
	494	00	47	29
8) विलोशी	764/1पी	00	59	22
	776/1पी	00	51	47
	22	00	18	03
	21	00	44	42
	20/1	00	15	43
	19/सी	00	43	02
	19/ए	00	28	64
	27	00	36	03
	13/2	00	17	50
	63	00	23	89
	61	00	16	08
	56	00	01	24
	60	00	06	31

1	2	3	4	5
8) बिलोशी (निरंतर)	गट नं. 63 और 64 के बीच में रस्ता			
	64	00	03	40
	97	00	01	12
	65	00	00	13
	96	00	55	98
	60	00	00	10
	81	00	03	15
	62	00	00	40
	136	00	13	00
	138	00	05	36
	193	00	01	32
	4	00	20	56
	151	00	03	64
	140	00	16	10
	155	00	02	66
	158	00	00	10
	157	00	20	72
	160	00	09	67
	159	00	05	30
	191	00	40	50
	161	00	04	23
	189	00	05	80
	190	00	05	21
	182	00	08	39
	251	00	04	57
	253	00	05	03
	256	00	12	55
	254	00	01	84
	257	00	12	28
	258	00	02	00
	281	00	15	71
	259	00	29	20
	306	00	00	10
	305	00	40	20
	307	00	09	59
	308	00	05	93
	304	00	17	49
	361	00	15	81
	366	00	32	35
	365	00	16	62
	367	00	49	04
9) खानिवली	109	00	00	48
	106	00	06	24
	107	00	19	74
	113	00	05	17
	115	00	10	01
	105	00	19	72
	138	00	00	20
	135	00	19	68
	137	00	14	07
	159	00	36	74
	158	00	06	44
	157	00	02	76
	200	00	00	13
	199	00	13	59
	196	00	00	84
	197	00	02	67
		00	36	26

1	2	3	4	5
9) खानिवली (निरंतर)	196	00	03	35
	212	00	16	23
	211	00	15	50
	210	00	07	65
	209	00	29	57
	222	00	29	60
	218	00	55	46
	217	00	05	03
	213	00	01	74
	219	00	06	00
10) अविस्ते खु .	166	00	00	10
	187	00	17	49
	गाव सीमा और गट नं. 167 के बीच में रस्ता	00	02	53
	185	00	04	66
	166	00	04	29
	189/ए	00	17	65
	189/बी	00	13	38
	193	00	09	28
	163	00	67	94
	194	00	16	54
	197	00	00	86
	196	00	04	19
	251	00	00	36
	250	00	13	93
	247	00	00	69
	253	00	08	65
	246	00	00	10
	245	00	06	71
	244	00	09	06
	243	00	19	49
	242	00	00	98
	321	00	10	69
	322	00	10	06
	325	00	03	15
	324	00	12	72
	323	00	09	93
	320	00	32	18
	329	00	23	28
	471	00	11	13
	470	00	11	43
	460	00	00	10
	गट नं. 458 और 461 के बीच में राजमार्ग 34	00	07	41
	461	00	05	90
	462	00	03	33
	463	00	01	84
	455	00	25	27
	458	00	29	78
	454	00	46	91
	452	00	01	04
	450	00	46	51
	519	00	04	33
11) अविस्ते खु.	81	00	00	10
	88	00	05	38
	80	00	16	38
	86	00	10	20
	78	00	01	82
	84	00	06	23

1	2	3	4	5
11) ओवेस्ते खु. (निरंतर)	77	00	18	42
	76	00	01	21
	74ए	00	28	02
	97	00	14	97
	103	00	17	28
	104	00	04	27
	132	00	27	87
	131	00	13	69
	130	00	20	66
	129	00	62	11
	137	00	78	51
	गाव सीमा और गट नं. 137 के बीच में नदी	00	20	64
12) खरीवली	गाव सीमा और सर्वे नं. 137 के बीच में नदी	00	24	16
	137	00	44	29
	142	00	88	58
	139	00	13	98
	143	00	23	30
	144	00	25	69
	117	00	62	27
	सर्वे नं 117 और 98 के बीच में	00	06	86
	98	00	57	03
	113	00	18	79
	99/1	00	02	50
	99/2	00	14	38
	105	00	07	38
	78	00	95	60
	75/1	00	20	74
	76/3	00	14	27
	57/3	00	17	46
	55/4	00	20	50
	58	00	05	02
	56/3/2	00	14	10
	56/6अ	00	36	55
	266/1	00	23	40
	266/3	00	06	02
	265	00	02	12
	264	00	02	46
	सर्वे नं 267 में रस्ता	00	03	78
	267	00	77	27
	286/3	00	02	60
	286/4	00	05	17
	287/2	00	01	75
	328/3	00	29	70
	328/5	00	30	90
	290/1	00	09	60
	290/2	00	20	75
	327/1	00	06	30
	327/2	00	06	47
	3/2	00	43	15
	3/4	00	00	25
	3/5	00	00	20
	3/6	00	01	89
	3/10	00	13	03
	3/9	00	00	51
	4/1	00	24	84
	5/1	00	00	40
	5/3	00	10	51

1	2	3	4	5
12) खरीवली (निरंतर)	5/4पी	00	22	53
	320/10	00	20	71
	10	00	35	44
	60	00	17	76
13) भोपीवली	59	00	04	74
	66	00	04	09
	67	00	03	95
	64/पी	00	06	83
	71	00	09	30
	70	00	11	51
	72	00	04	15
	73	00	08	16
	51	00	18	55
	85	00	09	98
	42	00	13	25
	41	00	06	47
	40	00	10	48
	39	00	06	84
	26	00	03	53
	24	00	00	10
	27	00	01	30
	28	00	26	93
	20	00	16	88
	19	00	11	76
	15	00	00	10
	17	00	10	15
	18	00	10	55
	16	00	07	18
	13	00	05	02
	12	00	16	83
	211	00	08	89
	210	00	00	10
	212	00	40	11
	209	00	31	89
	22	00	07	13
14) मांडे	24	00	08	63
	25	00	14	32
	26	00	21	02
	31	00	26	37
	सर्वे नं 31 में रस्ता	00	02	01
	सर्वे नं 31 और 43 के बीच में नाला	00	06	72
	43	00	23	99
	42	00	30	91
	88	00	48	48
	89	00	19	59
	87	00	27	99
	80	00	00	10
	78	00	78	99
	61/1	00	00	10
	77	00	09	58
	64	00	04	29
	69	00	36	32
	65	00	57	75
	146पी	00	16	86
15) कळम खांड	150	00	60	31
	149	00	04	74

1	2	3	4	5
15) कळम खांड (निरंतर)	153/ए	00	81	87
	सर्वे नं 153 में राजमार्ग 35	00	07	73
	सर्वे नं 153 में रस्ता	00	08	40
	170	00	07	07
	189	00	04	87
	174	00	10	88
	175	00	38	81
	सर्वे नं 169 और 175 के बीच में रस्ता	00	03	83
	179	00	19	24
	178	00	16	20
	177	00	11	95
	186	00	07	99
	187	00	11	92
	188	00	17	14
	190	00	17	09
	191	00	21	00
	192	00	11	44
	197पी	00	48	31
	238	00	21	38
	193	00	58	37
	194	00	63	26
	195	00	69	86
	231	00	00	10
	196	00	05	64
16) सुर्पोडा	78	00	53	18
	79/बी	00	38	58
	77	00	00	10
	75/ए	00	00	84
	75/बी	00	38	84
	72/ए	00	22	71
	71	00	02	02
	67/बी	00	40	13
	69	00	10	31
	66	00	00	48
	141	00	86	92
	गट नं. 141 में रस्ता	00	05	10
	198	00	07	34
	145/बी	00	43	96
	गट नं. 198 के बीच में नाला	00	03	93
	176	00	08	39
	173	00	05	96
	172	00	15	71
	177	00	13	55
	178	00	05	59
	170	00	39	15
	गट नं. 170 में रस्ता	00	06	89
	169	00	27	85
	167	00	66	00
	166	00	22	39
	223	00	27	95
	222/बी	00	09	34
	221	00	01	05
17) खाम्हणगाव	88	00	12	18
	87	00	57	98
	53	00	03	19
	55	00	55	08
	56	00	52	96

1	2	3	4	5
	57	00	18	47
17) [illegible] (निरंतर)	गट नं. 57 में रस्ता	00	02	77
	58	00	11	42
	40	00	09	36
	39	00	09	80
	35	00	36	48
	26बी	00	00	10
	34	00	04	08
	27/ए	00	20	02
	27/बी	00	04	23
	21/सी	00	16	38
	22सी	00	00	42
	20/सी	00	03	25
	20/बी	00	10	17
	19/सी	00	08	87
	20/ए	00	14	49
	21/ए	00	04	44
	गट नं.20 और गाव सीमा के बीच की नदी	00	14	78

[फा. सं. एल-14014/41/2004-जी.पी.]

एस. बी. मण्डल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd November, 2004

S. O. 3039.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of natural gas from exploration blocks in the Northern/Southern Offshore of Goa and structures in Andhra Pradesh of M/s Reliance Industries Limited, the promoter company of M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited, to various consumers of District Thane in the State of Maharashtra, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipelines are proposed to be laid and which are described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub- section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of this notification, as published in the Gazette of India under sub-section (1)of section (3) of the said Act, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying the pipeline under the land to Shri S.D.Bhise,Competent Authority, Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited, Plot No.S-13, H-13 to 15, 4th floor, Opp./ Bharat Gas Godown, Andharwadi Road, Lal Chowki, Kalyan (W), Pin code – 421301.

Schedule

Mandal/Thesil/Taiuk : Vikramgad **District : Thane** **State : Maharashtra**

Village	Survey No./Gat No.	Area to be acquired for POL		
		Hectare	Are	C-Are
1	2	3	4	5
1) Kurze	River in bet.V.B. & Gat No. 553	00	18	73
	553P	00	45	53
	554	00	01	47
	563/P	00	09	00
	563P/1	00	56	70
	568	00	03	49
	573/_1P	00	13	50
	573/1_P	00	01	64
	573/1P_	00	26	45
	573_/1P	00	04	80
	578	00	34	53
	579	00	39	79
	584P	00	34	91
	586	00	13	20
	588	00	19	93
	589	00	08	23
	590	00	63	70
	Road in Gat No.590	00	03	39
	593	00	27	31
	639	00	11	49
	642	00	31	81
	641	00	03	81
	640	00	07	01
	638	00	10	15
	30	00	64	17
	632	00	03	26
	631	00	01	63
	633	00	32	40
	28/P	00	47	10
	26	00	28	43
	Nala in bet. Gat No.30 & V. B.	00	09	65
2) Mhasaroli	Nala in bet.V.B.& Gat No.131/9	00	06	74
	131/9	00	20	76
	131/12	00	24	78
	17P	00	64	34
	29P	00	54	02
	98P	00	12	90
	118	00	13	09
	20/2	00	00	54
	108/2	00	22	98
	108/3	00	39	00
	18	00	43	65
	16P	00	33	00
	130/1	00	65	29
	107	00	90	00
	15	00	54	37
	130/2	00	01	12
	115	00	00	16

1	2	3	4	5
3) Shil	4A/76	02	20	76
	12	00	00	15
	31	00	34	38
	75	00	68	10
	74	00	83	12
	17	00	00	68
	19	00	51	57
	72	00	03	57
	71	00	00	90
4) Bhopoli	91	00	16	49
	105	00	51	69
	103	00	46	47
	104	00	07	83
	94	00	26	67
	98	00	02	15
	Road in Gat No.97	00	08	59
	96	00	13	01
	80/B	00	46	48
	79	00	55	96
	78	00	00	10
	81 & W/2	02	06	85
	44	00	14	76
	43	00	01	66
5) Talavali	99	00	49	11
	104	00	57	17
	Road in Gat No. 104	00	02	19
	Road in Gat No. 104	00	03	43
	106	00	39	82
	107	00	47	01
	108	00	14	54
	109	00	15	47
	114	00	71	48
	110	00	04	66
	111	00	08	94
	112	00	10	37
	113	00	13	54
	121	00	51	42
	73	00	02	04
	72	00	04	45
	65	00	11	19
	62	00	96	43
	60	00	14	53
	51	00	10	00
	64	00	16	08
	53	00	12	53
	47	00	15	78
	48	00	02	50
	26	00	01	08
	36	00	03	07
	27	00	74	44
	24	00	48	26
	14	00	97	46
	13	00	40	90
	12	00	34	04

1	2	3	4	5
6) Kondgaon	151/4A	00	50	49
	16/1	00	02	15
	131/P	01	41	86
	96P	00	07	02
	95	00	07	18
	130/3	00	33	73
	130/5	00	22	64
	130/6	00	07	45
	107/ P	00	05	87
	106	00	31	42
	105	00	06	19
	110	00	20	59
	112P	00	20	25
	112 P	00	12	00
	113	00	12	09
	157	00	09	11
	128/6	00	13	68
	70/1P	00	07	50
	70/2	00	06	70
	155	00	08	18
	12	00	48	93
	115/P	00	00	14
	63/3	00	00	15
	142	00	03	84
	127P	00	00	10
	61/1	00	25	64
	61/2	00	02	77
	81/3	00	02	89
	Pada	00	00	10
	58	00	04	97
	59	00	00	17
	57/1	00	25	86
	57/2P	00	29	85
	123P	00	78	28
	56	00	24	91
	Road in Gat No.123	00	03	68
	150/4A-3W	00	11	54
	150/4A-4W	00	40	17
	Nala in Gat No. 4W	00	06	27
7) Vilshet	63	00	26	16
	44	00	28	47
	33	00	15	66
	25/4	00	02	05
	34	00	18	90
	35	00	29	17
	2	00	17	65
	3	00	39	83
	1	00	01	10
	4/3	00	11	63
	4/5	00	02	24
	4/6	00	01	02
	4/7	00	06	60

1	2	3	4	5
7) Vilshet (Contd....)	5/1	00	26	81
	5/2	00	10	70
	5/3	00	17	44
	42/2	00	51	02
	42/3	00	01	72
	42/5	00	00	38
	42/6	00	07	61
	6	00	32	20
	50	00	04	31
	Nala in Svy.No.47	00	24	06
	47	00	73	21
	60/1	00	03	20
	12A	00	14	57
	12B	00	08	05
Mandal/Thesil/Taluk :Wada	**District :Thane**	**State : Maharashtra**		
1) Met	19	00	22	34
	22P	00	56	75
	25/C	00	03	25
	25/D	00	15	31
	25/E	00	02	15
	26	00	00	10
	39	00	18	95
2) Ghonsai	147/B	00	06	95
	147/A1	00	25	25
	Road SH-35 in bet. Gat No.147 & 171	00	09	48
	171	00	22	30
	145/A	00	00	23
	177	00	21	18
	176	00	47	75
	175	00	05	61
	141	00	07	58
	140	00	02	01
	138	00	01	59
	142/P	00	04	60
	Nala in bet. Gat No.138 & 83	00	06	21
	83	00	05	04
	80	00	18	97
	85/A	00	08	83
	85/B	00	07	89
	87/A	00	08	77
	90	00	17	17
	91	00	17	57
	93	00	10	09
	53	00	01	84
	52	00	00	77
	96	00	15	16
	50	00	08	20
	49	00	16	82
	51	00	12	80
	11	00	00	25
	48	00	14	15
	12	00	07	35
	13	00	03	02
	19	00	00	37
	20	00	14	11
	21	00	15	65
	43	00	18	61

1	2	3	4	5
2) Ghonsai (Contd....)	40	00	18	06
	38	00	19	18
	509/B	00	02	80
	33	00	08	01
	37	00	13	57
	36	00	06	38
	35	00	13	56
	34	00	04	61
	509/A	00	15	26
	508	00	03	54
3) Musame	526	00	00	84
	535	00	06	18
	536	00	21	10
	539/B	00	08	95
	539/A	00	00	84
	543	00	15	38
	544	00	00	10
	545	00	16	18
	551	00	11	14
	552	00	06	48
	550	00	02	55
	549	00	01	82
	553	00	13	02
	554	00	03	55
	555	00	02	51
	459	00	03	94
	556	00	00	25
	447	00	01	47
	446	00	01	80
	445	00	01	79
	444	00	04	50
	441	00	03	83
	440	00	09	46
	439	00	08	30
	438	00	02	66
	562	00	20	73
	563	00	01	40
	385	00	28	56
	328	00	11	35
	327	00	17	17
	322	00	21	30
	324	00	15	88
	66	00	32	83
	256	00	04	78
	255	00	09	64
	254	00	10	37
	71/B	00	37	54
	250	00	01	53
	221	00	14	09
	224	00	02	07
	223	00	04	13
	227	00	02	41
	222	00	04	26
	220	00	05	98
	216/D	00	10	81
	216/C	00	09	93
	216/B	00	05	68
	219	00	05	57
	216/A	00	05	47

1	2	3	4	5
3) Musame (Contd....)	217/A	00	05	57
	218	00	05	93
	214/A	00	21	37
	213	00	03	69
	201	00	48	96
	212	00	02	63
	203	00	00	10
	202	00	17	38
4) Chinchaghar	178	00	02	37
	186	00	11	55
	181	00	04	07
	203	00	43	50
	216	00	01	67
	217	00	24	13
	220	00	08	44
	221	00	13	15
	222	00	34	37
	212/C	00	21	25
	258/A	00	09	50
	260	00	10	29
	261	00	12	46
	262	00	12	93
	263	00	11	26
	265	00	08	20
	308	00	04	41
5) Vijayagad	13/1	00	04	65
	11/2	00	03	31
	11/1/1	00	14	48
	10/7	00	29	01
	10/4	00	18	88
	10/1	00	00	55
	10/2	00	07	05
	5/10	00	00	21
	5/11	00	12	48
	5/12	00	00	28
	5/9	00	07	65
	5/5	00	01	80
	5/3/1	00	04	21
	5/1	00	02	74
	5/2/1	00	02	21
	Road in bet. Gat No. 5 & 6	00	02	62
	6/14/1	00	08	52
	6/12	00	04	98
	6/7/1	00	14	49
	6/6	00	02	84
	6/4	00	00	79
	Cart Track in bet. Gat No. 6/6 & V.B	00	09	42
	4/3	00	00	10
	4/2	00	00	19
	4/1	00	00	49
6) Dongaste	15/8	00	06	97
	15/7A	00	09	00
	15/7C	00	06	86
	15/5	00	04	43
	15/1A+4	00	09	54
	Road in bet. Svy. No. 15/1 & 14/15	00	02	10
	14/15	00	04	17

1	2	3	4	5
6) Dongaste (Contd....)	14/14	00	06	95
	14/9A	00	02	24
	14/9B	00	03	20
	14/8	00	03	58
	14/2/1	00	10	81
	3/1	00	04	73
	3/13C	00	00	10
	3/7	00	17	20
	3/9	00	02	06
	3/11A	00	08	04
	3/11B	00	01	08
	3/11D	00	07	00
	3/11E	00	02	92
	3/5A	00	02	88
	3/5B	00	05	32
	3/5C	00	05	50
	Nala in bet.Svy. No. 3/5 & 4/6	00	03	00
	4/6	00	25	80
	4/4	00	01	17
	5/8	00	05	19
	5/6	00	02	64
	5/5	00	09	63
	5/4	00	14	00
	37/6	00	07	14
	5/3	00	03	16
	5/2B	00	05	12
	5/2C	00	00	10
	5/1	00	17	17
	36/1A	00	34	56
	34/14	00	18	80
	Road in bet. Svy. No. 34/14 & 35/6	00	02	32
	35/6	00	13	72
	35/9	00	03	80
	35/4	00	13	02
	35/5	00	00	33
	35/3	00	00	37
7) Bilavali	196	00	05	30
	195	00	08	58
	197	00	57	19
	194	00	12	40
	187	00	07	80
	186	00	00	15
	184	00	00	99
	183	00	01	32
	181	00	04	08
	208	00	03	05
	202	00	00	56
	203/B	00	04	15
	206	00	08	30
	205	00	10	30
	204	00	10	28
	237	00	08	17
	239/B	00	11	78
	238	00	04	71
	249A	00	08	38
	250	00	06	25

1	2	3	4	5
7) Bilavali (Contd....)	251	00	05	39
	255	00	16	21
	256	00	00	51
	349	00	34	60
	254	00	02	31
	352	00	16	26
	351	00	01	80
	350	00	00	58
	348	00	00	99
	345	00	00	24
	346	00	01	24
	357	00	03	31
	358	00	33	93
	355	00	21	37
	368	00	21	51
	370	00	14	99
	371	00	09	62
	372	00	19	58
	417	00	29	11
	420	00	04	62
	421	00	03	54
	422	00	13	97
	424	00	06	02
	423	00	10	87
	431	00	14	03
	440	00	11	30
	437	00	00	10
	438	00	00	78
	439	00	02	00
	441	00	15	82
	443	00	07	15
	444	00	01	00
	445	00	00	49
	447A	00	37	19
	448	00	03	86
	449	00	05	54
	461	00	02	99
	469	00	27	45
	466	00	03	80
	468	00	06	93
	465/A	00	23	66
	465/C	00	03	21
	464/A	00	18	49
	463	00	26	90
	481	00	05	67
	480	00	05	59
	479/2	00	23	78
	494	00	47	29
8) Biloshi	764/1P	00	59	22
	776P	00	51	47
	22	00	18	03
	21	00	44	42
	20/1	00	15	43
	19/C	00	43	02
	19/A	00	28	64
	27	00	36	03
	13/2	00	17	50

1	2	3	4	5
8) Biloshi (Contd....)	63	00	23	89
	61	00	16	08
	56	00	01	24
	60	00	06	31
	Road in bet. Gat No. 63 & 64	00	03	40
	64	00	01	12
	97	00	00	13
	65	00	55	98
	96	00	00	10
	80	00	03	15
	81	00	00	40
	82	00	13	00
	136	00	05	36
	138	00	01	32
	193	00	20	56
	4	00	03	64
	151	00	16	10
	140	00	02	66
	155	00	00	10
	156	00	20	72
	157	00	09	87
	160	00	05	30
	159	00	40	50
	191	00	04	23
	161	00	05	80
	189	00	05	21
	190	00	08	39
	182	00	04	57
	251	00	05	03
	253	00	12	55
	256	00	01	84
	254	00	12	28
	257	00	02	00
	258	00	15	71
	261	00	29	20
	259	00	00	10
	306	00	40	20
	305	00	09	59
	307	00	05	93
	308	00	17	49
	304	00	15	81
	361	00	32	35
	368	00	16	62
	365	00	49	04
	367	00	00	48
9) Khanivali	109	00	08	24
	108	00	19	74
	107	00	05	17
	113	00	10	01
	115	00	19	72
	105	00	00	20
	136	00	19	68
	135	00	14	07
	137	00	36	74
	159	00	06	44
	158	00	02	76
	157	00	00	13

1	2	3	4	5
9) Khanivali (Contd....)	200	00	13	59
	199	00	00	84
	198	00	02	67
	197	00	36	26
	196	00	03	35
	212	00	16	23
	211	00	15	50
	210	00	07	65
	209	00	29	57
	222	00	29	60
	218	00	55	46
	217	00	05	03
	213	00	01	74
	219	00	06	00
10) Ambiste-Bk	186	00	00	10
	187	00	17	49
	Road in bet. V.B & Gat No. 187	00	02	53
	185	00	04	66
	188	00	04	29
	189/A	00	17	85
	189/B	00	13	36
	193	00	09	28
	163	00	67	94
	194	00	16	54
	197	00	00	86
	198	00	04	19
	251	00	00	36
	250	00	13	93
	247	00	00	69
	253	00	08	85
	246	00	00	10
	245	00	06	71
	244	00	09	06
	243	00	19	49
	242	00	00	98
	321	00	10	69
	322	00	10	06
	325	00	03	15
	324	00	12	72
	323	00	09	93
	320	00	32	18
	329	00	23	28
	471	00	11	13
	470	00	11	43
	460	00	00	10
	Road SH 34 in bet. Gat No. 458 & 461	00	07	41
	461	00	05	90
	462	00	03	33
	463	00	01	84
	455	00	25	27
	458	00	29	78
	454	00	46	91
	452	00	01	04
	450	00	46	51
	519	00	04	33

1	2	3	4	5
11) Ambiste-Kd	81	00	00	10
	88	00	05	38
	80	00	16	38
	86	00	10	20
	78	00	01	82
	84	00	06	23
	77	00	18	42
	76	00	01	21
	74A	00	28	02
	97	00	14	97
	103	00	17	28
	104	00	04	27
	132	00	27	87
	131	00	13	69
	130	00	20	66
	129	00	62	11
	137	00	78	51
	River in bet. Gat No. 137 & V.B	00	20	64
12) Kharivali	River in bet. V.B. & Svy. No. 137	00	24	16
	137	00	44	29
	142	00	88	58
	139	00	13	98
	143	00	23	30
	144	00	25	69
	117	00	62	27
	In Bet.Svy. No. 117 & 98	00	06	86
	98	00	57	03
	113	00	18	79
	99/1	00	02	50
	99/2	00	14	38
	105	00	07	38
	78	00	95	60
	75/1	00	20	74
	76/3	00	14	27
	57/3	00	17	46
	55/4	00	20	50
	58	00	05	02
	56/3/2	00	14	10
	56/6A	00	36	55
	266/1	00	23	40
	266/3	00	06	02
	265	00	02	12
	264	00	02	46
	Road in Svy. No. 267	00	03	78
	267	00	77	27
	286/3	00	02	60
	286/4	00	05	17
	287/2	00	01	75
	328/3	00	29	70
	328/5	00	30	90
	290/1	00	09	60
	290/2	00	20	75
	327/1	00	06	30
	327/2	00	06	47
	3/2	00	43	15
	3/4	00	00	25
	3/5	00	00	20

1	2	3	4	5
12) Kharivali (Contd....)	3/6	00	01	89
	3/10	00	13	03
	3/9	00	00	51
	4/1	00	24	84
	5/1	00	00	40
	5/3	00	10	51
	5/4P	00	22	53
	320/10	00	20	71
	10	00	35	44
13) Bhopivali	60	00	17	76
	59	00	04	74
	66	00	04	09
	67	00	03	95
	64/P	00	06	83
	71	00	09	30
	70	00	11	51
	72	00	04	15
	73	00	08	16
	51	00	18	55
	85	00	09	98
	42	00	13	25
	41	00	06	47
	40	00	10	48
	39	00	06	84
	26	00	03	53
	24	00	00	10
	27	00	01	30
	28	00	26	93
	20	00	16	88
	19	00	11	78
	15	00	00	10
	17	00.	10	15
	18	00	10	55
	16	00	07	18
	13	00	05	02
	12	00	16	83
	211	00	08	89
	210	00	00	10
	212	00	40	11
	209	00	31	89
14) Mande	22	00	07	13
	24	00	08	63
	25	00	14	32
	26	00	21	02
	31	00	26	37
	Road in Svy No 31	00	02	01
	Nala in bet. Svy. No. 31 & 43	00	06	72
	43	00	23	99
	42	00	30	91
	88	00	48	48
	89	00	19	59
	87	00	27	99
	60	00	00	10
	78	00	78	99
	61/1	00	00	10
	77	00	09	58
	64	00	04	29

1	2	3	4	5
14) Mande (Contd....)	69	00	36	32
	65	00	57	75
15) Kalamkhand	146P	00	16	86
	150	00	60	31
	149	00	04	74
	153/A	00	81	87
	SH-35 in Svy.No. 153	00	07	73
	Road in Svy.No. 153	00	06	40
	170	00	07	07
	169	00	04	87
	174	00	10	88
	175	00	38	81
	Road in Svy. No. 169 & 175	00	03	63
	179	00	19	24
	178	00	16	20
	177	00	11	95
	186	00	07	99
	187	00	11	92
	188	00	17	14
	190	00	17	09
	191	00	21	00
	192	00	11	44
	197P	00	46	31
	238	00	21	38
	193	00	58	37
	194	00	63	26
	195	00	69	86
	231	00	00	10
	196	00	05	64
16) Suponda	78	00	53	18
	79/P	00	38	58
	77	00	00	10
	75/A	00	00	84
	75/B	00	38	84
	72/A	00	22	71
	71	00	02	02
	67/B	00	40	13
	69	00	10	31
	66	00	00	48
	141	00	86	92
	Road in Gat No.141	00	05	10
	198	00	07	34
	145/B	00	43	96
	Nala in Gat No.198	00	03	93
	176	00	08	39
	173	00	05	96
	172	00	15	71
	177	00	13	55
	178	00	05	59
	170	00	39	15
	Road in Gat No.170	00	06	89
	169	00	27	85
	167	00	66	00
	166	00	22	39
	223	00	27	95
	222/B	00	09	34
	221	00	01	05

...ntinu...

1	2	3	4	5
17) Brahmangaon	88	00	12	18
	87	00	57	98
	53	00	03	19
	55	00	55	08
	56	00	52	96
	57	00	18	47
	Road in Gat No.57	00	02	77
	58	00	11	42
	40	00	09	36
	39	00	09	60
	35	00	36	48
	26B	00	00	10
	34	00	04	08
	27/A	00	20	02
	27/B	00	04	23
	21/C	00	16	38
	22C	00	00	42
	20/C	00	03	25
	20/B	00	10	17
	19/C	00	06	87
	20/A	00	14	49
	21/A	00	04	44
	River in bet. Gat No. 20 & V.B	00	14	78

[F. No. L-14014/41/2004-G.P.]
S. B. MANDAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 23 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3040.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि मुन्द्रा (गुजरात) से दिल्ली तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि ऐसी भूमि में, जो इससे उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, जिसमें उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको इस अधिसूचना से युक्त भारत के राजपत्र की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के सम्बन्ध में श्री राम करण शर्मा, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, हिन्दुस्तान पेट्रोलियम कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, मकान संख्या -1418, सेक्टर - 6, बहादुरगढ़ -- 124507, जिला -- झज्जर (हरियाणा) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज

अनुसूची

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
1. जैतहावास	143	34	18	00	01	39
			22	00	06	50
			23	00	09	44
		45	6	00	07	40
			14	00	06	24
			15	00	09	23
			17	00	10	58
			18	00	04	90
			22	00	03	50
			23	00	11	92
		46	1/2	00	07	43
			2	00	09	20
			10	00	08	31
		48	1	00	02	62
			2	00	13	27
			3/1	00	00	10
			10	00	12	85
			11/1	00	00	10
			11/2	00	00	10
		49	6/1	00	01	01
			6/2	00	01	26
			14	00	00	10
			15/1	00	08	51
			15/2	00	04	85
			16	00	03	39
			17	00	03	42
			172	00	00	98
			267	00	00	85

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. भाडावास	145	125	25	00	10	14
		126	12	00	00	10
			19/1	00	04	55
			19/2	00	03	92
			19/3	00	04	90
			20/2	00	05	51
			21	00	08	13
		128	4	00	02	11
			5	00	13	93
			6/1	00	00	10
			7	00	12	89
			8	00	02	06
			12	00	02	02
			13/1	00	05	90
			13/2	00	05	67
			14	00	00	10
			18/2	00	00	15
			19	00	10	11
			20	00	01	97
			21	00	14	06
			22	00	02	83
		129	25	00	01	82
		138	4	00	00	28
			5	00	13	56
			6/2	00	00	82
			7	00	14	31
			8/1	00	00	18
			12	00	00	10
			13	00	13	45

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. भाडावास (जारी...)	145	138	14/1	00	01	88
			18	00	03	35
			19	00	11	97
			21	00	10	31
			22/1	00	05	10
		139	1	00	00	20
		144	1	00	06	48
		145	5/1	00	01	05
			5/2	00	07	52
			6/1	00	08	63
			6/2	00	00	10
			7/1	00	00	36
			7/2	00	06	13
			13/1	00	00	12
			13/2	00	02	58
			14	00	10	71
			18/1	00	02	85
			18/2	00	09	77
			19	00	02	41
			21	00	00	95
			22	00	13	82
			23/1	00	00	10
		147	5	00	00	10
			6	00	12	77
			14	00	10	47
			15	00	04	51
			17	00	08	87
		148	1/1	00	07	29
			1/2	00	05	90

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
2. भाडावास (जारी...)	145	148	2	00	00	67
			10	00	01	95
			246	00	01	50
			613	00	03	60
			619	00	01	27
			624	00	00	63
			625	00	01	10
			637	00	01	19
3. भवाड़ी	150	22	21/2/1	00	12	19
			22	00	04	14
		23	16	00	01	50
			17/1	00	09	47
			18/1	00	05	28
			18/2	00	02	67
			24/3	00	01	51
			25/1	00	03	38
			25/2/1	00	07	90
		25	2/1	00	07	92
			3/1	00	12	79
			4	00	10	78
			6/1	00	12	79
			7/1	00	02	01
		26	8/2	00	00	10
			9/1	00	00	85
			10	00	10	90
			12/1	00	05	75
			13/1	00	01	82
			13/2	00	04	11
			14/1	00	00	10

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
3. भवाड़ी (जारी...)	150	26	14/2	00	02	83
			16/2	00	01	81
			16/3	00	04	81
			17/1	00	10	92
			25/1	00	07	57
		27	21/1	00	00	27
			21/2/1	00	10	25
		28	1/1	00	00	10
			41	00	05	40
			46	00	01	19
			75	00	15	07
			77/1	00	00	79
			77/2	00	00	10
4. कमालपुर	152	25	81	00	00	73
			4	00	05	01
			7	00	09	82
			8	00	06	85
			12	00	07	70
			13	00	08	97
			19	00	08	12
			20	00	08	54
			21/1	00	06	90
			21/2	00	00	37
		26	25	00	08	42
		28	16/1	00	00	68
			16/2	00	01	02
			22/2	00	05	14
			22/3	00	02	70
			23/1/2	00	08	66

तहसील: रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी		राज्य: हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
4. कमालपुर (जारी...)	152	28	23/2/1	00	05	87
			24	00	10	01
			25	00	11	60
		29	4	00	10	97
			5	00	06	02
			7	00	04	64
			8	00	12	35
			9	00	00	10
			11	00	00	15
			12/1	00	00	10
			12/2	00	13	48
			13	00	03	26
			19/1	00	01	75
			19/2	00	00	28
			20	00	14	36
			21/1	00	00	97
		33	3/1	00	00	10
			3/2	00	00	10
			4	00	02	08
			5	00	00	37
			41	00	02	30
			42	00	04	50
			155/1	00	01	15
5. घुरियावास	157	4	22	00	00	43
		6	1/2/2	00	00	68
			2	00	13	68
			3/1	00	03	99
			9	00	01	03
			10/1/1	00	00	73

तहसील: रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुमतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
5. घुरियावास (जारी...)	157	6	10/1/2	00	09	60
			10/2/1	00	00	73
			10/2/2	00	01	55
			11/1/2	00	00	10
		7	6	00	03	43
			14	00	07	25
			15	00	11	41
			17	00	07	66
			18	00	11	10
			19	00	00	10
			21/1	00	00	27
			21/2	00	00	10
			22/1	00	12	74
			22/2	00	01	14
			23/1	00	01	86
			23/2	00	02	01
		10	25	00	00	10
		11	5	00	05	81
			6/1	00	08	78
			7/1	00	00	77
			7/2	00	01	90
			13	00	09	29
			14	00	04	85
			18	00	06	61
			19	00	07	45
			21/1	00	08	46
			21/2	00	04	27
			22	00	04	44
			26	00	03	02

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुमतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
5. धुरियावास (जारी...)	157	11	27	00	05	81
		12	1	00	13	55
			2/1	00	00	10
			2/2	00	00	10
			10	00	00	10
		18	1	00	02	74
		19	4	00	00	33
			5	00	14	00
			6	00	01	52
			7	00	14	55
			8	00	01	00
			12/2	00	01	21
			13	00	14	40
			14	00	00	66
			18/2	00	00	10
			19	00	13	29
			20	00	03	38
			21	00	12	17
			22	00	00	10
		20	25/1	00	03	53
			25/2	00	01	44
		21	5	00	09	14
			33	00	01	71
			34	00	01	49
			35	00	01	45
			150	00	01	40
			159	00	01	21
			160	00	01	50
6. थामलाका	155	12	16	00	08	54

तहसील: रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
6. धामलाका (जारी...)	155	12	23/2	00	00	83
			24/1	00	09	95
			24/2	00	03	69
			25	00	03	25
		13	9	00	02	12
			11	00	04	30
			12	00	04	37
			20	00	08	09
		14	2	00	05	02
			3	00	13	51
			4	00	00	69
			9	00	09	54
			10	00	07	54
			11/1	00	06	90
			11/2	00	03	01
			11/3	00	01	19
			20/2	00	00	96
			21/1	00	00	45
		15	15/2	00	00	10
			16/1	00	05	98
			16/2	00	08	48
			17	00	01	83
			23	00	05	29
			24	00	13	00
			25	00	00	24
		18	4	00	01	53
			44	00	01	33
			45	00	02	75
7. शहबाजपुर खालसा	171	11	20/1	00	00	15

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
7. शहबाजपुर खालसा (जारी...)	171	11	20/2	00	00	10
			21/1	00	08	59
			21/2	00	04	69
		12	25/2	00	00	36
		18	16	00	00	14
			24/2	00	00	23
			24/3	00	00	79
			25	00	14	46
		19	5/2/2	00	07	13
			6/1	00	03	92
			6/2	00	00	16
			7	00	10	59
			8	00	08	70
			12	00	11	03
			13/1	00	05	23
			13/2	00	01	31
			19/1	00	04	65
			19/2	00	00	12
			20/1	00	09	72
			20/2	00	01	78
			21	00	02	09
		20	1/1	00	06	09
			1/2	00	04	62
			1/3	00	01	27
			2/1	00	04	51
			2/2	00	06	52
			3/1	00	00	47
			4/2	00	00	10
			5	00	13	64

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
7. शहबाजपुर खालसा (जारी...)	171	20	6	00	02	94
			7/1	00	12	30
			7/2	00	00	26
			8/1	00	04	76
			8/2	00	07	89
			9/1	00	01	14
			9/2	00	00	88
			26	00	00	42
		21	1	00	01	44
		25	3	00	03	28
			4/1	00	09	81
			4/2	00	04	22
			5/1	00	00	66
			7	00	00	10
			8	00	11	78
			9	00	06	24
			11	00	09	20
			12	00	08	81
			20	00	05	85
		26	16/1	00	04	15
			16/2	00	08	35
			17	00	01	60
			22	00	00	59
			23	00	11	93
			24	00	03	87
			25	00	00	64
			35/2	00	04	69
			61	00	00	76
			65	00	01	19

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
7. शहबाजपुर खालसा (जारी...)	171		67	00	01	42
			83	00	01	14
			84	00	00	10
			89	00	02	15
			271	00	00	10
8. बैरियावास	170	2	3/1	00	00	36
			3/2	00	03	05
			8	00	10	78
			9	00	00	84
			12	00	11	00
			13	00	01	14
			19	00	11	94
			20	00	00	40
			21	00	10	64
			22	00	01	72
		6	1	00	11	95
			10	00	01	68
		7	5	00	00	41
			6	00	10	77
			14	00	00	42
			15	00	11	87
			16	00	01	77
			17	00	10	37
			23	00	00	10
			24	00	12	12
		8	3	00	08	56
			4	00	03	80
			8/1	00	12	36
			8/2	00	00	10

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
8. बैरियावास (जारी...)	170	8	12/1/1	00	01	36
			12/1/2	00	00	83
			12/2	00	02	48
			12/3	00	03	80
			13/1	00	03	62
			13/2	00	00	22
			19	00	12	83
			22/1	00	00	66
			22/2	00	00	66
			48	00	02	20
			50	00	00	98
9. माजरा मुरदास	188	1	21/1	00	00	83
		3	1	00	00	66
		4	5	00	03	97
			6	00	04	23
			15/1	00	00	20
			15/2	00	02	04
			17	00	00	10
		5	8	00	00	65
			12	00	05	71
			13	00	00	15
			19/1	00	11	08
			21	00	11	04
			22/1	00	01	03
		14	1/1	00	04	35
			1/2	00	05	90
			10	00	01	40
		15	6	00	11	63
			15	00	12	48

तहसील: रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी		राज्य: हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
9. मालरा मुरदास (जारी)	188	15	16	00	00	90
			17	00	11	58
			24	00	12	48
		16	4	00	08	85
			34	00	01	80
10. कोबसीवास	174	11	25	00	01	67
		12	21	00	02	75
		14	5	00	06	99
			6	00	04	92
			14	00	08	21
			17/1	00	00	91
			17/2	00	10	62
			23	00	00	49
			24	00	10	59
		25	3	00	09	25
			8	00	06	39
			9	00	00	79
			12/1	00	02	74
			12/2	00	00	42
			20	00	00	10
11. कालाका	177	35	7	00	08	32
			8	00	00	83
			13	00	10	15
			14	00	01	51
			18	00	11	67
			22/2	00	02	76
			23/1	00	07	51
			23/2	00	01	39
		42	2/1	00	06	93
			2/2	00	03	15

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
11. कालाका (जारी...)	177	42	3/2	00	00	10
			9	00	11	68
			10	00	00	10
			11/1	00	00	30
			11/2	00	06	59
			12/1	00	04	64
			12/2	00	00	13
			20/2	00	11	34
			20/1	00	00	90
			21/1	00	00	30
			21/2	00	02	47
			54	00	03	43
			61/1	00	01	50
12. मांडियाँ कलां	178	8	13	00	12	87
			18	00	11	63
			22	00	02	32
			23	00	09	31
		9	2	00	11	22
			3	00	00	66
			9	00	11	88
			10	00	00	10
			11/1	00	02	13
			11/2	00	04	58
			12/1	00	04	07
			12/2	00	00	10
			20	00	11	88
			21	00	09	10
		10	25	00	02	78
		16	5	00	11	72
			6/1	00	04	95

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
12. गॉंढियॉं कलां (जारी...)	178	16	6/2	00	06	01
			7	00	00	19
			14	00	09	23
			15	00	01	22
			17	00	11	88
			18	00	00	10
			23	00	02	44
			24/1	00	04	09
			24/2	00	02	15
		17	1	00	00	15
		20	3	00	11	57
			4	00	00	10
			8	00	11	33
			9	00	00	49
			12/1	00	09	92
			13	00	01	90
			19/1	00	00	16
			19/2	00	02	78
			19/3	00	07	89
			21	00	04	56
			22	00	07	27
		23	6	00	01	70
			15	00	11	19
			16	00	11	81
			17	00	00	10
			24	00	06	75
			25	00	05	05
		24	1	00	12	18
			2	00	00	10

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
12. मांडियाँ कलां (जारी...)	178	24	10	00	09	70
			11	00	00	17
		30	4	00	11	52
			7	00	03	80
			43	00	01	50
			45	00	02	10
			156	00	00	99
			157	00	02	81
13. खलीलपुरी	179	11	21/2	00	01	01
		12	1	00	11	41
			10/1	00	10	46
			10/2	00	01	40
			11	00	03	56
		13	6/1	00	00	10
			15/3	00	08	42
			16	00	11	90
			24/1	00	00	62
			25/1	00	02	97
			25/2	00	05	43
		18	4/1	00	09	71
			4/2	00	00	64
			5/1	00	00	10
			7/1/1	00	00	10
			7/1/2	00	00	10
			7/2/1	00	00	60
			7/2/2	00	10	67
			8/1/2	00	00	10
			14/1	00	00	67
			51/1	00	01	28

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
13. खलीलपुरी (जारी...)	179		62	00	00	61
			63	00	02	32
			65/1	00	01	24
14. मांजरा श्यौराज	180	1	14	00	03	74
			15/1	00	00	31
			15/2	00	00	14
			17	00	11	87
			23	00	01	33
			24	00	10	54
		10	3	00	11	04
			4/1	00	00	83
			8	00	11	87
			9/1	00	00	10
			12	00	07	18
			13/1	00	04	69
			19	00	11	87
			22	00	10	05
		11	2	00	00	53
15. फिदेडी	204	3	22	00	15	06
		6	1/2	00	05	12
			2	00	05	77
			9	00	01	18
			10/1	00	09	71
			11	00	10	89
			20	00	10	89
			21	00	10	29
		11	16/1	00	00	10
			16/2	00	00	39
			25	00	03	62

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुमतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
15. फिदेडी (जारी...)	204	12	1/1	00	04	21
			1/2	00	05	73
			10	00	10	86
			11	00	10	86
			20	00	10	46
			21	00	07	23
		13	1	00	02	73
		14	5	00	08	09
			6	00	09	65
			15	00	10	93
			16/1	00	12	07
			16/2	00	00	10
			24	00	03	88
			25	00	08	26
		21	4	00	13	39
			5	00	00	22
			7/1	00	06	75
			7/2	00	01	50
			8/1	00	01	37
			13	00	11	56
			14/1	00	00	65
			18	00	11	51
			19/1	00	00	80
			22/1	00	05	51
			22/2	00	04	67
			22/3	00	02	23
			23/1	00	01	30
		24	1/1	00	00	10
			2/1	00	03	63

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
15. फिदेड़ी (जारी...)	204	24	2/2	00	08	27
			9	00	03	61
			10	00	08	33
			11/1	00	05	62
			11/2	00	06	30
			20/1	00	06	03
			20/2	00	01	38
			21	00	00	10
		25	16	00	01	22
			25/1	00	00	98
			25/2	00	10	38
		31	4	00	00	89
			5	00	11	10
			6	00	01	17
			7	00	09	13
			13/2	00	00	10
			14	00	11	88
			17/2	00	00	18
			17/3	00	03	95
			18	00	07	56
			23	00	03	44
			24	00	05	98
		36	4	00	07	41
			7/1	00	08	96
			7/2	00	02	82
			14	00	07	20
			15	00	00	32
			47	00	05	73
			48	00	00	22

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुमतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
15. फिदेडी (जारी...)	204	36	52	00	01	18
			54	00	00	60
			161	00	00	90
			162	00	01	16
			166	00	03	84
			167	00	01	69
16. बुडावी	208	7	24	00	04	81
		13	3	00	05	43
			4	00	05	47
			7	00	00	78
			8	00	10	13
			13	00	10	91
			18	00	10	91
			23/1	00	09	65
			23/2	00	01	25
		15	3/1	00	03	20
			3/2	00	01	21
			3/3	00	00	10
			3/4	00	04	44
			8	00	09	25
			9/2	00	00	10
			12	00	02	29
			13	00	08	15
			18	00	03	46
			19	00	07	45
			22	00	10	83
			23	00	00	10
		20	2	00	10	80
			9	00	10	80
			12	00	10	80

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
16. बुडावी (जारी...)	208	20	19	00	00	53
			20	00	03	86
			28	00	02	30
			37	00	01	57
			43	00	01	86
17. रामगढ़	209	20	25/2	00	09	85
		24	5/1	00	04	89
			5/2	00	04	80
			5/3	00	01	10
			6/1	00	00	10
			6/2	00	10	79
			14/2	00	00	22
			15	00	10	59
			16	00	08	79
			17/1	00	01	04
			17/2	00	00	97
			24	00	04	13
			25/1	00	00	96
			25/2	00	05	72
		37	4/1	00	02	01
			4/2	00	04	24
			5/1	00	04	47
			5/2	00	00	10
			6	00	02	46
			7/1	00	06	81
			7/2	00	01	53
			14/1	00	04	73
			14/2	00	02	90
			15	00	00	46

तहसील: रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी			राज्य: हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
17. राममढ़ (जारी...)	209	37	17/1	00	10	82
			24	00	10	82
		40	4	00	10	94
			7/1	00	10	94
			14	00	10	94
			17	00	10	84
			18	00	00	10
			23	00	08	61
		44	3	00	00	43
			186	00	01	45
18. डावड़ी	10	29	192	00	02	71
			5/1	00	09	20
			6/2	00	11	89
			15/1	00	08	08
			16/2	00	09	30
19. गोकलपुर	211	12	52	00	01	49
			19/1/3	00	00	10
			19/2	00	06	20
			20/1/1	00	00	67
			20/2/1	00	02	33
			21/1/1	00	00	52
			21/1/2	00	06	72
			21/2	00	03	33
			22/1	00	00	40
		25	6/2/1	00	00	10
			15/2	00	06	22
			16/1	00	03	46
			16/2	00	07	69
			25/1	00	11	08

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
19. गोकलपुर (जारी...)	211	26	1/1	00	01	96
			1/2/1	00	08	95
			10/1/3	00	02	14
			10/2	00	09	07
			11/1/1	00	04	80
			20/3	00	00	10
		32	5/1	00	11	90
			6/1	00	08	31
			15/1	00	10	81
			16/1/2	00	06	59
			16/2/2	00	04	21
			25/1	00	09	91
		39	5/1	00	10	80
			6/2	00	10	80
			15/1	00	10	80
			16/2	00	10	80
			25/1	00	10	80
		43	5/1	00	03	22
			56	00	01	50
			63	00	01	33
			324	00	00	38
			334	00	00	90
20. जांटी	212	18	5	00	03	08
			6	00	00	90
			7/1	00	00	10
			18/2	00	00	72
			23/1	00	08	35
		19	2	00	08	74
			3/1	00	02	55

तहसील: रेवाड़ी	जिला:रेवाड़ी		राज्य: हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
20. जांटी (जारी...)	212	19	9/2	00	11	30
			12/1	00	11	30
			19/3	00	01	22
			34	00	00	92
21. जांट सायरवास	213	18	23	00	00	24
			24	00	09	45
		29	3	00	05	50
			4	00	05	44
			7	00	00	19
			8	00	10	83
			13	00	11	02
			18	00	11	02
			23	00	11	02
		38	2/2	00	00	35
			3/1	00	04	00
			3/2	00	01	24
			8	00	00	84
			9	00	11	32
			12/1	00	04	91
			12/2	00	06	10
			19/1	00	00	26
			19/2	00	11	03
			21	00	02	53
			22	00	08	85
		48	15/3	00	00	18
			16/1	00	07	24
			25/3	00	11	26
		49	1/3	00	11	10
			2	00	00	10

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी		राज्यः हरियाणा			
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
21. जांट सायरवास (जारी...)	213	49	10/1	00	06	64
			10/2	00	03	40
			11	00	11	07
			20	00	04	01
		50	4/3	00	01	18
			5/2	00	08	33
			7/1	00	02	25
			7/2	00	04	41
			7/3	00	07	11
			8	00	04	15
			13/1	00	11	29
			18	00	11	11
			21/1	00	00	44
			22	00	00	77
			67	00	03	60
			79	00	01	21
			123/2	00	01	39
22. डवाना	156	2	21	00	00	61
			22	00	10	27
			23	00	00	43
		8	1	00	12	65
			2	00	01	65
		9	4	00	00	10
			5	00	10	63
			6	00	02	87
			7	00	13	41
			8	00	07	67
			12	00	12	75
			13/1	00	05	83

तहसीलः रेवाड़ी	जिलाःरेवाड़ी			राज्यः हरियाणा		
गाँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुसतिल संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्गमीटर
22. डवाना (जारी...)	156	9	19	00	00	10
			20	00	01	94

[फा. सं. आर-31015/50/2004-ओ.आर. II]

हरीश कुमार, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd November, 2004

S. O. 3040. — Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum products from Mundra (Gujarat) to Delhi, a pipeline should be laid by Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited;

And whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which copies of the Gazette of India containing this notification are made available to the public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri Ram Karan Sharma, Competent Authority, Mundra - Delhi Petroleum Product Pipeline, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, H. No 1418, Sector – 6, Bahadurgarh - 124507, District – Jhajjar (Haryana).

SCHEDULE

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
1. JAITRAWAS	143	34	18	00	01	39
			22	00	06	50
			23	00	09	44
		45	6	00	07	40
			14	00	06	24
			15	00	09	23
			17	00	10	58
			18	00	04	90
			22	00	03	50
			23	00	11	92
		46	1/2	00	07	43
			2	00	09	20
			10	00	08	31
		48	1	00	02	62
			2	00	13	27
			3/1	00	00	10
			10	00	12	85
			11/1	00	00	10
			11/2	00	00	10
		49	6/1	00	01	01
			6/2	00	01	26
			14	00	00	10
			15/1	00	08	51
			15/2	00	04	85
			18	00	03	39
			17	00	03	42
			172	00	00	98
			267	00	00	85

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. BHARAWAS	145	125	25	00	10	14
		126	12	00	00	10
			19/1	00	04	55
			19/2	00	03	92
			19/3	00	04	90
			20/2	00	05	51
			21	00	08	13
		128	4	00	02	11
			5	00	13	93
			6/1	00	00	10
			7	00	12	89
			8	00	02	06
			12	00	02	02
			13/1	00	05	90
			13/2	00	05	67
			14	00	00	10
			18/2	00	00	15
			19	00	10	11
			20	00	01	97
			21	00	14	06
			22	00	02	83
		129	25	00	01	82
		138	4	00	00	28
			5	00	13	56
			6/2	00	00	82
			7	00	14	31
			8/1	00	00	18
			12	00	00	10
			13	00	13	45

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI	State : HARYANA		
			Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. BHARAWAS (Contd...)	145	138	14/1	00	01	88
			18	00	03	35
			19	00	11	97
			21	00	10	31
			22/1	00	05	10
		139	1	00	00	20
		144	1	00	06	48
		145	5/1	00	01	05
			5/2	00	07	52
			6/1	00	08	63
			6/2	00	00	10
			7/1	00	00	36
			7/2	00	06	13
			13/1	00	00	12
			13/2	00	02	58
			14	00	10	71
			18/1	00	02	85
			18/2	00	09	77
			19	00	02	41
			21	00	00	95
			22	00	13	82
			23/1	00	00	10
		147	5	00	00	10
			6	00	12	77
			14	00	10	47
			15	00	04	51
			17	00	08	87
		148	1/1	00	07	29
			1/2	00	05	90

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
2. BHARAWAS (Contd...)	145	148	2	00	00	67
			10	00	01	95
			246	00	01	50
			613	00	03	60
			619	00	01	27
			624	00	00	63
			625	00	01	10
			637	00	01	19
3. BHAWARI	150	22	21/2/1	00	12	19
			22	00	04	14
		23	16	00	01	50
			17/1	00	09	47
			18/1	00	05	28
			18/2	00	02	67
			24/3	00	01	51
			25/1	00	03	38
			25/2/1	00	07	90
		25	2/1	00	07	92
			3/1	00	12	79
			4	00	10	78
			6/1	00	12	79
			7/1	00	02	01
		26	8/2	00	00	10
			9/1	00	00	85
			10	00	10	90
			12/1	00	05	75
			13/1	00	01	82
			13/2	00	04	11
			14/1	00	00	10

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
3. BHAWARI (Contd...)	150	26	14/2	00	02	83
			16/2	00	01	81
			16/3	00	04	81
			17/1	00	10	92
			25/1	00	07	57
		27	21/1	00	00	27
			21/2/1	00	10	25
		28	1/1	00	00	10
			41	00	05	40
			46	00	01	19
			75	00	15	07
			77/1	00	00	79
			77/2	00	00	10
			81	00	00	73
4. KAMALPUR	152	25	4	00	05	01
			7	00	09	82
			8	00	06	85
			12	00	07	70
			13	00	08	97
			19	00	08	12
			20	00	08	54
			21/1	00	06	90
			21/2	00	00	37
		26	25	00	08	42
		28	16/1	00	00	68
			16/2	00	01	02
			22/2	00	05	14
			22/3	00	02	70
			23/1/2	00	08	66

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
4. KAMALPUR (Contd...)	152	28	23/2/1	00	05	87
			24	00	10	01
			25	00	11	60
		29	4	00	10	97
			5	00	06	02
			7	00	04	64
			8	00	12	35
			9	00	00	10
			11	00	00	15
			12/1	00	00	10
			12/2	00	13	48
			13	00	03	26
			19/1	00	01	75
			19/2	00	00	28
			20	00	14	36
			21/1	00	00	97
		33	3/1	00	00	10
			3/2	00	00	10
			4	00	02	08
			5	00	00	37
			41	00	02	30
			42	00	04	50
			155/1	00	01	15
5. CHHURIAWAS	157	4	22	00	00	43
		6	1/2/2	00	00	68
			2	00	13	68
			3/1	00	03	99
			9	00	01	03
			10/1/1	00	00	73

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI	State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
5. CHHURIAWAS (Contd...)	157	6	10/1/2	00	09	60
			10/2/1	00	00	73
			10/2/2	00	01	55
			11/1/2	00	00	10
		7	6	00	03	43
			14	00	07	25
			15	00	11	41
			17	00	07	66
			18	00	11	10
			19	00	00	10
			21/1	00	00	27
			21/2	00	00	10
			22/1	00	12	74
			22/2	00	01	14
			23/1	00	01	86
			23/2	00	02	01
		10	25	00	00	10
		11	5	00	05	81
			6/1	00	08	78
			7/1	00	00	77
			7/2	00	01	90
			13	00	09	29
			14	00	04	85
			18	00	06	61
			19	00	07	45
			21/1	00	08	46
			21/2	00	04	27
			22	00	04	44
			26	00	03	02

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
5. CHHURIAWAS (Contd...)	157	11	27	00	05	81
		12	1	00	13	55
			2/1	00	00	10
			2/2	00	00	10
			10	00	00	10
		18	1	00	02	74
		19	4	00	00	33
			5	00	14	00
			6	00	01	52
			7	00	14	55
			8	00	01	00
			12/2	00	01	21
			13	00	14	40
			14	00	00	66
			18/2	00	00	10
			19	00	13	29
			20	00	03	38
			21	00	12	17
			22	00	00	10
		20	25/1	00	03	53
			25/2	00	01	44
		21	5	00	09	14
			33	00	01	71
			34	00	01	49
			35	00	01	45
			150	00	01	40
			159	00	01	21
			160	00	01	50
6. DHAMLAKA	155	12	16	00	08	54

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
6. DHAMLAKA (Contd...)	155	12	23/2	00	00	83
			24/1	00	09	95
			24/2	00	03	69
			25	00	03	25
		13	9	00	02	12
			11	00	04	30
			12	00	04	37
			20	00	08	09
		14	2	00	05	02
			3	00	13	51
			4	00	00	69
			9	00	09	54
			10	00	07	54
			11/1	00	06	90
			11/2	00	03	01
			11/3	00	01	19
			20/2	00	00	96
			21/1	00	00	45
		15	15/2	00	00	10
			16/1	00	05	98
			16/2	00	08	48
			17	00	01	83
			23	00	05	29
			24	00	13	00
			25	00	00	24
		18	4	00	01	53
			44	00	01	33
			45	00	02	75
7. SHAHBAJPUR KHALSA	171	11	20/1	00	00	15

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
7. SHAHBAJPUR KHALSA (Contd...)	171	11	20/2	00	00	10
			21/1	00	08	59
			21/2	00	04	69
		12	25/2	00	00	36
		18	16	00	00	14
			24/2	00	00	23
			24/3	00	00	79
			25	00	14	46
		19	5/2/2	00	07	13
			6/1	00	03	92
			6/2	00	00	16
			7	00	10	59
			8	00	08	70
			12	00	11	03
			13/1	00	05	23
			13/2	00	01	31
			19/1	00	04	65
			19/2	00	00	12
			20/1	00	09	72
			20/2	00	01	78
			21	00	02	09
		20	1/1	00	06	09
			1/2	00	04	62
			1/3	00	01	27
			2/1	00	04	51
			2/2	00	06	52
			3/1	00	00	47
			4/2	00	00	10
			5	00	13	64

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
7. SHAHBAJPUR KHALSA (Contd...)	171	20	6	00	02	94
			7/1	00	12	30
			7/2	00	00	26
			8/1	00	04	76
			8/2	00	07	89
			9/1	00	01	14
			9/2	00	00	88
			26	00	00	42
		21	1	00	01	44
		25	3	00	03	28
			4/1	00	09	81
			4/2	00	04	22
			5/1	00	00	66
			7	00	00	10
			8	00	11	78
			9	00	06	24
			11	00	09	20
			12	00	08	81
			20	00	05	85
		26	16/1	00	04	15
			16/2	00	08	35
			17	00	01	60
			22	00	00	59
			23	00	11	93
			24	00	03	87
			25	00	00	64
			35/2	00	04	69
			61	00	00	76
			65	00	01	19

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
7. SHAHBAJPUR KHALSA (Contd...)	171		67	00	01	42
			83	00	01	14
			84	00	00	10
			89	00	02	15
8. BHARIAWAS	170	2	271	00	00	10
			3/1	00	00	36
			3/2	00	03	05
			8	00	10	78
			9	00	00	84
			12	00	11	00
			13	00	01	14
			19	00	11	94
			20	00	00	40
			21	00	10	64
			22	00	01	72
		6	1	00	11	95
			10	00	01	68
		7	5	00	00	41
			6	00	10	77
			14	00	00	42
			15	00	11	87
			16	00	01	77
			17	00	10	37
			23	00	00	10
			24	00	12	12
		8	3	00	08	56
			4	00	03	80
			8/1	00	12	36
			8/2	00	00	10

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
8. BHARIAWAS (Contd...)	170	8	12/1/1	00	01	36
			12/1/2	00	00	83
			12/2	00	02	48
			12/3	00	03	80
			13/1	00	03	62
			13/2	00	00	22
			19	00	12	83
			22/1	00	00	66
			22/2	00	00	66
			48	00	02	20
			50	00	00	98
9. MAJRA GURDASS	188	1	21/1	00	00	83
		3	1	00	00	66
		4	5	00	03	97
			6	00	04	23
			15/1	00	00	20
			15/2	00	02	04
			17	00	00	10
		5	8	00	00	65
			12	00	05	71
			13	00	00	15
			19/1	00	11	08
			21	00	11	04
			22/1	00	01	03
		14	1/1	00	04	35
			1/2	00	05	90
			10	00	01	40
		15	6	00	11	63
			15	00	12	48

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
9. MAJRA GURDASS (Contd...)	188	15	16	00	00	90
			17	00	11	58
			24	00	12	48
		16	4	00	08	85
			34	00	01	80
10. KONSIWAS	174	11	25	00	01	67
		12	21	00	02	75
		14	5	00	06	99
			6	00	04	92
			14	00	08	21
			17/1	00	00	91
			17/2	00	10	62
			23	00	00	49
			24	00	10	59
		25	3	00	09	25
			8	00	06	39
			9	00	00	79
			12/1	00	02	74
			12/2	00	00	42
			20	00	00	10
11. KALAKA	177	35	7	00	08	32
			8	00	00	83
			13	00	10	15
			14	00	01	51
			18	00	11	67
			22/2	00	02	76
			23/1	00	07	51
			23/2	00	01	39
		42	2/1	00	06	93
			2/2	00	03	15

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI	State : HARYANA			
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
11. KALAKA (Contd...)	177	42	3/2	00	00	10
			9	00	11	68
			10	00	00	10
			11/1	00	00	30
			11/2	00	06	59
			12/1	00	04	64
			12/2	00	00	13
			20/2	00	11	34
			20/1	00	00	90
			21/1	00	00	30
			21/2	00	02	47
			54	00	03	43
			61/1	00	01	50
12. MANDIA KALAN	178	8	13	00	12	87
			18	00	11	63
			22	00	02	32
			23	00	09	31
		9	2	00	11	22
			3	00	00	66
			9	00	11	88
			10	00	00	10
			11/1	00	02	13
			11/2	00	04	58
			12/1	00	04	07
			12/2	00	00	10
			20	00	11	88
			21	00	09	10
		10	25	00	02	78
		16	5	00	11	72
			6/1	00	04	95

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
12. MANDIA KALAN (Contd...)	178	16	6/2	00	06	01
			7	00	00	19
			14	00	09	23
			15	00	01	22
			17	00	11	88
			18	00	00	10
			23	00	02	44
			24/1	00	04	09
			24/2	00	02	15
		17	1	00	00	15
		20	3	00	11	57
			4	00	00	10
			8	00	11	33
			9	00	00	49
			12/1	00	09	92
			13	00	01	90
			19/1	00	00	16
			19/2	00	02	78
			19/3	00	07	89
			21	00	04	56
			22	00	07	27
		23	6	00	01	70
			15	00	11	19
			16	00	11	81
			17	00	00	10
			24	00	06	75
			25	00	05	05
		24	1	00	12	18
			2	00	00	10

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI	State : HARYANA		
			Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
12. MANDIA KALAN (Contd...)	178	24	10	00	09	70
			11	00	00	17
		30	4	00	11	52
			7	00	03	80
			43	00	01	50
			45	00	02	10
			156	00	00	99
			157	00	02	81
13. KHALILPURI	179	11	21/2	00	01	01
		12	1	00	11	41
			10/1	00	10	46
			10/2	00	01	40
			11	00	03	56
		13	6/1	00	00	10
			15/3	00	08	42
			16	00	11	90
			24/1	00	00	62
			25/1	00	02	37
			25/2	00	05	43
		18	4/1	00	09	71
			4/2	00	00	64
			5/1	00	00	10
			7/1/1	00	00	10
			7/1/2	00	00	10
			7/2/1	00	00	60
			7/2/2	00	10	67
			8/1/2	00	00	10
			14/1	00	00	67
			51/1	00	01	28

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
13. KHALILPURI (Contd...)	179		62	00	00	61
			63	00	02	32
			65/1	00	01	24
14. MAJRA SHEORAJ	180	1	14	00	03	74
			15/1	00	00	31
			15/2	00	00	14
			17	00	11	87
			23	00	01	33
			24	00	10	54
		10	3	00	11	04
			4/1	00	00	83
			8	00	11	87
			9/1	00	00	10
			12	00	07	18
			13/1	00	04	69
			19	00	11	87
			22	00	10	05
		11	2	00	00	53
15. FHIDERI	204	3	22	00	15	06
		6	1/2	00	05	12
			2	00	05	77
			9	00	01	18
			10/1	00	09	71
			11	00	10	89
			20	00	10	89
			21	00	10	29
		11	16/1	00	00	10
			16/2	00	00	39
			25	00	03	62

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
15. FHIDERI (Contd...)	204	12	1/1	00	04	21
			1/2	00	05	73
			10	00	10	86
			11	00	10	86
			20	00	10	46
			21	00	07	23
		13	1	00	02	73
		14	5	00	08	09
			6	00	09	65
			15	00	10	93
			16/1	00	12	07
			16/2	00	00	10
			24	00	03	88
			25	00	08	26
		21	4	00	13	39
			5	00	00	22
			7/1	00	06	75
			7/2	00	01	50
			8/1	00	01	37
			13	00	11	56
			14/1	00	00	65
			18	00	11	51
			19/1	00	00	80
			22/1	00	05	51
			22/2	00	04	67
			22/3	00	02	23
			23/1	00	01	30
		24	1/1	00	00	10
			2/1	00	03	63

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
15. FHIDERI (Contd...)	204	24	2/2	00	08	27
			9	00	03	61
			10	00	08	33
			11/1	00	05	62
			11/2	00	06	30
			20/1	00	06	03
			20/2	00	01	38
			21	00	00	10
		25	16	00	01	22
			25/1	00	00	98
			25/2	00	10	38
		31	4	00	00	89
			5	00	11	10
			6	00	01	17
			7	00	09	13
			13/2	00	00	10
			14	00	11	88
			17/2	00	00	18
			17/3	00	03	95
			18	00	07	56
			23	00	03	44
			24	00	05	98
		36	4	00	07	41
			7/1	00	08	96
			7/2	00	02	82
			14	00	07	20
			15	00	00	32
			47	00	05	73
			48	00	00	22

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
15. FHIDERI (Contd...)	204	36	52	00	01	18
			54	00	00	60
			161	00	00	90
			162	00	01	16
			166	00	03	84
			167	00	01	69
16. BUDHANI	208	7	24	00	04	81
		13	3	00	05	43
			4	00	05	47
			7	00	00	78
			8	00	10	13
			13	00	10	91
			18	00	10	91
			23/1	00	09	65
			23/2	00	01	25
		15	3/1	00	03	20
			3/2	00	01	21
			3/3	00	00	10
			3/4	00	04	44
			8	00	09	25
			9/2	00	00	10
			12	00	02	29
			13	00	08	15
			18	00	03	46
			19	00	07	45
			22	00	10	83
			23	00	00	10
		20	2	00	10	80
			9	00	10	80
			12	00	10	80

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
16. BUDHANI (Contd...)	208	20	19	00	00	53
			20	00	03	86
			28	00	02	30
			37	00	01	57
			43	00	01	86
17. RAMGARH	209	20	25/2	00	09	85
		24	5/1	00	04	89
			5/2	00	04	80
			5/3	00	01	10
			6/1	00	00	10
			6/2	00	10	79
			14/2	00	00	22
			15	00	10	59
			16	00	08	79
			17/1	00	01	04
			17/2	00	00	97
			24	00	04	13
			25/1	00	00	96
			25/2	00	05	72
		37	4/1	00	02	01
			4/2	00	04	24
			5/1	00	04	47
			5/2	00	00	10
			6	00	02	46
			7/1	00	06	81
			7/2	00	01	53
			14/1	00	04	73
			14/2	00	02	90
			15	00	00	46

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
17. RAMGARH (Contd...)	209	37	17/1	00	10	82
			24	00	10	82
		40	4	00	10	94
			7/1	00	10	94
			14	00	10	94
			17	00	10	84
			18	00	00	10
			23	00	08	61
		44	3	00	00	43
			186	00	01	45
			192	00	02	71
18. DABRI	10	29	5/1	00	09	20
			6/2	00	11	89
			15/1	00	08	08
			16/2	00	09	30
			52	00	01	49
19. GOKALPUR	211	12	19/1/3	00	00	10
			19/2	00	06	20
			20/1/1	00	00	67
			20/2/1	00	02	33
			21/1/1	00	00	52
			21/1/2	00	06	72
			21/2	00	03	33
			22/1	00	00	40
		25	6/2/1	00	00	10
			15/2	00	06	22
			16/1	00	03	46
			16/2	00	07	69
			25/1	00	11	08

Tehsil :REWARI		District : REWARI		State : HARYANA		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
19. GOKALPUR (Contd...)	211	26	1/1	00	01	96
			1/2/1	00	08	95
			10/1/3	00	02	14
			10/2	00	09	07
			11/1/1	00	04	80
			20/3	00	00	10
		32	5/1	00	11	90
			6/1	00	08	31
			15/1	00	10	81
			16/1/2	00	06	59
			16/2/2	00	04	21
			25/1	00	09	91
		39	5/1	00	10	80
			6/2	00	10	80
			15/1	00	10	80
			16/2	00	10	80
			25/1	00	10	80
		43	5/1	00	03	22
			56	00	01	50
			63	00	01	33
			324	00	00	38
			334	00	00	90
20. JANTI	212	18	5	00	03	08
			6	00	00	90
			7/1	00	00	10
			18/2	00	00	72
			23/1	00	08	35
		19	2	00	08	74
			3/1	00	02	55

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
				Area		
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Hectare	Are	Square Metre
20. JANTI (Contd...)	212	19	9/2	00	11	30
			12/1	00	11	30
			19/3	00	01	22
			34	00	00	92
			23	00	00	24
21. JANTSAIRWAS	213	18	24	00	09	45
			3	00	05	50
		29	4	00	05	44
			7	00	00	19
			8	00	10	83
			13	00	11	02
			18	00	11	02
			23	00	11	02
			2/2	00	00	35
		38	3/1	00	04	00
			3/2	00	01	24
			8	00	00	84
			9	00	11	32
			12/1	00	04	91
			12/2	00	06	10
			19/1	00	00	26
			19/2	00	11	03
			21	00	02	53
			22	00	08	85
		48	15/3	00	00	18
			16/1	00	07	24
			25/3	00	11	26
		49	1/3	00	11	10
			2	00	00	10

Tehsil :REWARI	District : REWARI		State : HARYANA			
Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustil No.	Khasara / Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare	Are	Square Metre
21. JANTSAIRWAS (Contd...)	213	49	10/1	00	06	64
			10/2	00	03	40
			11	00	11	07
			20	00	04	01
		50	4/3	00	01	18
			5/2	00	08	33
			7/1	00	02	25
			7/2	00	04	41
			7/3	00	07	11
			8	00	04	15
			13/1	00	11	29
			18	00	11	11
			21/1	00	00	44
			22	00	00	77
			67	00	03	60
			79	00	01	21
22. DAWANA	156	2	123/2	00	01	39
			21	00	00	61
			22	00	10	27
			23	00	00	43
		8	1	00	12	65
			2	00	01	65
		9	4	00	00	10
			5	00	10	63
			6	00	02	87
			7	00	13	41
			8	00	07	67
			12	00	12	75
			13/1	00	05	83
	156	9	19	00	00	10
			20	00	01	94

[No. R-31015/50/2004-O.R.-II]
HARISH KUMAR, Under Secy.

श्रम मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3041 .—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 104/91) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/27/91-आई.आर.(सी-I)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3041.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 104/91) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-04.

[No. L-20012/27/91-IR(C-I)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/s. 10 (1) (d) (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Reference No. 104 of 1991

Employers in relation to the management of Salanpur Colliery, Katras Area of M/s. B. C. C. Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT : Shri B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

APPEARANCES : On behalf of the Management : Shri D. K. Verma, Advocate.

On behalf of the Workmen : None

State : Jharkhand. Industry : Coal

Dated, the 7th October, 2004.

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/27/91-I.R. (Coal-I) dated the 25th July, 1991 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

> "Whether there exists employer-employee relationship between the following 13 persons and the management of Salanpur Colliery in Area IV of M/s. BCCL and whether the management is justified in not taking them in regular employment with the appropriate wage rates as per NCWA-IV? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled to?"
>
> (1) Md. Hussain Ansari, (2) Md. Shamin Akhtar Ansari, (3) Md. Naseem Akhtar Ansari, (4) Md. Farhat Hussain Ansari, (5) Rama Kant Yadav, (6) Ramesh Yadav, (7) Tej Bahadur Yadav, (8) Parsu Ram Pandey, (9) Raj Nath Tiwari, (10) Bhullu Yadav, (11) Ashok Kumar, (12) Kamla Prasad, (13) Dilip Kumar.

2. The case of the concerned workmen according to the written statement submitted by the sponsoring union on their behalf, in brief, is as follows :

The sponsoring union submitted that the concerned workmen were engaged by the management of Salanpur Colliery for performing duties of Auto Electricians which are permanent and perennial nature of job since 1982. They submitted that against the work done by them the management used to pay remunerations simply on job rate basis. On 14-2-1990 the concerned workmen submitted a joint petition to the management with a prayer for regularising them in their respective job as per category rate of wages in time scale, but the management did not consider necessary to take any importance to the same. They submitted that the job which the concerned workmen used to perform were most essential for mechanised mining undertaking since all vehicles and machineries should remain in perfect working/running conditions for its development As the management did not consider their prayer for regularisation in their services they sent another letter through sponsoring union on 16-3-1990 addressed to the General Manager, Katras Area No. IV again with a prayer for regularising them. They alleged that as the management did not consider their prayer they raised industrial dispute before the A.L.C. (C), Dhanbad through the sponsoring union by letter dated 24-7-90 for conciliation which ultimately resulted to reference in this Tribunal for adjudication. The concerned workmen accordingly submitted prayer for passing award directing the management to regularise them as time-rated employee as per NCWA-IV w.e.f. 1-1-87 and other consequential relief.

3. The management, on the contrary, after filing written statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring union asserted in the written statement submitted on behalf of the concerned

workmen They submitted that no employer-employee relationship ever existed between the management and the concerned workmen. They categorically denied the fact that they were ever employed by the management. Accordingly the question of payment of wages to them never arose. They were neither controlled by the management nor they worked within the precinct and premises of the management. On the contrary, they submitted that the concerned persons claiming themselves to be employees of the management were actually the employees of road side private motor garage of Guhibandh Katrasgarh and they took up some repairing jobs to some vehicles of the management at the road side garage/ workshop whenever so required. Against such work bills used to be submitted by them and payment to them was also be made by the management accordingly. They considered that the concerned workmen claiming to be considered as employees at par with workmen of motor garage of the management situated at different areas where the vehicles are repaired at the workshop of the management is absolutely baseless. They submitted that the management have established auto-garages at different places for taking up repair and over-haul of its vehicles and for the said purpose they appointed workmen having experience on automobile works. Those persons who have been employed by the management are paid by them and they work under their direct control and supervision. The concerned persons cannot claim to be treated at par with employees of the auto workshop of the management.

They submitted that the management in case of need used to issue repairing order slips to the road side motor garage workshop for doing repairing jobs occasionally and in case of urgency. Those workshop/motor garage where repairing work is done belong to private owner motor garage They only by virtue of repairing the vehicles of the management in case of exigencies cannot claim themselves to be the employees of the management Accordingly, their claim is baseless and without any merit and for which they are not entitled to get any relief in view of their prayer. Management, accoridngly, submitted prayer to pass award rejecting the claim of the concerned workmen.

POINTS TO BE DECIDED

4 "Whether there exists employer–employee relationship between the following 13 persons and the management of Salanpur Colliery in Area IV of M/s. BCCL and whether the management is justified in not taking them in regular employment with the appropriate wage rates as per NCWA-IV? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled to ?"

(1) Md. Hussain Ansari, (2) Md. Shamin Akhtar Ansari, (3) Md. Naseem Akhtar Ansari, (4) Md. Farhat Hussain Ansari, (5) Rama Kant Yadav, (6) Ramesh Yadav, (7) Tej Bahadur Yadav, (8) Parsu Ram Pandey, (9) Raj Nath Tiwari, (10) Bhullu Yadav, (11) Ashok Kumar, (12) Kamla Prasad, (13) Dilip Kumar.

FINDING WITH REASONS

5. It transpires from the record that the management with a view to establish their claim examined one witness as MW-1. The concerned workmen also with a view to substantiate their claim examined two workmen, as WW-1 and WW-2.

Considering the facts disclosed in the pleadings of both sides and considering the evidence of MW-1 and WW-1 and WW-2 I find no dispute to hold that the managment used to repair their vehicles with a view to remove mechanical and electrical defects through road-side garage in case of exigencies. MW-1 disclosed that they used to send their vehicles as well as dumper for repair to the road-side garages, namely, India Auto Electric and Diesel Automatic Service. Against performance of the work they used to submit bills showing cost of repair of vehicles and on verification the management used to pay the bills in support of its claim. MW-1 submitted a bunch of bills and vouchers which during his evidence were marked as Ext. M-1 series. This witness categorically denied that the concerned workmen ever were engaged by the management as their own employees. This witness categorically disclosed that road-side garage used to deploy their workmen for the purpose of repair their vehicles and accordingly they were employees of those road-side garages and not of the management.

WW-1 and WW-2 during their evidence disclosed that they were the employees of Salanpur Colliery, Area No. IV of BCCL. They further submitted that they used to take up repair works of the vehicles of the management in discharging of their duties inside the colliery being their employees. WW-1 categorically denied during his evidence that against such work they used to submit bills prepared by the contractor for payment. On the contrary, he disclosed that they used to take up work under the instruction and supervisionship of MW-1, R K. Goel. These witnesses disclosed that as they continously worked under the management submitted joint representation for their regularisation but the management did not consider their prayer. WW-1 further during his evidence disclosed that the management used to pay their wages after obtaining signature in the renumeration sheets. He alleged that as they were treated as piece-rated workers under the management taking opportunity of the same management used to pay less wages for the work done by them in comparison to the wages which they used to pay in case of permanent workers. Even the management denied to give any benefit like. LTC, LLTC. Medical leave, Provident facilities etc. In course of hearing neither the sponsoring union nor the concerned workmen

have been able to submit any letter of appointment issued by the management for their engagement as Motor Mechanic. These witnesses also have failed to produce any I. D. Card to show that they were employees of the management. Even they have failed to produce any wage-sheet to show that the management used to pay wages to them as piece-rated workers. There is no dispute to hold that the mangement used to arrange for repairing of their vehicles with a view to remove mechanical defects from outside in case of exigencies. It transpires that in course of hearing the management produced a bunch of work-orders and bills (Ext. M-1 series) to show the payment of bills to Diesel Auto Service and Indian Auto Electric, against the repair work of the vehicles of the management done by them. I have carefully considered these vouchers and bills and it exposed that for a considerable period they paid the bills to those garage owners for taking up repair workers of their vehicles. It is the claim of the concerned workmen that the management engaged them as motor mechanic in the year 1982 and since that year they are discharging their duties as motor mechanics for taking up repair works of the vehicles of the management. It is seen that the management have their own work-shop at Katras Area. MW-1 during his evidence explained how in case of exigency they used to arrange for repair work of their vehicles through private automobile works. Considering the evidence of MW-1 it transpires clearly that from two places i.e. their own Auto-workshop situated at Katras Area and through private garage owner they used to arrange for repair of their vehicles Therefore, the question which has been cropped up automatically is that under which capacity the concerned workmen had been deployed for repairing of the vehicles of the management of Salanpur Colliery particularly when it transpires that at Salanpur Colliery there was no Auto Workshop for repairing the vehicles of the management. Excepting the claim placed by the concerned workmen, the concerned workmen failed to produce a single scrap of paper to show that they being piece-rated workers used to receive their wages from the management and were engaged by the management in 1982 being their employees. On the contrary, WW-2 during his cross-examination admitted that the management used to pay the charges of repair works of their vehicles through vouchers prepared on the basis of bills He further disclosed that there was rate for each item of work and the management used to pay them wages according to rate. According to the claim of the sponsoring union if it is considered that the concerned workmen were piece-rated workers the question of payment of bills against repairing works done by them and also the question of payment per item of work as per rate through voucher would not arise. The concerned workmen also in course of evidence have failed to establish that continuously since 1982 to 1990 they worked under the management and put their attendance for more than 240 days in each calendar year. Considering the evidence of both sides and also considering the documents submitted by the management (Ext. M-1 series) it transpires clearly that the management used to get their vehicles repaired by private auto workshop in case of exigencies. For repairing motor vehicles the private garage owner used to deploy their own men and after completing the repair work they used to submit bills which were paid by the management. I have failed to find out an iota of evidence on the basis of which it can be said that the management engaged the concerned workmen as mechanics for repairing of their vehicles being piece-rated worker and they paid wages to them in that capacity. I, therefore, find no hesitation to say that inspite of getting ample opportunity the sponsoring union as well as the concerned workmen have failed to substantiate their claim with reasonable certainty. Accordingly, they are not entitled to get any relief in view of their prayer.

5. In the result, the following award is rendered—

In view of the discussions made above the management of Salanpur Colliery in Area No. IV of M/s. BCCL is justified in not taking the concerned workmen, mentioned in the order of reference, in regular employment with the appropriate wage rates as per NCWA-IV Hence, the concerned workmen are not entitled to get any relief.

BIMLENDU BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3042.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 3/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-04 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/250/99-आई.आर.(सी-I)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3042.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Govemment hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 3/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court Dhanbad-II, now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-04.

[No. L-20012/250/99-IR(C-I)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2 DHANBAD

PRESENT : Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Disputes under Section 10 (1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No. 3 OF 2000

PARTIES: **Employers in relation to the management of Putki Balihari Colliery of M/s. BCCL and their workman.**

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the workman : Mr. P.R. Shukla, Autho. Representative.

On behalf of the employers : Mr. S. M. Sinha, Advocate

State Jharkhand Industry : Coal

Dated, Dhanbad, the 5th October, 2004.

AWARD

The Govt of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I D Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/250/99 (C-I), dated, the 20th January, 2000.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of BCCL, Pootki Balihari Project in dismissing Shri Ram Teras Ram, Timber Mazdoor from service w.e.f. 3-12-96 on the ground of unauthorised absence is just, fair and legal? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2 The case of the concerned workman according to the Written Statement submitted by the sponsoring Union on his behalf in brief is as follows :—

The concerned workman was a permanent employee of Pootki Colliery under Pootki Balihari Area of M/s. BCCL as Timber Mazdoor Subsequently he was transferred to Pootki Balihari Project They submitted that the concerned workman was suffering from Jaundice with effect from 27-6-96 and he was shifted to Lodna Hospital under the management in precarious condition for his treatment. They submitted that he was under prolonged treatment at Lodna Hospital from 28-6-96 to 20-9-96 and declared fit on 21-9-96 On the strength of Medical certificate issued by the Medical Officer the concerned workman came to his place of work for resuming his duties. But the Project Officer instead of allowing him to resume his duty kept silent till 23-10-96 and issued a chargesheet bearing No. PBP/PD/CS/96-3755-60 dated 23-24-10-96 on the ground of committing misconduct for absentism as per clause 26 1.1 of the Certified Standing Order. Thereafter the management started departmental enquiry against him and on the basis of the report submitted by the Enquiry Officer he was dismissed from his service by the Disciplinary Authority vide Order No. PBP/PO/C/96/4562-20 dt. 3-12-96. They alleged that not only the management issued illegal chargesheet against the concerned workman but knowing fully well of the fact that the concerned workman was admitted at Lodna Hospital during the period in question for his treatment as he was suffering from Jaundice but also dismissed him illegally, arbitrarily and violating all principles of natural justice. Accordingly they submitted representation to the management for his reinstatement. But as the management did not consider the said prayer they raised an Industrial dispute before the ALC(C), Dhanbad for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal by the Ministry for adjudication. Accordingly the sponsoring Union submitted prayer to pass award directing the management to reinstate the concerned workman in service from the date of his dismissal with full back wages and other consequential reliefs.

3. Management on the contrary after filing W.S.-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegation which the sponsoring Union asserted in the W.S. submitted on behalf of the concerned workman. They submitted that the concerned workman joined at Putki Balihari Project on 21-6-96 on transfer as Timber Mazdoor and thereafter he started remaining absent from duty without giving any intimation or taking any prior permission from the management and in this way he remained himself absent from 24-6-96 to 21-9-96, and thereafter came to join to his duty with medical certificate. Accordingly a chargesheet bearing No. PBP/EB/C/8/96-3755-60 dt. 23/24-10-96 was issued to the concerned workman for committing misconduct on the ground of unauthorised absence under clause 26.1.1 of the Certified Standing Order. The concerned workman submitted his reply to the chargesheet but as the reply given by him was not satisfactory the Disciplinary authority decided to hold domestic enquiry against him. They submitted that the concerned workman fully participated in the hearing of the said domestic enquiry proceeding and they further submitted that full opportunity was given to the concerned workman to defend his case. After completion of the said enquiry Proceeding the Enquiry Officer submitted his report holding the concerned workman guilty to the charges. The Disciplinary Authority thereafter considering the report of the Enquiry Officer and also considering all other aspects dismissed the concerned workman from service vide letter No. PBP/PO/C/96/4562-20 dt. 3-12-96. They submitted that they did not commit any illegality and took any arbitrary decision in dismissing the concerned workman from his service and for which the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief in view of his prayer.

4. **POINTS TO BE DECIDED**

"Whether the action of the management of BCLL, Pootki Balihari Project in dismissing Shri Teras Ram,

Timber Mazdoor from service w.e.f. 3-12-96 on ground of unauthorised absence is just, fair, and legal? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

5. FINDING WITH REASONS

It transpires from the record that before taking up hearing of the instant case on merit it was taken into consideration whether the domsetic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice. The said issue on preliminary point was disposed of vide order No. 11 (later) dt. 1-4-2004 in favour of the management. Now the point for consideration is whether the management have been able to etsablish the charge brought against the concerned workman if so whether the concerned workman is entitled to get any relief under Section 11A of the I.D. Act. The chargesheet during domestic enquiry proceeding was marked as Ext. M-1/1. From the chargesheet it transpires clearly that it was issued to the concerned workman under clause 26-1-1 of the Certified Standing Order for committing misconduct on the ground of unauthorised absence of the concerned workman with effect from 24-6-96 to 21-9-96. It is clear from the record that the concerned workman submitted his reply to the chargesheet disclosing the fact that suddenly he fell seriously ill and as there was no family member by his side he was admitted to Lodna Hospital under the management by his colleague for which he did not get scope to inform this fact to the management that he was lying ill in the hospital for his treatment with effect from the date in question. He also submitted medical certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Lodna Hospital. It is seen that inspite of considering the said medical certificate produced by the concerned workman the management did not consider necessary to accept the same taking the plea that the same was not sufficient and for which they decided to hold domestic enquiry against him. It is clear from the enquiry proceeding papers that the concerned workman not only appeared before the Enquiry Officer in the course of hearing of the domestic enquiry proceeding he also took the same plea of his ailment and relied on the Medical Certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Lodna Hospital. After completing domestic enquiry the enquiry Officer submitted his report which was marked as Ext. M-1/11. From the enquiry report it transpired clearly that the Enquiry Officer also did not consider the Medical Certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Lodna Hospital. Considering the medical certificate and also considering submission of the concerned workman it transpires clearly that the concerned workman was admitted at Lodna Hospital for his treatment and remained there as Lodna patient from 24-6-96 to 21-9-96. I have carefully considered all the proceeding papers but I have failed to find out an iota of evidence relying on which there is scope to draw conclusion that Medical Certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Lodna Hospital in favour of the concerned workman was false and manufactured. The Enquiry Officer did not consider necessary to examined the Medical Officer to establish the falsity of the Medical Certificate in question. The concerned workman specifically disclosed that as there was no family member by his side he was admitted in the said hospital and he did not find any scope to intimate the ground of his ailment to the management in due course of time. It is seen that the concerned workman for a considerable period remained in the hospital bed of the management for his treatment. It is not the case that the concerned workman submitted Medical certificate showing his receiving treatment from out side doctor. He was actually treated by the Hospital of management. Therefore, the Hospital authority also could not avoid responsibility to intimate the management about the ailment of the concerned workman in due course of time but they also did not consider necessary to do so. There is also no evidence on the part of the management to the effect that the Medical Certificate which the concerned workman relied on is a manufactured one I have failed to understand why the Enquiry Officer did not have any importance to the medical certificate issued by the Medical Officer of the hospital run by the management. There is no evidence to the effect on the part of management that the concerned workman wilfully remained himself absent from duty. Therefore, if all these aspects are taken into consideration I must say that the Enquiry Officer was not fair in coming to the conclusion that the concemed workman actually committed misconduct under clause 26-1-1 of the Certificated Standing Order. A person when with all documents established the ground of his absence it was expected that due importance would be given to the same. The Enquiry Officer neither gave any importance of the Medical certificate issued by the Medical Officer of Lodha Hospital under the management nor assigned any cogent reason why he disbelieved the medical certificate submitted by the concerned workman. It is clear that the concerned workman was not in a position to give any intimation to the management about his ailment as there was no family member by his side when he was admitted in the said hospital. The concemed workman was suffering from Jaundice, his condition was precarious and for which it was not possible on his part to give any intimation to the management about his ailment or ground of his absence. This aspect the Enquiry Officer did not consider. On the contrary the Enquiry Officer whimsically and arbitrarily submitted his report holding the concerned workman guilty to the charges.

It is further astonishing to note that the Disciplinary authority without going merit of the case just relied on the report of the Enquiry Officer dismissed him from his service. I find no hetitation to say that the Disciplinary Authority did not apply mind before passing such drastic order. Management issued chargesheet to the concerned workman for his unauthorised absence from 24-9-96 to 21-9-96 when the concerned workman was lying in the bed of the hospital run by the management. He actually had no capacity to intimate the fact of his ailment to the

management on that ground. Therefore, such absence which was beyond his control cannot be said to be wilful absence and for which the charge which was framed against the concerned workman is not tenable in the eye of law. I, therefore, hold that the management have not been able to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman with reasonable certainty. There is sufficient reason to hold that the management not only ignored the reply given by the concerned workman and also the Medical Certificate showing cogent reasons of his absence but also the Enquiry Officer followed the same path and without giving due importance to the Medical certificate issued by the Medical Officer of Lodna Hospital and found him guilty to the charges brought against him. Accordingly in view of the facts and circumstances discussed above I hold that the management illegally, arbitrarily and violating the principles of natural justice dismissed the concerned workman from his service. Accordingly the said order of dismissal is liable to be set aside and the concerned workman is to be reinstated in service from the date of his dismissal retaining his seniority As the concerned workman was dismissed from his service violating the principle of natural justice inspite of existence of sufficient cause the management is liable to pay 40% back wages with consequential relief to him from the date of his order of dismissal In the result, the following Award is rendered :—

"The action of the management of BCCL Pootki Balihari Project in dismissing Shri Ram Teras Ram, Timber Mazdoor from service w.e.f. 3-12-96 on the ground of unauthorised absence is not just, fair and legal Consequently, the concerned workman is entitled to be reinstated in his original job from the date of his dismissal retaining his seniority with 40% back wages and other consequential reliefs from the date of his dismissal to the date of his reinstatement."

The management is directed to implement the Award without three months from the date of its publication in the Gazette of India in the light of the observation made above.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3043.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 118/98) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-04 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/225/97-आई.आर.(सी-I)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3043.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 118/98) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-04

[No. L-20012/225/97-IR(C-I)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2 DHANBAD

PRESENT : Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10 (1) (d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

REFERENCE No.118 of 1998

PARTIES: Employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL's Ropeway and their workman.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman : Mr. K. Chakravorty, Advocate

On behalf of the employers : None

State : Jharkhand Industry : Coal

Dhanbad, the 4th October, 2004

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(10(d) of the I.D. Act., 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/225/97 (C-I), dated , the 30th April, 1998.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management in denial to regularise Shri Kisto Mahato, Water Mazdoor as Cat. I Mazdoor is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?"

2. The course of hearing learned Advocate for the concerned workman submitted before this Tribunal that he has no instruction from the concerned workman to proceed with the hearing of this case. None also appeared on behalf of the management. It reveals from the record that the instant case is pending since 1998 for dismissal. Considering the age of case there is no reason to adjourn the same suo moto. Under such circumstances, a 'No dispute' Award is rendered and the instane reference is disposed of on the basis of 'No dispute' Award presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3044.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा०को०को०लि० के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 141/96) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 20012/337/95-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3044.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. 141/96) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004.

[No. L-20012/337/95-IR (C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT : Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 141 OF 1996

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of Putki Balihari Colliery of M/s. BCCL and their workmen.

APPEARANCES

On behalf of the workmen	:	Mr. Sekhar Sharma, Advocate.
On behalf of the employers	:	Mr. H. Nath, Advocate.
State : Jharkhand	:	Industry : Coal

Dated, Dhanbad, the 5th October, 2004

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/337/95-IR(C-I), dated, the 7th November, 1996.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the claim of the Union that S/Shri Basudeo Saw and seven others (given below) were the employees of the management of M/s. BCCL in Putki Balihari Area and that their services were illegally terminated by the management is legal and justified? If so, to what relief are these persons entitled ?"

1. Md. Gayasuddin Ansari, Asstt. Canteen Manager
2. Jagdeo Sao, Cook
3. Shri Sahdeo Sao, Mazdoor
4. Shri Ganesh Singh, Mazdoor.
5. Shri Mathura Sao, Cook
6. Shri Ramjee Yadav, Canteen Boy
7. Shri Nand Lal, Canteen Boy.

2. The case of the concerned workmen according to the Written Statement submitted by the sponsoring Union on their behalf is as follows :—

The sponsoring Union submitted that the concerned workmen started working in the Canteen of Pootki Balihari Project since 1983.

They submitted that Dy. C.M.E., Putki Balihari Project issued a letter dt. 5-7-83 asking one of the concerned workman Basudeo Sao to start a Canteen in the premises of Putki Balihari Project as a Contractor but in law and fact said workman started working there actually as the workers of the management. They disclosed that to run the said Canteen management not only provided rooms but also supplied utensils, furnitures other articles and free coal, electricity etc. and also fixed the rate of different items to be prepared in the said Canteen. Licence to run the said canteen also was obtained in the name of Dy. C.M.E from Jharia Mines Board of Health under the Food Adulteration Act and accordingly the management was the actual owner of the said Canteen. Inspite of all these facts management instead of recognising them as their employees, treated them as workers of the contractor with a view to avoid the relationship of employers and employees.

They alleged that the management without showing any reason and also without issuing any notice stopped function of the said Canteen w.e.f. November, 1987 illegally and arbitrarily. They submitted that under the Mines Rules Nos. 64, 66, 67, 69, 70 and 71 the management is required to maintain a Canteen for the workers of the Coal Mines. Since the maintenance of Canteen is a statutory requirement on the part of the management the workers engaged therein shall be deemed to be the workers of the management and for which the concerned workmen should be regularised as regular workers of the colliery and entitled to draw wages as per N.C.W A. IV with all other

consequential benefits. They disclosed that apart from Coal Mines Rules the management is liable to maintain a Canteen under clause 8 9.1 of N.C.W.A. III, IV and V. Inspite of the existing Rules the management illegally and arbitrarily treated the concerned workmen as the men of the contractor not only but also stopped the Canteen in the month of November, 1987. After stopping the canteen representation was given to the management to open the Canteen and also to regularise the concerned workmen in the service of the Coal Mines but as their claim was not adhered to they raised an industrial dispute for conciliation before the ALC (C), Dhanbad which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. The sponsoring Union accordingly submitted prayer to pass Award directing the management to reinstate the concerned workmen in service along with other consequential benefits.

3. Management on the contrary after filing Written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegation which the sponsoring Union asserted in their Written Statement submitted on behalf of the concerned workmen. They submitted that Basudeo Sao one of the concerned workman who was a local resident, Vill : Aralgaria, P.S. Putki approached the local office allowing him to open a tea stall at the vicinity of the office so that he could earn his livlihood by supplying tea and snacks to staff and officers as per their requirement. The local office permitted him to open the tea stall at his own cost by investing his own capital and engaging his helper with a view to supply tea and snacks to the employees at reasonable price They disclosed that the said arrangement was absolutely on temporary basis as there was no requirement for maintenance of a canteen as per the provision of Mines Rules, 1955 They disclosed that as per rule 64 of Mines Rules, 1955, canteen is required to be maintained on the surface of a mine where more than 250 persons are ordinarily employed. As there was no requirement of canteen as per Rules no question of opening a canteen in the building of the management specially carmarked for the same arose. In that situation there was no question of awarding any contract to Sri Basudeo Sao to run any canteen He was merely permitted to open a tea stall adjoining to the premises of the Project Area office and he was not at all a contractor of the company for running as Canteen.

They alleged that the sponsoring Union with some ulterior motive attempted to make out a false and fabricated case that Sri Basudeo Sao was a Contractor and work order was issued in his favour for running a canteen. Accordingly question of their stopping from work in the canteen w e f November, 1987 did not arise at all.

Accordingly management submitted prayer to pass Award rejecting the claim of the concerned workmen.

4. **Points to be Decided**

"Whether the claim of the Union that S/Shri Basudeo Sao and seven others (given below) were the employees of the management of M/s. BCCL in Putki Balihari Area and that their services were illegally terminated by the management is legal and justified? If so, to what relief are these persons entitled ?"

1. Md. Gayasuddin Ansari, Asstt. Canteen Manager
2. Jagdeo Sao, Cook
3. Sh Sahdeo Sao, Mazdoor
4. Sh. Ganesh Singh, Mazdoor.
5. Sh. Mathura Sao, Cook
6. Sh. Ramjee Yadav, Canteen Boy.
7. Sh. Nand Lal, Canteen boy.

5. **Finding with Reasons**

It transpires from the record that sufficient opportunity was given to both sides but they failed to avail the same and for which they did not consider necessary to adduce evidence with a view to substantiate their claim and counter claim.

It is the specific contention of the sponsoring Union that Dy. C.M.E, Putki Balihari Project by letter dt. 5-7-83 though allowed Sri Basudeo Sao to start a canteen in the premises of P.B Project as a contractor but in fact he was not the Contractor but an employee of the management along with other concerned workmen. In support of their claim they disclosed that management not only provided room for running the canteen but also supplied utensils, furnitures, other articles, free coal, electricity, etc. and also fixed rate of different food articles to be prepared in the said canteen. The letter dt. 5/8-7-83 which the sponsoring Union relied on shows that a contract for a period of one year was given to Basudeo Sao for operating a canteen in the premises of Putki Balihari project on the terms and conditions as follows :—

"1. This contract will be valid for one year from the date and it may be terminated within the year if your performation will not be found satisfactory You will have to renew your contract on completion of one year.

2. You will be provided accommodation of two rooms for operating canteen in Putki Balihari Project

3. You will be provided free coal, electricity, water, utensils, furnitures for which nominal rental of Rs. 25 p.m. will be charged.

4. You will have to operate the canteen immediately.

5. The canteen shall have to be kept opened from 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. every day.

6. Payment of wages to the persons engaged by you will be the liability of yours and management will not be responsible in any way.

7. Menu decided by the committee to be served by you shall be as follows :—

Item	Quantity	Rate
1. Tea	80 ml per cup	0.60
2. Coffee	80 ml -do-	1.20
3. Fanta, Coca Cola etc. Cold drinks each bottle	Each	2.00
4. Modern Brand Bread	(Brand quality)	2.30
5. Biscuite Cream Britania	Each Pkt.	2.50
6. Biscuite (Britania Top)	-do-	5.40
7. Singhara	Each of 50 gram	0.30
8. Pakouri	Each of 50 gram	0.50P.
9. Alu Chop	-do-	0.30P.
10. Mixture/Sheo	50 gram	0.60P.
11. Laddu	50 gram	0.60P.
12. Jalebi	50 gram	0.60.P

You shall have to deposit a sum of Rs. 500/- only towards security fee to our Finance Manager which shall be returned to you at the expiry of the validity of contract.

Please sign as a taken of acceptance.

Sd/-

Illegible (Dy. C.M.E.)

Pootki Balihari "Project"

As per the said contract it was the liability of the contractor Sri Basudeo Saw to engage his workers and also to pay wages to them. As part of contract he was also directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 500/- towards security fee. The record shows that accepting the said contract Basudeo Saw deposited the security money to the management. Accordingly, considering all these aspects there is no scope to deny that Basudeo Saw was not allowed to operate a canteen on contractual basis. It is also clear that he accepting the terms and conditions for running the canteen deposited security money to the management. There is also no dispute to hold that the said contract was valid for one year. As no letter of renewal of contract is forthcoming there is no scope to arrive into any decision if that contractual period was extended or not.

The claim of the sponsoring Union is that the said contract was a sham contract and the concerned workmen were actually the employees of the management and knowing fully well of this fact they stopped them from work illegally, arbitrarily and violating the principle of natural justice.

It is seen that the contract was entered into between the management and Basudeo Saw and not with any other persons. The claim of the sponsoring union is that the rest six workmen also worked in the said canteen being employees of the management. When such claim has been made by the sponsoring Union they cannot avoid responsibility to show that excepting Basudeo Saw those six workmen actually worked in the said Canteen and they were employed by the management. They also cannot avoid their responsibility to establish that in disguise the management used to operate the said canteen through Basudeo Saw.

The sponsoring Union inspite of getting ample scope did not consider necessary to produce a single scrap of paper to show that other six concerned workmen worked in the said canteen and received wages from the management. It is fact that contract was given to Basudeo Saw for running the canteen subject to condition that he will supply tea and snacks at the rate fixed by the management. It is seen that excepting claiming nominal rental charges for the rooms to be used for canteen management as per contract agreed to provide free coal, electricity water, utensils and furnitures. Therefore, when management as per contract agreed to give subsidy I consider that by fixing the rate of tea and snacks they did not commit any illegality. What actually they did was for the interest of the workers so that they can purchase the same at fair price.

The sponsoring Union inspite of getting ample scope neither considered necessary to examine Basudeo Saw nor produced any cogent paper to show that the concerned workmen were the employees of the management and the management used to operate the canteen entering into a camouflage contract.

It is to be borne into mind that fact disclosed in the Written Statement cannot be considered as substantial piece of evidence until and unless the same is substantiated by cogent evidence. Considering all aspects carefully I find no hesitation to say that the sponsoring Union have failed to substantiate their claim lamentably. Just relying on the facts disclosed in the written statement there is no scope to arrive into any conclusion that the concerned workmen were actually the employees of the management and the management taking the pretext of contract used to run the canteen with their own employees.

In view of the facts and circumstances discussed above I hold that the concerned workmen are not entitled to get any relief.

In the result, the following award is rendered :—

"The claim of the Union that S/Shri Basudeo Saw and seven others (given below) were the employees of the management of M/s. BCCL in Pootki Balihari Area and that their services were illegally terminated by the management is not legal and justified. Consequently, the concerned workmen are not entitled to get any relief."

1. Md. Gayasuddin Ansari, Asstt. Canteen Manager.
2. Jagdeo Sao, Cook
3. Sh. Sahdeo Sao, Mazdoor.
4. Sh Ganesh Singh, Mazdoor.
5. Sh Mathura Sao, Cook
6. Sh Ranjee Yadav Canteen Boy."
7. Sh Nand Lal, Canteen Boy.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3045.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 250/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-20012/76/99-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3045.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. 250/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004.

[No. L-20012/76/99-IR (C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2)

AT DHANBAD

PRESENT :

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 250 OF 1999

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workman.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the workman	:	Mr. R. R. Prasad, Advocate.
On behalf of the employers	:	Mr. R. N. Ganguly, Advocate.
State : Jharkhand	:	Industry : Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 6th October, 2004

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/76/99-IR(C-I), dated the 4th June, 1999.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Bhowra (N) U.G. Mines of M/s. BCCL in dismissing Shri Rajbali Bhuiya from the services of the company w.e.f. 9-3-94 is justified ? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled ?"

2. The case of the concerned workman according to the Written Statement submitted by the sponsoring Union on his behalf in brief is as follows :—

The sponsoring Union submitted that the concerned workman was a permanent workman at Bhowra Colliery. They alleged that the management with the allegation of committing misconduct on the ground of absentism issued chargesheet to the concerned workman to which he submitted his reply denying the charges levelled against him. They submitted that the concerned workman duly intimated the reasons of his absence to the management but ignoring this fact they illegally issued the chargesheet not only but also conducted domestic enquiry without accepting reply submitted by him. They further alleged that in course of domestic enquiry the Enquiry Officer did not give the concerned workman full opportunity to defend his case and closing the enquiry submitted a perverse report to the Disciplinary Authority and the Disciplinary Authority relying the said report dismissed him from service. They submitted that the management dismissed the concerned workman illegally, arbitrarily and violating the principle of natural justice. Accordingly, the concerned workman raised an industrial dispute for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. The sponsoring Union on behalf of the concerned workman accordingly submitted prayer to pass award directing the management to reinstate him in service from the date of dismissal with full back wages and other consequential relief.

3. Management on the contrary after filing Written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegation which the sponsoring union asserted in the written statement submitted on behalf of the concerned workman.

Admitting the fact that the concerned workman was a permanent workman at Bhowra (N) U.G. Mines submitted that he was in the habit of remaining himself absent from duty without giving any information or taking any permission of the management. They disclosed that during 1993, 1994 and 1995 the concerned workman put his attendance for 82 days, 109 days and 79 days respectively. They submitted that the concerned workman again started himself absenting from duty without giving information or taking permission from the management w.e.f. 15-11-95 and continued to remain absent. As the said unauthorised absence amounted to misconduct a chargesheet dt. 31-1-96 was issued to him to which he submitted his reply. As the reply given by him was not satisfactory a domestic enquiry was started against him through Enquiry Officer duly appointed by the Disciplinary Authority. During hearing the concerned workman participated and full opportunity was given to him to defend his case. They further submitted that not only in course of hearing but also in his reply to the chargesheet he admitted his guilt. As there was sufficient material against the concerned workman the Enquiry Officer after completing the said enquiry submitted his report holding him guilty to the charge brought against him. Thereafter, the Disciplinary Authority considering the report and also considering all other material facts dismissed the concerned workman from his service. They submitted that in dismissing the concerned workman from service the management neither committed any illegality nor took any arbitrary decision violating the principle of natural justice. Accordingly, they submitted that the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief in view of his prayer and for which his claim is liable to be rejected.

4. POINTS TO BE DECIDED

"Whether the action of the management of Bhowra(N) U.G. Mines of M/s. BCCL in dismissing Shri Rajbali Bhuiya from the services of the company w.e.f. 9-3-96 is justified ? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?"

5. FINDING WITH REASONS

It transpires from the record that before taking up hearing of this case on merit it was taken into consideration if domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice. The said issue on preliminary point was disposed of *vide* order No. 17 dated 10-3-04 and it was observed that domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice.

Accordingly, here the point for consideration is whether the management have been able to substantiate the charge brought against concerned workman and if so whether he is entitled to get any relief as per provision laid down U/S. 11A of the I.D. Act.

During hearing the chargesheet was marked as Ext. M-1. The chargesheet issued to the concerned workman speaks as follows :

"Bharat Cooking Coal Limited

(A Subsidiary of Coal India Ltd.)

P.O. Bhowra, Dhanbad, Bhowra (N) Colliery.

Ref. No. PS/96/(N) U/G Mines/CS/10

Dt. 31-1-96

To

Sri Rajbali Bhuiya,
Designation DCL 16A
BHOWRA (N) U/G. Mines

Home address :

Vill. .....

PO .....

P.S. .....

Dist. .....

Sub. . *Chargesheet.*

It appears from our records that you were absenting from duty with effect from 15-11-95 without any information/permission of the appropriate authority.

Under the circumstances, you are hereby charged for misconduct in terms of the following paras of Certified Standing Order for wokmen of Establishment under BCCL in which Bhowra (N) U./G. Mines falls.

26 . 1 : 1 Habitual late attendance or wilful or habitual absence from duty without sufficient cause.

26 . 1 · 10 Habitual indiscipline or wilful in subordination of disobedience of any lawful or reasonable order of higher authority.

You are hereby called upon to explain in writing within 48 hours of the receipt of the chargesheet as to why disciplinary action should not be taken against you for your unauthorised absence from duty.

Sd/-

Illegible

Project Officer/Manager
Bhowra (N) UG. Mines."

It is seen that chargesheet was issued to the concerned workman on 31-1-96. It is the allegation of the management that the concerned workman started remaining himself absent from duty without giving any information or taking any permission w.e.f. 15-11-95 i.e. the concerned workman remained himself absent unauthorisedly for a period of 2½ months continuously. It is admitted fact that the concerned workman after receipt of the chargesheet submitted his reply which during hearing was marked as Ext. M-1/1. From the reply given by the concerned workman it transpires that he could not attend to his duty owing to illness of his wife but has failed to assign any reason what cause prevented him to intimate this fact to the management. The sponsoring Union in the Written Statement disclosed that reasons of the absence of the concerned workman was duly intimated to the management but in course of hearing they have failed to produce a single scrap of paper. As such I hold that the plea taken by the sponsoring Union in the Written Statement appears to be baseless

It is the specific claim of the concerned workman that owing to illness of his wife he could not attend to his duty but inspite of claiming so in course of hearing he has failed to produce a single scrap of authentic medical paper. No reason also was assigned by him which prevented him to submit medical papers relating to treatment of his wife. Accordingly, there is sufficient reason to believe that the plea taken by the concerned workman for his absence appears to be baseless and cannot be accepted. I have considered the report of the Enquiry Officer (Ext. M-1/5) wherein it has also been exposed clearly that the concerned workman in support of the claim that his wife was lying ill has failed to adduce any cogent evidence. On the contrary accepting his guilt he requested the management to allow him to resume his duty Considering all material aspects I therefore hold that management have been able to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman under clause 26 · 1 : 1 of the Certified Standing Orders.

As regards to Charge No. 2 I have carefully considered the enquiry report as well materials on record including evidence of MW-1 The enquiry officer in his report neither made any whisper nor MW-1 during his evidence disclosed anything in that regard. The order of dismissal during evidence was marked as Ext. M-1/8. It is seen from this order that the Disciplinary Authority dismissed the concerned workman from his services relying on the charges for committing misconduct. After careful consideration of all the material on record I have failed to find out an iota of evidence relying on which there is scope to say that management have been able to substantiate charge No 2 brought against the concerned workman. Accordingly, I hold that order of dismissal on the ground of Charge No. II appears to be unjustified. However, as management have been able to establish charge No. 1 I find no scope to say that the management, illegally dismissed the concerned workman from his service.

Now the point for consideration is whether the concerned workman is entitled to get any relief u/s. IIA of the I.D. Act, 1947. Section 11A speaks as follows :—

> "Where an industrial dispute relating to the discharge or dismissal of a wrokman has been referred to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal for adjudication and in the course of the adjudication proceedings, the Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal, as the case may be, is satisfied that the order of discharge or dismissal was not justified, it may, be its award set aside the order of discharge or dismissal was not justified, it may, by its award, set aside the order of discharge or dismissal and direct reinstatement of the workman on such terms and conditions, if any, as it thinks fit, or give such other relief to the workman including the award of any lesser punishment in lieu of discharge or dismissal as the circumstances of the case may require."

Therefore, it is to be taken into consideration if the order of dismissal passed by the management was justified or not. Simultaneously it also should be taken into consideration if the said order of dismissal was proportionate in relation to the misconduct committed by the concerned workman. It is seen that in the Written Statement the management disclosed to the effect that the concerned workman was in the habit of remaining himself absent from duty and in support of that claim they submitted that during the years 1993, 1994 and 1995 the concerned workman put his attendance for 82 days, 109 days and 79 days respectively. This fact however, has not been reflected in the chargesheet and for which there is no scope to take cognisance of the same in support of the claim of the management. It is seen that in the instant case for absence of 2½ months the concerned workman was dismissed from his service. It is fact that the concerned workman in course of his evidence has failed to justify the reason of his such unauthorised absence by adducing cogent evidence though he took the plea that his wife was lying ill. It is seen that in course of hearing the concerned workman pleaded his guilty. It shows that the concerned workman realised the fault committed by him. When the concerned workman has exposed such mentality I consider that an opportunity is required to be given to him for his rectification in future so that he can shape himself to be a sincere workman Moreover, the chargesheet shows that the concerned workman was given 48 hours time to submit his reply. As

per clause 48 hours time is given in case of minor offence. Therefore, the very intention of the management was not to award serious nature of punishment though it was so inflicted.

In view of the facts and circumstances discussed above I hold that order of dismissal from service for absence of 2½ months appears to be unjustified not only but also it is to be considered disproportionate. I, therefore, hold that an opportunity is required to be given to the concerned workman so that he can get the scope of his mending. In the result, I set aside the order of dismissal passed against the concerned workman by the management and instead his two increments should be stopped permanently. He also will not be entitled to get any back wages without affecting the continuity of his service.

In the result, the following Award is rendered :—

"The action of the management of Bhowra (N) U.G. Mines of M/s. BCCL in dismissing Shri Rajbali Bhuiya from the services of the company w.e.f. 9-3-96 is not justified. Consequently, the concerned workman is entitled to be reinstated in his service from the date of his dismissal without any back wages. However, he will get the continuity of his service and his two increments will be stopped by the management permanently.

The management is directed to implement the Award within three months from the date of its publication in the Gazette of India in the light of the observation made above.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3046.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा०को०को०लि० के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 19/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/532/2001-आई आर (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3046.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 19/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004.

[No. L-20012/532/2001-IR (C-I)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2) AT DHANBAD.

PRESENT :

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

REFERENCE NO. 19 OF 2002

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of C. V Area of M/s. BCCL and their workman.

APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the workman	:	None
On behalf of the employers		A. K. Sinha, Advocate.
State : Jharkhand	:	Industry : Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 4th October, 2004.

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/532/2001 dated, the 28th February, 2002.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of M/s. BCCL in refusing to regularise Sri Ramdayal Mishra as pay loader Operator is fair and justified ? If not, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled and from what date ?"

2. In this reference neither the concerned workman nor his representative appeared. Management, however, have made appearance through their authorised representative. Record shows that inspite of issuance of consecutive notices neither the concerned workman nor the sponsoring union considered necessary to submit Written Statement in the instant case. The attitude of the concerned workman/ sponsoring union if is taken into consideration will

expose that neither the concerned workman nor the sponsoring union is interested to proceed with the hearing of the case. Accordingly, this Tribunal finds no ground to keep pending this case for days together only for appearance of the concerned workman/union. Hence, the case is closed. Under the circumstances, a 'No dispute' Award is rendered and the instant reference is disposed of on the basis of 'No dispute' Award presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3047.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सी०सी०एल० के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 38/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10 2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/70/2001-आईआर (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3047.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 38/2003) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of CCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004.

[No. L-20012/70/2001-IR (C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2) AT DHANBAD.

PRESENT :

Shri B BISWAS, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

Reference No. 38 of 2003

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of Swang Colliery of M/s. CCL and their workmen.

APPEARANCES M :

On behalf of the workmen : None

On behalf of the employers : Mr. D. K. Verma, Advocate.

State : Jharkhand Industry : Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 4th October, 2004.

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/70/2001-IR(C-I), dated the 2nd May, 2003.

SCHEDULE

"KYA RASHTRIYA COLLIERY MAZDOOR SANGH KI C.C.L. SWANG COLLIERY KEY PRABANDH-TANTRA SEY MANG KI KARMKAR SHRI SULTAN AHMAD, DRIVER KI JANM TARIKH 5-6-1941 KEY STHAN PAR 15-8-1945 KO SAHI MANA JAYAE TATHA USI KEY ANURUP SEVA NIVRITTI KI TARIKH TAY KI JAYE, UCHIT EVAM NAYA SANG HAI ? YADI HAI TO KARMKAR KIS RAHAT KAY PATRA HAI ?"

2. In this case neither the concerned workman nor his representative appeared. Management, however, have made appearance through their learned Advocate. Record shows that inspite of issuance of consecutive notices neither the concerned workman nor the sponsoring union considered necessary to submit Written Statement in the instant case. The attitude of the concerned workman/sponsoring union if is taken into consideration will expose that neither the concerned workman nor the sponsoring union is interested to proceed with the hearing of the case. Accordingly, this Tribunal finds no ground to keep pending this case four days together only for appearance of the concerned workman/sponsoring union. Hence, the case is closed. Under the circumstances, a 'No dispute' Award is rendered and the instant reference is disposed of on the basis of 'No dispute' Award presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3048.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 151/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-20012/436/94-आई.आर.(सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3048.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 151/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004

[No. L-20012/436/94-IR(C-I)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D Act. 1947

Reference No. 151 of 2000

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman : None

On behalf of the employers : Mr. D.K. Verma, Advocate

State : Jharkhand Industry : Coal

Dhanbad, the 4th October, 2004

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication *vide* their Order No. L-20012/436/94-IR-(C-I) dated, the 18th October, 2004.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the union for employment of Bimli Mahatain as Casual Wagon Loader at Kooridih Colliery at Govindpur Area No. III of M/s. BCCL, is justified ? If so, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled ?"

2. In this reference neither the concerned workman nor his representative appeared. Management, however, made appearance through their authorised representative. Record shows that in spite of issuance of consecutive notices neither the concerned workman nor the sponsoring union considered necessary to submit Written Statement in the instant case. The attitude of the concerned workman/sponsoring union if is taken into consideration will expose that neither the concerned workman nor the sponsoring union is interested to proceed with the hearing of the case. Accordingly, this Tribunal finds no ground to keep pending this case for days together only for appearance of the concerned workman/union Hence, the case is closed. Under the circumstances, a 'No Dispute' Award is rendered and the instant reference is disposed of on the basis of 'No Dispute' Award presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3049.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 287/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-20012/185/99-आई.आर.(सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3049.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref No. 287/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004.

[No. L-20012/185/99-IR(C-I)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer.

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D. Act, 1947

REFERENCE NO. 287 OF 1999

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of M/s BCCL and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman : None

On behalf of the employers : Mr. R.N Ganguly, Advocate.

State Jharkhand : Industry Coal

Dhanbad, the 4th October, 2004

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication *vide* their Order No. L-20012/185/99-IRC(C-I) dated, the 3rd August, 1999.

SCHEDULE

"KYA B C C L SUDAMDIH COLLIERY KEY PRAVANDTANTRA DWARA KARMAKAR SHRI JAGAT NARAYAN PASWAN KO TB CHIKISTSA KEY DOURAN MEDICAL BOARD NA VEI KAR ANUPASTITHI KEY AROP MEY ANUSHASANIK KARYABAHI DWARA SEVA SEY BARKHAST KIYA JANA UNCHIT HAI ? YADI NAHI TO KYA UNKI MRITYUPARANT UNKEY ASHRIT KO NIYUKTI DIA JANEY KI UNION KI MANGUCHIT EVAM NAYASANGAT HAI ? YADI HA TO KARMKAR KEY UKT ASHRIT KIS RAHAT KEY PATRA HAI ?"

2. In this case neither the concerned workman nor its Union appeared before this Tribunal. Management, however made appearance through their learned Advocate. It transpires from the record that in spite of issuance of repeated notices the concerned workman or his representative did not consider necessary to appear with a view to take steps in connection with this case. This is a case of 1999. Considering the conduct of the sponsoring union/workman it shows clearly that they are not interested to proceed with the hearing of this case. In the circumstances, there is no justification to adjourn the case for days together *suo motu* by this Tribunal. Hence, the case is closed and a 'No Dispute' Award is passed in this reference presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties. The reference in question is disposed of accordingly

B BISWAS, Presiding Officer.

नई दिल्ली, 27 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3050.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 191/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 27-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-20012/140/2001-आई.आर.(सी-I)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 27th October, 2004

S.O. 3050.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref No. 191/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 27-10-2004.

[No. L-20012/140/2001-IR(C-I)]

S S GUPTA, Under Secy

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I. D Act, 1947

REFERENCE NO. 191 OF 2001

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Govindpur Area No. III of M/s. BCCL and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the workman : Mr. B. N. Singh, General Secretary. National Coal Workers Congress

On behalf of the employers : Mr. B.M. Prasad, Advocate.

State : Jharkhand : Industry : Coal

Dhanbad, the 4th October, 2004

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication *vide* their Order No. L-20012/140/2001-IRC(C-I) dated, the 10th July, 2001.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the NCWS from the management of BCCL, Govindpur Area to regularise Shri Mukhlal Gope as Underground Munshi is proper and justified ? If so, to what relief is the concerned workman entitled and from what date ?"

2. In this reference both the parties appeared through their respective authorised representative. Subsequently, at the stage of filing rejoinder and document the representative of the workman submitted hat the concerned workman is not interested to proceed with the hearing of the case and accordingly prayed to pass necessary order. In view of the submission made by the representative of the workman, the representative of the management submitted that when the concerned workman is not interested to proceed with the hearing of the case it is redundant to proceed with the case further. Heard both sides. In view of the prayer of both sides a 'No dispute' Award is rendered and the instant reference is disposed of on the basis of 'No dispute' Award presuming non-existence of any industrial dispute between the parties.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 28 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3051.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यूको बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय सं० 2, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 214/98) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 28 10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12012/333/97-आई.आर. (बी. II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 28th October, 2004

S.O. 3051.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the Award (Ref. No 214/98) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court, No. 2, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of UCO Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 28-10-2004.

[No. L-12012/333/97-IR(B-II)]

C GANGADHARAN, Under Secy

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER : R. N. RAI

ID No. 214/98

Ms. Radha Rani Gupta daughter of
Late Mr. M.R Gupta,
R/o House No. 10335, Street No 1,
West Gorakh Park, Shahdara,
Delhi-110 032

VERSUS

U.C O BANK
ZONAL OFFICE, 5, SANSAD MARG,
NEW DELHI-110001.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its Letter No. L-12012/333/97-IR(B-II) CENTRAL GOVERNMENT DT. 20-10-1998 has referred the following point for adjudication

The point runs as hereunder :—

"Whether the action of the management of the UCO Bank in imposing the punishment of stoppage of five increments on Ms Radha Rani Gupta and for treating the suspension period as without duty is justified ? If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled and what directions are necessary in this case.

The claimant has filed statement of claim. In her statement of claim she has stated that she was appointed as an accounts clerk in B/o Parliament Street, New Delhi in the month of October, 1977 The bank officers, some workmen, union leaders and high officials of the respondent bank started to torture the workman after finding out that the workman is unmarried, independent and lone helpless lady for their dirty advances.

That she was transferred to Branch Office, Chandni Chowk Delhi-110006 of the respondent UCO Bank in July 1989 where she was extremely harassed by some high officials and union leaders and employees of the aforesaid branch. She complained about it to the higher authorities but they took no action, rather encouraged the said certain erring officials/union leaders. She complained to the police and the police investigated but thereafter the officials of the bank in order to fulfil their ulterior motives suspended her illegally on 6-8-1991 and they tried to outrage her modesty. Her suspension was illegal in view of the first Bipartite settlement as amended up to date and they set up an enquiry The allegations were levelled against her that she abused Mr. D.K Rastogi and hurled chappal on Mr. Sanjeev Chand They wanted to establish the charges by hook or by crook The Enquiry Officer held her guilty and the disciplinary authority awarded punishment dt. 21-02-1994 treating the whole suspension period of 3 to 4 years without duty with stoppage of five increments with cumulative effect.

That the order and the finding of the enquiry officer were illegal. She appealed to the Appellate Authority but the order was confirmed and again charges were framed against her and she has been dismissed illegally

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been submitted that she acted in a violent and disorderly manner. She used abusive language against her colleagues of the branch office at Chandni Chowk. She was placed under suspension on 7-8-1991 and during enquiry, she was found guilty of the charges levelled against her and she was punished with stoppage of one increment and suspension was subsequently lifted on 26-2-1994. Her appeal was also rejected. She was paid subsistence allowance during her period of suspension. She was served a charge sheet on 18-12-1997 for gross misconduct and enquiry was conducted against her for her disorderly behaviour on the premises of the bank. After detailed enquiry, she was found guilty and she was dismissed from the bank's service The order of dismissal was conveyed to her. The relief prayed for her cannot be granted to her. The rest of the statements of claim are denied. Enquiry has been held after giving her proper opportunity. She has participated in the enquiry fully and principles of natural justice have been followed. The statements of her claim are misconceived and wrong.

The workman applicant has filed rejoinder and in her rejoinder, she has reiterated the averments of her statement of claim and denied most of the paragraphs of the written statement. After filing rejoinder, she absented from 5-8-2003 in the Court of CGIT-I. She was not present from 9-12-2002. As such, she has been absenting for almost two years in the previous court despite her knowledge.

The management has filed written arguments. The workman applicant was deliberately avoiding the proceedings of this court as she has filed writ perition No. 1318/2000 against the management bank. The Hon'ble High Coutr of Delhi dismissed her writ petition. She has challenged the orders of Disciplinary Authority dt. 22-7-1999 and 19-8-1999 and that of Appellate Authority dated 25-3-2000 arising out of charge sheets dt. 18-12-1997 and 3-4-1998 respectively in Civil Writ Petition No. 1318 of 2000 before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

The Hon'ble High Court has given detailed judgement dealing with all the charge sheets and her complaints of sexual harassment and has ultimately concluded that she was given full and complete opportunity to defend herself. She rejected a very fair offer given to her on 16-8-2002. After dealing with the matter which is a subject matter of this case, the High Court rejected her writ petition. As the Hon'ble High Court has dealt with all the allegations and the statement of facts stated in the statement of claim and after full hearing, her writ petition was rejected and the Hon'ble High Court found none of her statement to be true. That is why, she is deliberately absenting. She could get no relief on the same matter from the Hon'ble High Court. As such, her matter cannot be discussed again in view of the findings on the same of the Hon'ble High Court. The order of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court in writ petition No. 1318/2000 is final and it has not been shown that she has gone against the judgement to the Hon'ble Supreme Court. No paper has been annexed with the record, as such, there is no merit in the case of the workman applicant.

The reference is replied thus :—

The action of the management of the UCO Bank in imposing the punishment of stoppage of five increments on Ms. Radha Rani Gupta and for treating the suspension period as without duty is justified. The workman applicant is not entitled to get any relief and any direction as prayed for.

The award is given accordingly.

Dt. 15-10-2004

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 28 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3052.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार केनरा बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं. 2, नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 100/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 28-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-12011/114/2000-आई.आर. (बी. II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 28th October, 2004

S.O. 3052.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 100/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, No. 2, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Canara Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 28-10-2004.

[No. L.-12011/114/2000-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-II NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER : R. N. RAI,

ID No. 100/2000

In the matter of :—

Shri Naresh Kumar Gupta,
S/o. Shri R.C. Gupta,
G-79, Lajpat Nagar-I,
New Delhi.

VERSUS

The General Manager,
Canara Bank, Circle Office,
9th Floor, Ansal Tower, Nehru Place,
New Delhi-110019.

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L.-12011/114/2000-IR(B-II) Central Government dt. 31-08-2000 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The point runs as hereunder :—

"Whether the action of the Deputy General Manager, Canara Bank, Circle Office, Marshall House, Nehru Place, New Delhi, in dismissing from services w.e.f. 13-06-1998 Shri Naresh Kumar Gupta (31732), New Delhi is justified, proper and valid? If not, what relief and benefits he is entitled to."

The Union has filed statement of claim on behalf of the workman. In the statement of claim, it has been stated that the workman Naresh Kumar Gupta was employed with the management of Canara Bank as Clerk with effect from 06-09-1980. He was drawing salary last around Rs. 9,000/- per month. He was last posted at South Extension II Branch, New Delhi. That the subject matter of the reference pertains to the charge sheet dated 28-01-1997. Therefore, he is restricting his ground of challenge pertaining to that charge sheet and the proceedings of enquiry and findings illegally recorded in that although the other proceedings initiated against the workman were also not valid, proper and bonafide.

The allegations in the charge sheet dated 28-01-1997 read as under :—

"CHARGE"

"You are presently working as Clerk in our South Extension Branch, New Delhi since 27-7-1991 and are presently under suspension w.e.f. 13-8-1996. It is reported that on 18-7-1996, Ms Om Shree Sharma A/c. holder of SB A/c. No. 19923 remitted a sum of Rs. 10,000/- in cash to be credited to her SB A/c. on 19-07-1996. Shri Abdul Alim, A/c. holder of SB A/c. No. 18384 remitted a sum of Rs. 30,000/- in cash to be credited to his SB A/c On both these days, you were working as Receipt-Cashier and on receipt of the cash from the account holders, you have issued counterfoils duly signed. However, you have failed to credit the above amounts on the same day of receipt of the amounts in their respective accounts. Both the counterfoils are initialled by you, as Cashier. The said amounts have been credited in their accounts on 19-07-1996 and 20-07-1996 respectively with delay. Further, the Shroff Book has many cuttings/over writings without due authentication. Ms. Om Shree Sharma and Shri Abdul Alim, the account holders, have informed the Branch of delay in crediting the remittances made by them.

It is evident from the above that while working as Receipt Cashier, you have misused your official position and not credited the amounts deposited by the customers

on the same day in their respective accounts and misappropriated the said amounts temporarily. It is also reported that on 20-07-1996, Shri Surinder Kumar, A/c, holder of SB A/c. No. 5511 remitted a sum of Rs. 6,600/ - in cash to be credited to his SB A/c. The A/c. holder has lodged a complaint with the branch for non credit of Rs. 6,600/-, credited/remitted on 20-07-1996 along with the copy of the counterfoil with the stamp on it. You were working as Receipt Cashier on 20-07-1996 and you failed to credit the amount deposited by the customer on the same day and misappropriated the above amount temporarily with malafide intentions. You have also confirmed that the cash received stamp was in your custody on 20-07-1996. Subsequently, you have remitted the amount of Rs. 6,600/- by cash on 13-08-1996. The Shroff Book shows over writings/alterations which shows that you have tampered the records of the Branch by misusing your official position.

Your above acts of temporarily misappropriating the funds of the Bank/Customers and making cuttings/ over writings in the Shroff Book etc are prejudicial to the interests of the Bank which touches upon your integrity and honesty is also a gross mis-conduct under the meaning of Chapter XI Regulation 3(m) of Canara Bank Service Code"

That the Senior Manager was also instrumental in getting other charges issued. On 13-08-1996, the workman was summoned by him in his cabin suddenly and he was told that a serious report has been received against the workman that he received a sum of Rs. 6,600/- for deposit from a party but did not allegedly enter it in cash book and that the Circle Office wanted that the workman should immediately deposit the amount in order to save himself from serious consequences. He was told to deposit the amount in the name/account of one Surinder Kumar operating SB A/c. No. 6611 On the asking of the Senior Manager, Shri K L. Mallya, the amount was deposited. He had asked the workman to sign the pay-in-slip in token of having received the cash and deposited the same on the date when the pay-in-slip was filled up. This was refused to be done by the workman because the Senior Manager refused to show the pay-in-slip bearing the signatures of the workman to the effect that he had received the amount and allegedly failed to enter the same in the cash book or show the deposit in the Bank However, the amount was deposited by the workman from his pocket and without knowing the details.

It was at a much later stage that a photocopy of the undated complaint of Surinder Kumar was given to the workman in connection with charge sheet dated 28-01-1997 but it does not state that the amount was handed over to the workman by way of deposit. The management was not showing the pay-in-slip against which the amount was taken from the account holder by a peon of the Bank although that peon had received the amount from the customer, put his initials on the counterfoils given to the party but did not deposit the money in the Bank. The aforesaid Senior Manager, the Enquiry Officer and other officials deliberately suppressed all these facts and did not allow the same to be brought on the surface and the workman was insisted upon to make deposit from his own pocket under coercion, threat and employing deceptive tactics.

That one Shri S. Raj Shekharan was appointed as the Enquiry Officer and one Shri S. Nanda Kumar was appointed as the Presenting Officer. The workman denied the allegations before the Enquiry Officer. The management gave a list of six witnesses which included the names of (i). Miss Om Shree Sharma, A/c. holder (ii). Sh. Abdul Alim, A/c. holder, (iii), Sh. Surinder Kumar, A/c. holder. None of these witnesses were examined by the Enquiry Officer as management witnesses.

This was deliberately avoided to be done, However, Miss. Om Shree Sharma was later on produced as defence witness much against the desire of the Enquiry Officer as well as the management because they were bent upon holding the workman guilty of the charges even in absence of legal evidence.

That the other three witnesses included the aforesaid Senior Manager, one Shri R.P. Shah, Investigating Officer and Shri Vinod Kumar, Cashier. The enquiry is bad for several reasons which are enumerated in the succeeding paragraphs and under the grounds, the findings are perverse, the enquiry officer acted with extreme bias and prejudice and conducted the enquiry under the dictates of the Senior Officers and without applying his mind. The Enquiry Officer observed in his findings that the workman could not be found guilty in connection with the charge relating to the charge of Miss Om Shree Sharma, but illegally held the workman guilty of the other two charges.

That it was a false, fabricated and concocted allegation at the instance of MW-1 Shri K.L. Mallya that the account holder Ms. Om Shree Sharma of SB A/c No. 19923 allegedly made a complaint about delay in crediting the amount in her account and that she handed over the counterfoil of the Pay-in-slip dated 18-07-1996 for Rs. 10,000/-. The falsehood in the report of MW-1 became apparent when she herself came in the witness box and stated that she had not made any complaint. She also stated that she did not offer the counterfoil to the Senior Manager MW-1, Shri K.L. Mallya. Eventually, the Enquiry Officer had no other alternative but to exonerate the workman of this charge.

The 2nd charge clearly depended on the evidence of one Shri Abdul Alim, account holder of SB A/c. No.

18384. He did not agree to make a statement as per the wishes of the Senior Manager and other officials in order to falsely involve the workman. However, when he realized that he was being harassed and he was asked to wait continuously for long time and the enquiry proceedings were deliberately not held so as to record his statement he sent a letter stating the factual position about the actual date of deposit of sum of Rs. 30,000/-. In his case as well no such document was produced that he made any complaint. The letter written by the said witness, although taken on record but the same was intentionally and deliverately put aside and omitted to be considered. On that charge as well, it is clear case that the amount was actually deposited by the account holder on 20-07-1996 and not on 19-07-1996.

The account holder Shri Abdul Alim himself stated that he actually deposited the amount on 20-07-1996. Therefore, there was no occasion for the management to level any charge against the workman in this behalf. That without prejudice to the above contention the Enquiry Officer willfully and deliberately failed to take notice of the fact that the alleged sum of Rs. 6,600/- was not taken by the workman from the account holder on 20-07-1996. The counterfoil does not bear the signatures of the workman. As per the factual position which came out subsequently, the counterfoil bears the signatures of one of the peon in the Branch Shri Harish Kumar, who may have accepted the amount from the account holder but did not deposit the same.

Without showing the counterfoil and without enabling the workman to verify the position, and by deploying the tactics of coercion, misrepresentation etc., the workman was asked to make depost of the amount of Rs. 6,600/- from his pocket. The counterfoil bearing the signatures/initials of some other individuals, a peon of the Branch Shri Harish Kumar came to light later on. Even he has not been examined in evidence by the management during the course of enquiry. Unless the counterfoil in respect of the amount of Rs. 6,600/- is proved on record with reference to the signatures of the persons who put on the counterfoil, it could neither be presumed nor treated to be proved that the workman had accepted the sum of Rs. 6,600/- on 20-07-1996.

If some person collected the amount representing himself to be a representative or an employee of the Bank in the Branch and delivers the counterfoils under his signature without the knowledge of the workman, it could not be said that the workman had received the amount. That the Enquiry Officer could not record a finding of guilt on charge Nos. 2 & 3 merely on suspicion and especially when direct evidence was available in the shape of statement of the account holders, but the same was deliberately not examined even though cited as witnesses.

That with regard to charge No. 3 relating to the amount of Rs. 6,600/- in the account of Shri Surinder Kumar it was necessary for the management to prove the hand-writing of the author of the counterfoil of the pay-in-slip as well as the signatures. The original of the counterfoil was not produced.

The management-respondent has filed written statement. In the Written Statement it has been stated that the workman applicant has committed serious misconduct. He has temporarily embezzled Rs. 6,600/- on 20-07-1996 and on 18-07-1996 Rs. 10,000/- from the Saving Bank Account Nos. 5511 219923. The said amounts were credited on 13-08-1996 and on 19-07-1996. He temporarily embezzled Rs. 30,000/- of Saving Bank Account No. 18384. In this way on three occasions the workman applicant has temporarily embezzled the above said three amounts of the three different accounts holders. Chargesheet was served on him and inquiry was held. He fully participated in the inquiry. The contention of the workman that the complainants of these cases were not examined during the inquiry proceedings is immaterial. It has been held in AIR in 1997 SC 1512 and AIR 1982 SC 673 by the Hon'ble Supreme Court that non examination of the complainant is not material in case there is prima-facie and conlusive evidence against the deliquent. It has been further stated that in AIR 1997 SC 1512 and AIR 1982 SC 673 and 2003 CLR 839 the Hon'ble Supreme Court has categorically laid down that non examination of the complainant would not vitiate the inquiry.

It has been further stated that proper inquiry was held and all the documents were supplied to the workman applicant and all the documents have been proved by the witnesses in the inquiry The workman applicant fully participated in the inquiry and he was defended by his DR. He was given copy of the inquiry report and Show Cause Notice. The disciplinary authority awarded the punishment of dismissal from service w.e.f. 13-06-1998 and the appellate authority confirmed it. As such the grounds given by the workman applicant for quashing the inquiry proceedings are meaningless. The inquiry officer was never prejudiced. The findings of the Enquiry Officer was proved based on cogent evidence. The temporary embezzlement of Rs. 10,000/- have not been found proved by the Enquiry Officer so the punishment was awarded on Charge Nos. 2 & 3 after hearing the workman applicant. The Enquiry Officer has given his findings on all the three charges separately and he has assigned cogent reasons for upholding the charges levelled against the EPA. The findings of the Enquiry Officer is fair No interference is required. The workman applicant has been afforded proper opportunity to defend himself. He was served a Show Cause Notice prior to chargesheet and after considering his reply the charges were framed against him. He has been afforded

opportunity to defend himself The case of the claimant is liable to be dismissed.

The claimant has filed rejoinder. In his rejoinder he has denied most of the paras of the written statement and has reiterated the averments of his claim. The management has also denied almost all the paras of the statement of claim.

Both the parties have adduced evidence. They have been cross examined by each other. The preliminary issue of fairness of the inquiry was not pressed before me, as such the award is given on the entire merits of the case. Heard arguments from both the sides and perused the papers on the record. It was submitted from the side of the workman that charge No. 1 is regarding temporary embezzlement of Rs. 10,000/- and it has been mentioned in the charge that the customer complained about the delay in remittance of Rs 10,000/- in her account number on 19-07-1996 but so far as charge No. 1 is concerned, the Enquiry Officer did not find the charge proved as the counterfoil produced by the P.O. was not clear as to the date of receipt of the cash by the Cashier.

CHARGE NO. 2

Charge No 2 is regarding temporary embezzlement of Rs. 30,000/- It has been alleged that the workman applicant received Rs. 30,000/- on 19-07-1996 but he deposited the same on 20-07-1996 and not on 19-07-1996. As such the EPA has temporarily embezzled an amount of Rs 30,000/- for one day.

It was contended from the side of the workman that the E O has based his report on ME 6, M E 7 and ME 8 According to the E.O.ME 6 is the Shroff Cash Book on which the amount was entered and on ME 6 he held the EPA guilty of gross misconduct. ME 6 is not paper regarding deposit of Rs. 30,000/- ME 7 is also not the paper regarding deposit of Rs. 30,000/- so the findings of the E O are not supported by the papers referred to by him in his findings ME 6, ME 7.

The E O on page 81 of the inquiry proceeding has stated :—

"On analyzing ME 6 & ME 8 it is evident that the amount of Rs. 30,000/- was taken into account by the Cashier—the EPA only on 20-07-1996 and not on 19-07-1996 Slip ME 3 dated 19-07-1996. . . .MW IInd has marked that the CSE was negligent while performing cash duties his involvement cannot be ruled out".

It is apparent from this observation of the E.O. that he based his findings on ME 6 and concluded that the amount was deposited on 20-07-1996 and it was received on 19-07-1996 Paper No. ME 6 is not at all relevant as it is regarding the deposit of Rs. 10,000/- regarding which the charge was not found proved by the Enquiry Officer. ME 7 has also been wrongly relied on by the Enquiry Officer as it is in no way connected with the deposit of Rs. 30,000/-. The findings of the Enquiry Officer regarding charge No. 2 are based on ME 6 ME 7 whereas these two papers do not relate to charge No. 2. They relate to charge No. 1 which was found not proved. So the Enquiry Officer has placed relevance on ME 6 & ME 7 wrongly as on the basis of ME 6 and ME 7 the charge of temporary embezzlement cannot be proved.

It was further submitted from the side of the workman that No. 388 is the Shroff Cash Book of 19-07-1996 and on Sl. No. 23 30,000/- has been deposited. Though there is cutting on some serial in the middle but the serial no. of the first part and the last part are correct and there is no cutting on the amount calculated at the end of the paper as such Shroff Cash Book Sl. No. 388 cannot be considered concocted/interpolated paper. ME 8 is the photocopy of the account of Abdul Alim, A/C. No. 1838. Rs. 30,000/- has been deposited on 20-07-1996 so the Enquiry Officer has concluded that the amount was received on 19-07-1996. It may be that the amount was entered in the Shroff Cash Book on 19-07-1996. It is not necessary that entry in the Pass Book is made on the same day. Cash of Rs. 30,000/- may have been received on 19-07-1996 and it may have been entered into Pass Book of the account holder on 20-07-1996 so ME 8 is also not cogent proof that money was deposited on 19-07-1996.

I have persued the findigns of the Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer has not given the findings that the guilt of temporary embezzlement is proved but he has given the finding that gross misconduct is proved.

It was contended from the side of the workman that in case it is assumed that the money of Rs. 30,000/- was deposited on 20-07-1996 in that case also there is no question of embezzlement of the amount. The EPA has himself deposited the money, there is no complaint from any side and nobody asked him to deposit the said amount on 20-07-1996. The EPA has automatically deposited the said amount. It may be that he received the amount at the last working hours and he promised the account holder to deposit it at the next day but he gave him receipt of the same of 19-07-1996 instead of 20-07-1996. The account holders are acquainted with the cashier and some times they come to the Bank when the account is closed. In that case also their amount is received and cash receipt is given with the promise that the amount will be deposited the next day and the receipt of the next day is also given. At the utmost the EPO has committed negligence in issuing of cash receipt of 19-07-1996, so it is minor negligence, there is no intention of committing any misconduct. If an employee has any criminal intentions he would not deposit the money himself on the next day as such the intention of mis-appropriation is not even implied. The workman applicant has acted in good faith. He has committed a mistake rather

topographical mistake of writing 19 at the place of 20. When the matter came to light after one month the amount was already deposited on 20-07-1996.

CHARGE NO. 3

It was further submitted that the charge no. 3 is regarding temporary embezzlement of Rs. 6,600/-. It is alleged that the slip dated 19-07-1996 was issued along with the seal and signature of the EPA, but that money was accounted to the account of the account holder on 13-08-1996. The EPA received the amount on 19-07-1996 and he deposited it on 13-08-1996 so he embezzled the said amount. The Enquiry Officer found the charge proved as according to his version the money received on 19-07-1996 was deposited in the account holder's account on 13-08-1996. As such, the CSE was found negligent while performing cash duties.

The third charge is regarding temporary embezzlement of Rs. 6,600/-. It has been alleged that Rs. 6,600/- was received on 19-07-1996 and on the counterfoil there is seal of the Bank and nobody has done initial on the slip. So the Enquiry Officer concluded that the receipt was issued by the Cashier as he was incharge of the cash and it was his duty to receive cash for depositing in the account of the account holders but the said amount was deposited on 13-08-1996. The Enquiry Officer found the charge levelled against the CSE proved.

It was contended from the side of the workman applicant that the findings of the Enquiry Officer are not based on evidence on the record. The amount of Rs 6,600/- was deposited on 19-07-1996 as per the photocopy of the counterfoil but there is no signature of the workman applicant on this counterfoil. There appears to be a signature but that is certainly not of the workman applicant as compared to his signature on the other counterfoils under reference. It was stated from the side of the workman applicant that the peon received the cash and he put his signature and seal on the counterfoil and gave one part to the account holder. The other part of the receipt has not been produced by the complainant and he did not file the same and he has made no complaint and he has not appeared in the witness box. It is of course true that the workman had been discharging the cashier's duty on that day but the employee was not expected to sit on the chair from 10.00 AM to 5.00 PM. He has to go to attend the call of nature. It was contended that when the workman applicant was absent, the peon received the cash and put his signature and seal on both the foils The workman applicant did not receive the cash of Rs. 6,600/- so he did not put his signature It is quite natural that no account holder will receive the receipt without any signature and there is signature of some other person on the counterfoil dated 20-07-1996. It has not been verified whether the peon has put his signature over the counterfoil dated 20-07-1996 by which the cash of Rs. 6,600/- was received. The Learned Counsel for the workman argued that the signature is denied. The Bank was duty bound to prove it by taking the signature of the peon concerned but the Bank has not done so. So far as signature is concerned oral evidence is not sufficient. From my perusal it transpires that there is signature on the counterfoil but is not the signature of the CSE.

It was argued that it has come in evidence that when the workman applicant was pressed he deposited Rs. 6,600/- from his own pocket under the direction of the Branch Manager of the Bank and there is mention of the same on the receipt of the deposit as such the workman did not deposit Rs. 6,600/- of his own sweet will but he was suspended and assurance was given that a lenient view will be taken. So under pressure he deposited the amount. It was submitted from the side of the management that had he not received the amount, he would not have deposited it. Mere deposit of Rs. 6,600/- at the direction of the Branch Manager is not itself a sufficient proof that the workman applicant has received Rs. 6,600/- from the customer. There is no signature of the workman applicant on the counterfoil and no witness has deposed that he saw the workman receiving money from the customer on a particular date so it cannot be said that he received the amount. The report of expert in such circumstance is the only evidence as Rs. 6,600/- has been written on the counterfoil and it has been certainly written by some other person as the account counter holder's writing is different.

From the above discussions it is quite obvious that Rs. 6,600/- has been written by person less educated. If there is allegation that the workman applicant has not received Rs. 6,600/- and he has not issued any receipt, in the circumstances it was necessary for the management to get the writing Rs. 6,600/- verified by some experts. The findings of the Enquiry Officer is not correct that there is seal of the Bank so the workman applicant has received the money. Had there been only the seal in that case it can be presumed that the workman received the cash and put the seal but he did not put his signature but there appears to be two writings on the counterfoil, as such two persons have written on the counterfoil. On may be of the account holder but the other may be of some other person, who is that other person, has not been ascertained by the Enquiry Officer. So the finding of the Enquiry Officer is not based on any cogent evidence and the inference drawn by him is not correct. A man of prudence could not have arrived at the conclusion without the writing being verified and without even some oral evidence of receiving money and putting seal and writting Rs. 6,600/- so the charge has been found proved on the basis of conjecture.

I have gone through the inquiry proceedings and the findings of the Enquiry Officer. It was submitted from

the side of the workman that No. 388 of Canara Bank particulars of receipt has been filed alongwith the inquiry proceedings and on No. 23 Rs. 30,000/- has been entered on 19-07-1996 itself. It is at serial No 23 whereas the Enquiry Officer has given his findings that on analyzing ME 6 and ME 8 it is evident that the amount of Rs 30,000/- was taken into account by the Cashier, the EPA on 20-07-1996 and not on 19-07-1996, ME 3 is the slip dated 19-07-1996 The signature of the EPA is quiet clear and he has admitted his signature. The cash was taken on 19-07-1996 and as per ME 6 & ME 8 it was deposited on 20-07-1996 and not on 19-07-1996 In this connection ME 6, ME 8 & ME 3 are important papers, ME 6 is the paper on which no date has been given and there is deposit of Rs 10,000/- on ME 6 The counterfoil is ME 5 dated 19-07-1996 and there is signature of the EPA so the Enquiry Officer has looked at ME 3 instead of ME 5 According to him ME 6 and ME 8 indicate that amount was deposited on 20-07-1996, there is no date and there is no deposit of Rs. 30,000/- as alleged so the Enquiry Officer has not perused ME 5 and ME 6 and without perusal of the records he has concluded that Rs 30,000 - was deposited on 20-07-1996 as per ME 6. There is also a paper on which there is no ME and from perusal of that paper it transpires that Rs 30,000 - was deposited on 19-07-1996 at serial No 23. There is also a paper of 20-07-1996 on which at serial No. 44 Rs 30,000/- has been deposited but this paper is not ME 7, ME 6 or ME 8 It appears that the Enquiry Officer has reached his findings without perusal of ME 6, ME 7 and ME 8 It is of course true that on 20-07-1996 Rs 30,000/- has been deposited at serial No 44 but the paper has not been proved by the witness and there is no exhibit on this paper its number is 392 whereas there is also a photocopy of 388 dated 19-07-1996 and at serial no. 23 Rs. 30,000/- has been deposited In serial No 388 is taken into account Rs 30,000/- has been deposited on 19-07-1996 itself There is cutting on serial no 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 but there is no cutting on 26, 27, 28 & 29 It was contended that one serial number may have been missed so there is cutting but the last serial nos 26, 27, 28 & 29 are without any overwriting There is no question of interpolation of Rs 30,000/- at serial No 23 because this page contains upto serial No 29 and amount is Rs. 2,70,975/- and there is no cutting in the total amount In case Rs 30,000/- had been interpolated later on then there is bound to be overwriting on the total amount so it cannot be said that Rs 30,000/- was not deposited on 19-07-1996 The serial number of the paper dated 20-07-1996 is 44 and Rs 30,000/- has been entered at serial No 44 but the serial number of the Bank paper is 392 whereas serial number of the paper dated 19-07-1996 is 388 There is no mention of the date. Serial No 390 and serial No 391 has not been filed

From the perusal of these papers it transpires that the Shroff Cash Book is prepared everyday If it was prepared on 19-07-1996 at serial No. 388 The same cannot be prepared on 20-07-1996 as such there appears to be concoction/interpolation by some interested persons. The Enquiry Officer has relied upon ME 7 but it is not Shroff Cash Book. ME 6, ME 7 and ME 8 are not originals they are the photocopy of the Shroff Cash Book. The Enquiry Officer has relied upon ME 6 but it is regarding deposit of Rs. 10,000/- and not of Rs. 30,000/- and Charge No. 1 has not been found proved so ME 6 is not regading the deposit of Rs. 30,000/-. ME 6 is regarding deposit of Rs. 10,000/-. From ME 8 it is apparent that Rs. 30,000/- was included in the account of Abdul Halim, A/C. No 1838 on 20-07-1996 and Abdul Halim has not appeared in the witness box but he has said that he has paid the amount on 20-07-1996 and it has been entered in ME 8 on 20-07-1996. The Shroff Cash Book of 20-07-1996, ME 6 is on the record but it is not regarding the deposit of Rs. 30,000/- ME 6 is regarding the deposit of Rs 10,000/- I have gone through the findings of the enquiry Officer and so far as charge No 2nd is concerned papers referred to are ME 6 & ME 8 but ME 6 is not relevant as there is no date on this paper and Rs. 30,000/- has not been mentioned in this Shroff Cash Book as it is not clear how the Enquiry Officer based his findings on ME 6 It appears that he has overlooked ME 6 and found charge no 2nd proved on the basis of ME 6. The photocopy paper is not even dated.

There is date of 20-07-1996 but this is photocopy of the account of Abdul Alim is entered in the ledger is not in every case made on the same date so it is doubtful whether the account was deposited on 19-07-1996 or 20-07-1996 as there is no Shroff Cash Book of 20-07-1996 and the Shroff Cash Book which is of 19-07-1996 and Shroff Cash Book of which Rs. 30,000/- has been deposited is of 19-07-1996. As such it appears that the amount was deposited on 19-07-1996

The Shroff Cash Book No. 392 is dated 20-07-1996 but it has not been exhibited The Enquiry Officer has relied upon ME 6 to ME 8. ME 6 is not regarding deposit of Rs. 30,000/- and no date has been given on this paper ME 7 is regarding deposit of Rs. 10,000/- about which the findings of the Enquiry Officer is that the charge regarding deposit of Rs 10,000/- is not proved so he has relied upon ME 6 to ME 8 whereas ME 6 & ME 7 has nothing to do with deposit of Rs. 30,000/- ME 8 is regarding deposit of Rs. 30,000/- dated 20-07-1996 itself but the money which is deposited on 20-07-1996 cannot be entered in the account of the account holder on the same day. The originals have not been provided for my perusal. The findings of the Enquiry Officer regarding charge not. 2nd is based not ME 6, ME 7 and ME 8 wheareas ME 6 and ME 7 are not the relevant papers regarding the charge ME 8 is the paper of the deposit

The workman applicant was suspended on 13-08-1996 As such the matter regarding temporary

embezzlement and charge sheet was issued to him on 28th January, 1997. Amonut of Rs. 10,000/- was received on 18-07-1996 and it was entered into Shroff Cash Book on 19-07-1996. A cash of Rs. 30,000 was allegedly received on 19-07-1996 and it was admittedly deposited on 20-07-1996. As such the matter came to light when the amount was already deposited without the knowledge of the management and there is no complaint regarding the same. The workman applicant has himself deposited the aforesaid two amounts after one day according to the allegation of the management. Had there been any intention of temporary embezzlement, the workman applicant could not have deposited the same the next day as such by no stretch of imagination there is any intention of misappropriation by the workman applicant. At the worst there may be clerical mistake. No employee could retain any amount for one night and deposit the same the next day without coming to the notice of the management. There is neither any complaint of the account holders nor of the management prior to 13-08-1996. So these two transactions had taken place without the knowledge of the complainant and the management The EPA had deposited the said two amounts the next day as such no intention even of temporary embezzlement could be gathered. The charge regarding the amount of Rs. 10,000 has not been found proved by enquiry officer as the date of the receipt is not clear The charge regarding the deposit of Rs. 30,000 is also not proved as the enquiry officer has based the finding on ME 6 and ME 7. ME 6 is regarding the deposit of Rs. 10,000 and ME 7 is regarding the deposit of Rs. 10,000 in the account of the account holder Ms. Om Shree Sharma. So ME 6 and ME 7 are the papers which are not concerned with the deposit of Rs. 30,000 As such there is no Shroff Cash Book of deposit of Rs. 30,000 which has been proved by any witness. The enquiry officer has based his findings on Charge No. 2 on ME 6 and ME 7 which are not the relevant papers for the charge of late deposit of Rs. 30,000 As such the findings of the enquiry officer is not based on any paper which has been proved by the management witness. There is Shroff Cash Book of 20-07-1996 which is numbered as 392. There is deposit of Rs. 30,000/- in it but it has not been proved by any witness and no exhibit mark has been given to it As such from the evidence of the witnesses during the enquiry it has not been proved that Rs 30,000 was deposited on 19-07-1996 or 20-07-1996 The matter is quite doubtful and it appears that Shroff Cash Book No. 392 has been forged. There are two Shroff Cash Books one of 19-07-1996 and the other is 20-07-1996 These two Shroff Books have not been proved by any witness during the proceedings of the enquiry These two Shroff Cash Books are the relevant papers but the same have not been proved. The enquiry officer has wrongly based his findings on ME 6 and ME7 whereas these are not related to the deposit of the amount of Rs. 30,000. So it is still doubtful as to when the said amount was deposited. So the findings of the enquiry officer that the amount of Rs. 30,000 was taken on 19-07-1996 and was deposited on 20-07-1996 is absolutely wrong, being based on no documentary evidence on the record The management appears do have concocted the Shroff Cash Books of 19-07-1996 and 20-07-1996 As such the findings of the enquiry officer of Charge No. 2 is absolutely baseless and the same cannot be upheld. There must be some documentary evidence or oral evidence on which finding is to be based The enquiry officer has given findings on assumption and conjecture. Charge No 2 is not proved by any evidence.

Charge No. 3 is not proved as the workman applicant has not received Rs 6,600 from the account holder He cannot be held responsible for the amount which he has not received as discussed above so Charge No. 3 is not proved as there is no evidence of receiving the amount of Rs. 6,600 by the workman applicant. Charge No. 1 regarding of Rs. 10,000 has not been found proved by the enquiry officer himself.

It is of course true that non-examination of the complainant will not vitiate the inquiry as has been held by the Hon'ble Apex Court There should be sufficient cogent evidence to prove the charges levelled against the EPA. Charge No. 1 regarding Rs 10,000 has not been found proved by the Enquiry Officer. Charge No 2nd regarding deposit of Rs. 30,000 is not proved as discussed above. Charge No. 3 regarding Rs 6,600 is also not found proved as discussed above. The Enquiry Officer has not given his findings based on the evidence on the record so the enquiry is not fair. The workman applicant deserves to be reinstated with full back wages.

The reference is replied thus —

The action of the Dy General Manager, Canara Bank, Circle Officer, Marshall House, Nehru Place, New Delhi is dismissing from services w e f 13-06-1998 of Shri Naresh Kumar Gupta (31732) is neither justified, nor proper and valid. The workman applicant deserves to be reinstated w.e f 13-06-1998 with full back wages. The bank is directed to reinstate Shri Naresh Kumar Gupta w.e.f 13-06-1998 within one month after publication of the award alongwith full back wages. In case of default the workman applicant will be entitled to get interest of 6% on the entire back wages

The award is given accordingly

Dated 14-10-2004

R N RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3053.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण मेनेजमेन्ट ऑफ नेशनल काउनसील फॉर टीचर ऐजुकेशन के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर (संदर्भ संख्या सी.जी.आई.टी. 18.2003) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं० एल.-42012/188/2002-आई.आर. (सीएम-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th October, 2004

S.O. 3053.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award Ref. CGIT-18/2003 of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of National Council for Teacher Education, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-10-2004.

[No. L-42012/188/2002-IR (CM-II)]

N P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT

JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-18/2003

Reference No. L-42012/188/2002 (IR (CM-II)

Sh Mohan Lal Sharma,
S/o Sh Gajanand,
R/o Kejroli, Tehsil-Chomu,
Distt Jaipur . .Applicant

Versus

1 Management of National Council for Teacher Education,
North, Regional Office,
16 M G Road,
Indraprastha State,
New Delhi

2 Regional Director,
National Council for Teacher Education,
A-46, Tilak Nagar,
Shanti Path, Jaipur. . Non-applicants

Present :

Presiding Officer **Sh. R.C. Sharma**

For the applicant . Sh B.M Sharma

For the non-applicants Sh. V.S. Gurjar

Date of award 30-9-2004

AWARD

1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 'D' of Sub-sections 1 and 2(A) to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (herein after referred to as the 'Act') has referred the following Industrial Dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under :—

"Whether the action of the management of National Council for Teacher Education in terminating the services of workman Shri Mohan Lal Sharma S/o Sh. Gajanand w.e.f. 20-8-96 and appointing his juniors is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. The workman in his statement of claim has pleaded that he was initially appointed to the post of 4th Class as casual labour on 26-12-95 on the consolidated wages of Rs. 1600/- per month, who continuously worked up to 20-8-96. But on 20-8-96, he was removed from the service by an oral order, whereas the junior persons to him, viz., Sh. Rakesh, Sh. Rajesh and Sh. Manoj were retained by the management. His averment is that he had completed 240 days in the calendar year preceding to his termination, but his service was terminated in violation of Section 25-f of the Act.

3. The non-appliants, in their written counter, have disputed the claim and have stated that the claimant is not a workman and the non-applicant management is not an industry as defined under the provision of the Act. They have further stated that the claimant was appointed as a casual worker in two different spell, first spell was w.e.f. 26-12-95 for a period of 59 days and in the second spell w.e.f. 4-4-96 to 28-6-96. He was engaged for a specific period with the conditions stipulated in the offer of appointment and the said termination is a result of non-renewal of the contract and hence, his case is attracted under Section 2(oo)(bb) of the Act. They have also denied that junior employees to the claimant were retained by the management.

4 In the rejoinder, the workman has reiterated the facts as stated in the statement of claim

5. On the pleadings of both the parties, the following points for determination were framed :—

I Whether the workman was appointed as Class IV employee on consolidated pay of Rs. 1600/- per month on 26-12-95 by the non-applicant management, who continued to work under the employment of non-applicant No. 2 up to 20-8-96 and thus had completed over 240 days in a calendar year? BOA

II Whether the service of the workman was terminated in violation of Section 25-f of the ID Act? BOA

III. Whether after the termination of the workman, junior employees to him viz. Sh. Rakesh and Sh. Rajesh were retained by the management? BOA

IV. Whether the workman is not covered by the difinition of the 'workman' as defined under Section 2-S of the ID Act? BONA

V Whether the management of National Council for Teacher Education does not fall within the definition of 'industry' as given under Section 2-J of the ID Act? BONA

VI. To what relief, if any, the workman is entitled to?

6. In the evidence, the workman has submitted his affidavit and on behalf of the non-applicants, the affidavit of MW-1, Sh. Anil Kumar, the Officer in-charge, has been brought on the record. Both the parties have also led the documentary evidence on the record.

7. I have heard both the parties and have scanned the record. The point-wise discussion follows as under :—

Point Nos. I & II

8. For the sake of convenience, both these points are discussed together.

9. The ld. representative for the workman contends that the workman was appointed as 4th Class on 26-12-95 who continuously worked up to 20-8-96. The ld. representative in support of his contention has relied upon the appointment letter Ex. A-1, letter for fixing the pay of the workman Ex. A-2, the experience letter dated 20-3-96 Ex. A-3 and the appointment letter Ex. A-13 dated 3-4-96. His submission is that thus the workman has completed 240 days of employment with the non-applicant management. The ld. representative has drawn my attention towards the unreportable decision dated 12-4-96 delivered by the Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court in the matter of DB Civil Special Appeal, Writ No. 571 of 1994, Jagdish Prasad Sharma v. Jaipur Development Authority which was further affirmed by the Hon'ble Apex Court vide its order dated 2-9-96.

10. Controverting this submission, the ld. representative for the management contends that the appointment of the workman was made for a specific period stipulating the conditions thereof by both these appointment letters. These appointsments were made for two different spells and the stipulations are incorporated therein. Since the appointments were made for a specific period and after the expiry of that, the employment of the workman came to an end by virtue of non-renewal of the contract. His next contention is that the period of employment has been wrongly reckoned on behalf of the workman who had not completed 240 days and the retrenchment is not attracted in the present case but the termination of the workman falls within the ambit of Section 2(oo)(bb) of the Act. According to his contention, the workman has completed only 229 days. In support of his submission, the ld. representative has placed his reliance upon (1997) 11 SCC 521; (2001) 5 SCC 540 and (2002) 5 SCC 654.

11. The workman in his affidavit has narrated the facts as stated in his claim statement who has deposed in his cross-examination that he was appointed on 26-12-95 for a period of 89 days, which were completed on 24-3-96 and thereafter he continued to work up to 20-8-96.

12. In the documentary evidence, he has brought on the record the various documents. Ex. A-1 is the appointment letter dated 26-12-95 which says that Sh. Mohan Lal Sharma is appointed as assistant employee on ad hoc basis for a period of 89 days or till such time the regular incumbent is appointed, whichever is earlier. By the order dated 2-1-96 Ex. A-2, his pay was fixed at the consolidated sum of Rs. 1660/- per month w.e.f. 26-12-95. Ex. A-3 is the experience certificate dated 20-3-96 stating that Sh. Mohan Lal is working w.e.f. 26-12-95 in this office. The next relevant document on the point is the appointment letter Ex. A-13 dated 3-4-96 which says that Sh. Mohan Lal is appointed as casual worker w.e.f. 3-4-96 to 20-8-96 or till such time the regular incumbent joints, whichever is earlier.

13. From the aforesaid documents, it is crystal clear that the workman had joined the department on 26-12-95 for a period of 89 days which come to an end on 23-3-1996. Ex. A-3 dated 20-3-96, the experience certificate states that the workman is working in the office w.e.f. 26-12-95, which shows that this certificate was issued prior to the completion of the 89 days on 23-3-96. If, therefore, follows that the workman vide appointment letter Ex. A-1 had worked for a specific period, viz., from 26-12-95 to 23-3-96 for a total period of 89 days. It appears that after the gap of about ten days, on 3-4-96 the workman was again employed vide appointment letter Ex. A-13 whereby he was engaged for a stipulated period w.e.f. 3-4-96 to 20-8-96, which works out of 140 days. Thus, on reckoning both these spells, the total number of days comes to 229 days only. On the strength of these appointment letters, the workman has claimed that he had completed 240 days of employment and was continuously working w.e.f. 26-12-95. Contrary to his oral evidence, the documentary evidence led by him leads to infer that he had worked only for a period of 229 days in two different spells. There is no evidence, oral or documentary, adduced on behalf of the workman on the record which goes to establish that the workman has completed 240 days of work in the calendar year preceding to his date of termination. Thus, the workman on facts has failed to prove the factum of completion of 240 days of employment with the management.

14. In Jagdish Prasad Sharma v. Jaipur Development Authority, the unreportable judgement referred to on behalf of the workman, the Hon'ble Court has held that in the order of the appointment of the workman, it is nowhere

stated that on the expiry of the period of six months the employment would automatically come to an end in view of the specific condition in the order of appointment that the service could be terminated at any time without notice, it cannot be construed to be a contract of employment for a fixed period. Therefore, Clause (bb) of Section 2(oo) of the ID Act is not attracted.

15. By contrast, in **(1997) 11 SCC 521,** the decision relied upon by the ld. representative for the management, the workman was appointed for a specific period of two months and the terms of appointment were enabling the employer to terminate the service at any stage without assigning any reason. The Hon'ble Apex Court has observed that the service of the workman was terminated on 13-2-87 as per the terms of contract of employment contained in the appointment letter which enabled the employer to terminate the service of the workman at any state without assigning any reason Since the service of the workman was terminated as per the terms of the contract of employment, it does not amount to retrenchment

16. In the another case cited in **(2001) 5 SCC 540,** referred to on behalf of the management, the Hon'ble Court has held that the production of Section 25-F of the Act is not applicable in the case of termination of service on expiry of contract of service for a fixed period. Similarly, in **(2002) 5 SCC 654,** the question of applicability of Section 2(oo)(bb) and Section 25-F arose before the Hon'ble Apex Court The facts thereof are that the employer was engaged in the business of procurement and supply of wheat who appointed the workmen for watching the stock of wheat lying in open area, who were appointed on contract basis as daily wagers till the disposal of the stock or for the period specified in the contract Their services were terminated after the clearance of the stock and on facts it was held that the termination of their services does not amount to retrenchment although they were effected without complying with Section 25-F of the Act The observation made by the Hon'ble Court is quoted as below —

> **"In such a case the question of complying with the conditions precedent to retrechment of a workman provided in Section 25-F of the Act will not arise. In the present case, the Lahour Court relying on the oral and documentary evidence cited on behalf of the management, particularly the order of the Managing Director sanctioning the engagement of the workmen concerned, held that the engagement/ appointment of the workmen concerned was for a specific purpose and for a particular period and since the purpose for their engagement/appointment was over and the period of appointment had expired, their disengagement was in terms of the contract of service, and therefore, not a "retrenchment" within the meaning of Section 2(oo) of the Act."**

17 The facts of this case are squarely applicable to the controversy at hand and the principles propounded in the decisions supra strengthen the submission made on behalf of the management. In the light of the oral and documentary evidence and the legal position discussed above, the workman has failed to prove that the termination of the workman tantamounts to retrenchment and it is found that his case is covered by the Section 2(oo)(bb) of the Act. Accordingly, he is not entitled for the protection of Section 25-F of the Act and both these points are decided against the workman and in favour of the management

Point No. III

18 The ld. representative for the workman contends that after the termination of the workman, the fresh hands were recruited by the management. The ld. representative, in this context, has relied upon the offer of appointment Ex A-3 made to Sh Manoj Kumar, appointment order of Sh Rakesh Kumar, Ex. A-4 and transfer order of Sh Rajesh Kumar, Ex A-5.

19 On the contrary, the ld representative for the management has urged that these orders of appointment/ transfer were issued by the Head Office, which are regular appointments and the workman cannot have any grievance against these appointments because the non-applicant has not made any appointment

20 The workman has pleaded in his claim statement that after his removal from the service, other persons, viz., Rakesh Kumar, Rajesh Kumar and Manoj Kumar were appointed and were retained by the non-applicants without any reason Therefore, he means to say that after his termination the fresh hands were recruited by the management without affording him an opportunity of employment Ex A-3 is an offer of appointment dated 30-1-97 made to Sh Manoj Kumar for the post of Farash-cum-Chowkidar, which has been issued by the Under Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi Ex A-4 is the appointment letter dated 4-3-97 whereby Sh. Rakesh Kumar was appointed to the post of Chowkidar It has also been issued by the same authority Thereafter Ex A-5 is the order of appointment dated 1-3-99, whereby Sh Rajesh Kumar, Peon in the office of NCTE, Bhuvaneshwar was transferred to the non-applicant department It has also been issued by the headquarters at Delhi On a scrutiny of these appointment/transfer orders, it appears that the appointment of Sh. Manoj Kumar and Sh Rakesh Kumar were made on the regular basis by the headquarters and their case is entirely different to that of the workman who was engaged on the basis of a contract for employment for a specified period and who had not completed 240 days of service in a calendar year under the management Hence, the workman has failed to prove that the fresh appointments were made by the management to the post on which he was appointed. As such, the contention canvassed on behalf of the workman is not tenable and is rejected.

Accordingly, this point is decided against the workman and in favour of the management

Point No. IV

21 The ld. representative for the management contends that in view of Section 2(oo)(bb) of the Act, the claimant was employed on the contractual basis for a specified period and on account of the non-renewal of that period, his engagement automatically came to an end Therefore, he is not a workman as defined under Section 2-S of the Act The ld representative for the workman has opposed this submission.

22 Under the foregoing paragraphs, it has been concluded that the workman was employment on contractual basis for a specified period and on account of the non-renewal of the contract, his employment came to an end Under these circumstances, it was a contract of service and the claimant does not fall within the ambit of Section 2-S of the Act Accordingly, this point is decided in favour of the management and against the workman

Point No. V

23 The ld representative for the management submits that this establishment cannot be considered to be an industry because the National Council for Teachers Education Act, 1993 confers sovereign functions to be carried out by the Council and the object of the Act is to run the teachers' training and the NCTE has been established with a view to achieve planned and guaranteed development of teacher education system throughout the country It is also primarily intended to regulate the operation of teacher training institutions and ensure proper maintenance of high standards and excellence in such institutions

24 Arguing contra, the ld representative for the workman contends that the institute is not a sovereign one and it is also a source of income as has been admitted by the management witness

25 Section 2-J defines 'industry' which means any business, trade, undertaking and includes any calling, service, employment of workmen In the light of this provision, it is to be determined whether the non-applicant management falls within the definition of the industry or not?

26 The management has examined MW-1, Sh Anil Kumar, the officer in-charge of the case in support of this submission, who has admitted in his cross-examination that in the institute the training is imparted to the teachers and for according the recognition to the educational institutions, the institute charges Rs 40,000/- per course for this purpose the printed application forms are issued on the payment of Rs 100 - as cost of each form He has further admitted in his cross-examination that the establishment is not a sovereign one

27. The ld representative for the workman has drawn my attention towards the decision cited in **AIR 1978 SC 548** wherein the Hon'ble Court has held that the universities and research institutes are industries. The Hon'ble Court has laid down the criteria as below :—

"The true test is the predominant nature of the activity. In the case of the university or an educational institution, the nature of the activity is, ex hypothesi, education which is a service to the community. Ergo, the university is an industry."

28 The Hon'ble Apex Court has even observed that the research institutes which run without profit-motive are industries The relevant observation is quoted as below —

"Even though a research institute may be a separate entity disconnected from the many industries which funded the institute itself, it can be regarded as an organization, propelled by systematic activity, modeled on co-operation between employer and employee and calculated to throw up discoveries and inventions and useful solutions which benefit individual industries and the nation in terms of good and services and wealth. It follows that research institutes, albeit run without profit-motive, are industries."

29 The ld representative for the workman derives assistance from the views expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court and on facts of instant case, it is held that the non-applicant establishment is an industry within the meaning of Section 2-J of the Act The contention advanced on behalf of the management is repelled and this point is accordingly decided in favour of the workman and against the management

Point No. VI

30 For the foregoing reasons, the workman has failed to establish that he is entitled for the protection under Sections 25-F and 25-H of the Act Accordingly, he is entitled to no relief

31 In the result, the reference is answered in the negative against the workman and in favour of the management and it is held that the action of the management of NCTE in terminating his service w.e.f 20-8-1996 is legal and justified and the claim of the workman is rejected. An award is passed in these terms accordingly

32 Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act

R C SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3054.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, आसनसोल

(संदर्भ संख्या 66/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29 10 2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं० एल. 22012/497/99-आईआर (सीएम-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th October, 2004

S.O. 3054.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No 66/2000) of the Central Govt Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court Asansol as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of BCCL and their workmen received by the Central Government on 29-10-2004

[No L-22012/497/99-IR (CM-II)]

N P KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ASANSOL

PRESENT : Md. Sarfaraz Khan
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 66 of 2000

PARTIES:

Agent, Damagoria Colliery of M/s B C C L P O Kalyaneshwari, Distt Burdwan — Management

Versus

Sri S D Gupta Attendance Clerk, Damagoria Colliery represented Sri S K Singh J M S (Union) — Workman

REPRESENTATIVES:

For the Management — Sri P K Das Advocate

For the Workman — Sri S K Singh Br Secretary, Janta Mazdoor Sangh Damagoria Colliery

Industry Coal — State West Bengal

Dated 22-09-2004

AWARD

In exercise of the power conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) the Govt of India, through the Ministry of Labour vide its Order No. L-22012/497/99/IR(C-II) dated 27-07-2000 has been pleased to refer the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of Damagoria Colliery under BCCL in denying to give effect of seniority of Sh. S. D. Gupta, Store Keeper, Grade-I, w.e.f. 30-3-84 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

After receipt of the above order of reference, summons were issued to the parties concerned by the Registered Post and in compliance of the said they appeared through their representatives and filed their respective written statement in support of their case.

2. The case of the delinquent workman in brief compass as set forth in his written statement is that Sri S. D. Gupta, Attendance Clerk of Damagoria Colliery was deputed to work as Store Clerk to cater to work load prior to 1984 and lastly he was confirmed and posted to work in the Store as Store Keeper w.e.f. 30-3-84 and as such as per the cadre scheme and norms of the company Sri Gupta is entitled to get wages and salary of clerical grade-I w.e.f. 30-3-84.

3 The further case of the workman is that the issue was raised before the management of the said colliery who agreed to re-designate Sri S.D. Gupta as Store Keeper Gr. I w.e.f. 30-3-84 without any financial benefit and accordingly Dy. Chief Personnel Manager CV Area had passed an office order vide letter No DCP 14/XII/03/A-10/260 dated 21/22-1-94. But after seven months the management came back to the signed agreement without any consultation with the union and cancel the above said office order of 21/22-1-94 which is unjust illegal and improper

4 On the other hand the defence case of the management as per its written statement in brief is that the present dispute is not maintainable in the eye of law

5 The main case of the management is that Sri S D. Gupta, was in clerical Grade-III working as Tripman till 20-12-83, who was re-designated and regularised as attendance clerk in clerical Grade-II from 20-12-83 He is said to had been authorised to work as additional Store Keeper and not a full-fledged Store Keeper w e f 30-3-84. As per the cadre scheme I I No 34 dated 17-7-84 of the company a person can be promoted in clerical Grade-I only if he is a matriculate with five years experience as Asstt Store Keeper in Clerical Grade-II

6 The further case of the management is that Sri Gupta was promoted as Store Keeper in clerical Grade-I as per vacancy from 4-12-90 on fulfilling the required eligibility criteria of a store cadre of the scheme In the light of the above said pleaded facts the management has claimed that the workman is not entitled for any other benefit

7 On perusal of the record it transpires that there are certain admitted facts which need not be proved and discussed.

8. It is admitted fact that Sri S. D. Gupta was working as Attendance Clerk in Damagoria Colliery and was deputed to work as Store Clerk and subsequently he was authorised to work as additional Store Keeper with effect from 30-3-84.

9. It is further clear from the record that photo copy of some of the office order of the colliery concerned have been filed which has been marked Exts. on behalf of the workman. Ext.-I is the office order DC/HC/00/84/382 dated 30-3-84 issued by the management. It Is clearly stated that to cope with the increase of collection of store material from D.C. Stores and other Project Workshop Sri S D. Gupta has been deputed and doing this job since last several months. He is hereby confirmed and posted to work in the Store as additional Store Keeper and will work under guidance from Engineer (Exevn.). Ext. II is the 2nd office order No. DCPM/111/03/B-10/94/260 dated 21-1-94 issued by the Dy. Chief Personnel Manager, CV Area mentioning that on the basis of the authorisation vide office order No DC/HC/00/84/382 dated 30-3-84. Sri S D. Gupta is re-designated as Store Keeper, Gr. I with effect from 30-3-84 but without any financial benefit This issues with the approval of G.M. Ext. III is office order No. DCPM : XII : 03 : B-10 : 94:1854 dated 12-8-94 through which the previous office order No. DCPM : XII : 03 : B-10 : 94 260 dated 2-2-94 was cancelled and Sri S.D. Gupta has been re-designated as Store Keeper Gr I willi effect from 4-12-90 vide office order No. PM/XII/03/B-10/90/4134 dated 4-12-90.

10. On the other hand a photo copy of the implementation instruction No. 34 dated 17-7-84 (Cadre Scheme) has been filed and Sunil Kumar Sinha. Clerk of Damagoria Colliery, B.C.C.L. has been examined as oral witness on behalf of the management in support of its case.

11. Now let us see as to how for the delinquent workman is entitled to get the wages and seniority of Store Keeper grade-I with effect from 30-8-84?

12. From perusal of the office order No. DC/HC/00/84/382 dated 30-3-84 (Ext I) issued by the Manager of the colliery it transpires that Sri S. D. Gupta had been deputed and doing the work of Store Clerk since last several months to cope with the increase in work of collection of Store material from D.C. Stores and other Project Workshop and subsequently he has confirmed and posted to work in the Store as additional Store Keeper. It is further clear from the letter itself that this letter was issued as per the discussion with the Union and the Management. From the spirit and contents of the said office order the contention of the Union finds support that there was an agreement between the Union and the Management, after considering the facts and justification of the case. to re-designate Sri S D. Gupta as Store Keeper Gr.-I w.e.f. 30-3-84 without any financial benefit. The Dy. Chief Personnel Manager. CV Area vide its letter No DCPM/XII/03/B-10/94/260 dated 21-1-94 issued an office order in this regard with the approval of G.M. and re-designated Sri S. D. Gupta as Store Keeper. Gr -I with effect from 30-3-84. The aforesaid both the office orders were issued by the Management on the basis of due consideration of the fact. circumstances and justification of the case of the workman and to that effect an agreement was prepared and duly signed by the Management and Union and accordingly these office orders were issued. So. it can't be said that both the authority who issued the aforesaid office order to give effect to the agreement reached between the management and union were a lay man and both the office orders suffer from the technical mistake.

13. Ext III is an office order dated 12-8-94 issued from the office of the Dy. Chief Personnel Manager. CV Area through which previous office order No. DCPM . XII : 03 : B-10 : 94260 dated 1-2-94 (Ext. II) has been cancelled This office order of cancellation has been issued just after lapse of seven months of the previous order (Ext. II). It is also clear that this order was not issued with the consultation of the union nor any opportunity was given to union for hearing before the cancellation of the previous order which was passed as per the agreement reach between the management and union. Besides this no cogent reason has been assigned to breach the agreement reached between the party which apparently does not appear to be just and proper and the same gives the smell of victimisation of the delinquent workman.

14 On the other hand the management has taken the plea that there is no designation as additional Store Keeper In the cadre scheme of the company and the Manager committed technical mistake by meaning the word additional as assistant which was rectified subsequently by the management through its office order (Ext. III) in support of the aforesaid pleading the management has filed the photo copy of the cadre scheme and referred the implementation instruction No. 34 dated 17-7-84 of the cadre scheme. Accordingly to which a person can be promoted in clerical Gr.-I only if he is a matriculate and has five years experience as Asstt Store Keeper in clerical Gr.-II

15. Let us see as to how for the present dispute comes under the purview of the said cadre scheme

16. It is clear from the very opening line of the cadre scheme that the implementation instruction No. 34 was formulated on 17-7-84 and accordingly the above cadre scheme were forwarded to the management with a request to take necessary steps to implement the same It is also provided in savings clause that all the executive instruction and others hitherto issued on the subject shall be deemed to have been repealed simultaneously with the coming into force of this scheme.

17. On perusal of the office order Ext I it transpires that the same was issued on 30-3-84 by the management through which Sri S.D Gupta was confirmed and was posted to work in the Store as additional Store-keeper. This office order was issued much before coming into force of the said implementation instruction of the cadre scheme and that scheme was even not then in existence and that is why the then Dy. Chief Personnel Manager, CV Area with the approval of G M. had issued its office order vide its letter No DCPM/XII/03/B-10/94/260 dated 1-2-94 through which Sri S.D. Gupta was re-designated as Store-keeper Gr I with effect from 30-3-84. The cadre scheme no where provides its implementation with the retrospective effect rather it has got it prospective effect. So issuance of the cancellation of office order No DCPM/XII/03/B-10/94/260 dated 1-2-94 by the management to give the retrospective effect of the said cadre scheme is absolutely wrong and baseless As such the implementation instruction No 34 dated 17-7-84 of the cadre scheme is not at all applicable in this case and the management can not take the benefit or advantage of this cadre scheme. Besides this the plea taken by the management that Sri Gupta was authorised to work as Additional Store-keeper which enmplies assistant to the Store-keeper from 30-3-84 itself falls flat that from the very statement of the witness Sri Sunil Kumar Sinha, Clerk of Damagoria Colliery examined on behalf of the management. He has categorically stated during his cross-exam. that the posts of Additional Store-keeper and the Store-keeper are equal From no any stretch of imagination it can be said that the word additional means an Assistant which has been misunderstood by the management.

18 Having gone through the entire facts, circumstances, evidence and the arguments advanced by the side of both the parties I am satisfied to hold that the action of the management of Damagoria Colliery under the B C C L in denying to give effect of seniority of Sri S.D Gupta, Store-keeper Gr I w.e.f 30-3-84 is not at all legal and justified and the delinquent workman is entitled to get wages and seniority of Store-keeper, Gr. I w.e.f. 30-3-84. Let the award be and the same is passed accordingly. The Secretary is directed to send the copy of the award to the Ministry of Labour for information and needful.

MD SARFARAZ KHAN, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अक्तूबर, 2004

का.आ. 3055. — औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14 की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, रिजनल प्रोविडेन्ट फन्ड कमिशन प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर (संदर्भ संख्या सी.जी.आई.टी. 12/2003) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं० एल 42012/139/2001-आई.आर. (सीएम-II)]

एन.पी. केशवन, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th October, 2004

S.O. 3055.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award Ref. CGIT-12/2003 of the Cent. Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the management of O/o The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, and their workmen, received by the Central Government on 29-10-2004

[No. L-42012/139/2001-IR (CM-II)]

N P. KESAVAN, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-12/2003

Reference No. L-42012/139/2001-IR(CM-II)

Sh. Manoj Kumar Raiger,
S/o Sh. Ram Chandra Raiger,
R/o Post Chavdia,
Tehsil-Jamva Ramgarh,
Distt Jaipur . Applicant

Versus

1. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner
Nidhi Bhawan, Vidyut Marg,
Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur.

2. Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner
(Administration),
Nidhi Bhawan, Vidyut Marg,
Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur . Non-applicants

Present :

Presiding Officer	Sh. R C. Sharma
For the Applicant	Sh. Sitaram Sharma
For the non-applicants	Sh Vimal Kumar Jain
Date of award	15-10-2004

AWARD

1 The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under Clause 'D' of Sub-sections 1 & 2(A) to Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Act') has referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under :—

"Whether the action of the management of Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Jaipur in terminating the services of workman Shri Manoj Kumar Raiger

S/o Ram Chandra Raiger w.e.f. 1-4-1992 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?''

2. Pursuant to the reference, the workman has submitted his statement of claim wherein he has pleaded that after inviting his name from the employment exchange he was appointed as 4th Class employee as per rules on 23-4-91 by the non-applicant establishment, who continuously worked up to 31-3-92. But his service was terminated on 1-4-92 without giving him one month's notice or pay in lieu of the notice and retrenchment compensation. He has further stated that the junior 4th Class employee Sh. Rup Lal Dangi was retained at the time of terminating his service in violation of Section 25-G of the Act and after his termination, the new appointments of the 4th Class, as named at para 4-C of the claim statement, were made by the management in violation of Section 25-H of the Act. He has categorically stated that he was employed against the permanent vacant post of the 4th Class. He has prayed to reinstate him with continuity of service and back-wages.

3. Resisting the claim of the workman, the non-applicants in their counter statement have averred that the workman was employed for a short period to fill the water in the coolers and the drinking water in the pitchers as a daily wager. They have denied that he was ever appointed as a 4th Class employee and have further denied that he continuously worked up to 31-3-92. They have categorically pointed out that the workman has worked during the spell w.e.f. April, 1991 to February, 1992 for certain days in each month and had thus completed only 190 days in the calendar year At para 2 of the counter statement, they have narrated the number of the working days in each month which comes to 190 days in total. They have denied that there is any permanent post of waterman in the establishment.

4 In the rejoinder, the workman has reiterated the facts as stated in the statement of claim.

5. On the pleadings of both the parties, the following points for determination were framed :—

I. Whether the workman was appointed by the non-applicant as 4th Class employee on 23-4-91 as per rules who continuously worked up to 31-3-92 and has thus completed more than 240 days in a calendar year ? BOA

II. Whether the service of the workman was terminated in violation of provision under Section 25-F of the Act ? BOA

III. Whether at the time of terminating the service of the workman, the junior employee to him, viz., Sh. Roop Lal Dangi was retained by the management in violation of Section 25-G of the Act ? BOA

IV. Whether after the termination of the workman, the non-applicant management has recruited Sh. Nathuram Balothiya, Sh. Sitaram Dhanika, Sh. Chetan Prakash Sen and Sh. Ramchandra Meena without affording him an opportunity of employment to the workman in violation of Section 25-H of the Act ? BOA

V. Relief, if any.

6. In the evidence, the workman has submitted his affidavit, who was cross-examined on behalf of the non-applicants. On behalf of the non-applicants, the affidavit of MW-1, Sh. R. S. Sisodiya, Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner, has been brought on the record, who was cross-examined on behalf of the workman.

7. The workman has chosen not to lead any documentary evidence, whereas the non-applicants have brought on the record as many as 3 documents.

8. I have heard both the parties and have scanned the record. The point-wise discussion follows as under :—

Point No. I & II

9. For the sake of convenience, both these points are discussed together.

10. The ld. representative for the workman contends that the workman's name was called for by the non-applicant establishment from the employment exchange who was appointed on 23-4-91 as a 4th Class employee and who continuously worked up to 31-3-92. His next contention is that he was a full-time employee who had completed over 240 days in a calendar year.

11. Arguing contra, the ld. representative for the non-applicant management submits that the workman's name was invited by the management from the employment exchange for his employment as a waterman on daily wages basis and he had completed only 190 days of work with the management. His next submission is that he was not a full-time employee.

12. Now, the first question which crops up for consideration is as to whether the workman was employed by the non-applicant management as a 4th Class employee as per rules ?

13 It is not disputed that the name of the workman was sponsored by the employment exchange on the request made by the management. The management has produced the letter of request Ex. NA-1 which was addressed on behalf of the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Rajasthan, Jaipur to the employment exchange whereby the applications for the daily wages employees (waterboys) were invited. Ex. NA-2 is the letter written by the Employment Exchange Officer which suggests that in pursuance of the aforesaid letter, a list containing the 41 names of the candidates were sent for consideration of employment as waterboy.

14. In the cross-examination, the workman has admitted that he used to fill the water in the coolers and drinking water in the water pots. Further, he has also stated that he used to perform the duties of a 4th Class, which appears to be his improved deposition, because he has earlier in his statement has admitted that his name was invited from the employment exchange along with other employees for the purpose of employing them as waterboys. It is thus obvious on the basis of the documents Ex. NA-1 and NA-2 that the workman was employed as a waterman on daily wages.

15. Then I turn to the next submission made on behalf of the workman as to whether he had completed 240 days of work in a calendar year preceding to the date of termination.

16. In the evidence, the workman has stated that from 23-4-91 to 31-3-92, he had continuously worked and has completed 240 days of work. As against it, the specific stand of the non-applicant management is that the workman was employed from May, 1991 to February, 1992, who had completed only 190 days. The number of the working days in each month of this spell has been detailed in the counter statement as well as in the affidavit of Sh. R. S. Sisodiya. To substantiate this stand, the non-applicants have also submitted on record the payment vouchers Ex. NA-3 on the basis thereof they have succeeded to establish their stand. Moreover, the ld. representative for the workman has also admitted that on the basis of payment vouchers, the number of actual working days comes to 190, which when calculated with 32 holidays falling in this duration amount to 222 days in toto. As such, from this angle also, the workman has not completed 240 days in the calendar year preceding to his termination. Thus, the workman in support of his submission that he had completed 240 days in the calendar year preceding to the date of termination could not be able to produce any cogent evidence. Accordingly, it is held that the workman has failed to prove that he was employed as a 4th Class employee who had completed 240 days of work during the calendar year preceding to his termination. The ld. representative for workman in support of the submission has referred to the decision 1997 (1) WLC (Raj.) 422, the facts thereof are entirely different from the present case and hence it does not help the workman. Accordingly, points number I and II are decided against the workman.

Point No. III

17. It is the case of the workman that at the time of his termination, his junior employee Sh. Rup Lal Dangi was retained by the management. MW-1, Sh. R. S. Sisodiya in his cross-examination has deposed that Rup Lal Dangi was appointed as a regular peon after the month of April, 1991. Although it is true that Rup Lal Dangi was appointed subsequent to the employment of the workman, yet as is evident from the discussion under points number I & II that the workman was employed as a waterman on daily wages basis, but Rup Lal Dangi was appointed by the management as a regular peon, who obviously belongs to a distinct category of the employees other that the daily rated workman. His appointed and continuation in the service at the time of termination of the workman is not attracted within the ambit of Section 25-G of the Act. As such, this point is also decided against the workman.

Point No. IV

18. The workman's case is that after terminating him from the service, Sh. Nathuram Balothiya, Sh. Sitaram Dhanika, Sh. Chetan Prakash Sen and Sh. Ramchandra Meena were subsequently appointed by the management without affording him any opportunity of employment in violation of Section 25-H of the Act.

19. When this question was put to the management witness Sh. R. S. Sisodiya, he has pleaded ignorance to such appointments made after 1992 by the management and has deposed that only after looking the official record, which was not available with him at the time of his deposition, he could be able to correctly point out this fact. However, the onus lies upon the workman to prove that after his termination such appointments were made, which he has failed to establish. Moreover, from the aforesaid observation, it is clear that the workman was employed as a waterman and if any subsequent appointment was made by the management to the post of a regular 4th Class, that pertains to a distinct category and on the basis thereof it cannot be presumed that the management has violated the provision contained under Section 25-H of the Act. Accordingly, this point is also decided against the workman.

20. The ld. representative for the workman has invited my attention towards JT 1996 (7) SC 181 and 1991 (2) RLR 158, but there facts are not similar to the present controversy and the workman does not derive any assistance from them.

Point No. V

21. For the aforesaid reasons, the claim of the workman deserves to be rejected and he is not entitled for any relief.

22. Consequently, the reference is answered in the negative against the workman and the claim of the workman is rejected. It is held that the action of the non-applicant management in terminating the service of the workman w.e.f. 1-4-92 is legal and justified. An award is passed in these terms accordingly.

23. Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act.

R. C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अक्तूबर, 2004

का. आ. 3056.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, दूर संचार विभाग के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जयपुर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सी.जी.आई.टी. 21/2002) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-10-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.-40012/78/2002-आई.आर. (डी.यू.)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th October, 2004

S.O. 3056.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. CGIT-21/2002) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Jaipur now as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Deptt. of Telecom and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 29-10-2004.

[No. L-40012/78/2002-IR (DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, JAIPUR

Case No. CGIT-21/2002

Reference No. L-40012/78/2002-IR(DU)

Sh. Mahesh Chand,
S/o Sh. Hazari Lal Harijan,
R/o Kherliganj, Tehsil Kathumar,
Alwar (Rajasthan) .....Applicant

Versus

1. The General Manager,
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited,
Alwar
2. The District Engineer,
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited,
Alwar
3. The Sub-Divisional Officer,
Bharat Sanchar Nigam Limited,
Alwar .....Non-Applicant

PRESENT:

Presiding Officer :	**Sh. R.C. Sharma**
For the applicant :	Sh. Neeraj Bhatt.
For the non-applicant :	Sh. T.P. Sharma
Date of award :	24-9-2004

AWARD

1. The Central Government in exercise of the powers conferred under clause 'D' of Sub-section 1 & 2 (A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as the Act) has referred the following industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal which runs as under:—

> **"Whether the action of the management of Telecommunication Department in terminating the services of workman Sh. Mahesh Chand w.e.f. 13-10-98 was legal and justified ? If not, what relief the workman is entitled and from what date ?"**

2. Pursuant to the reference, the workman has filed his statement of claim wherein he has pleaded that he was appointed to the post of Safai Karamchari on 15-8-87 by the Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd., Alwar (for short, Nigam) and was posted at Kherli Telephone Exchange, Kherliganj, Alwar, where he had worked for more than the prescribed hours of duty which were 8 hours a day, since he was the only safaiwala posted there. He has further stated that when he requested the non-applicant no. 3 to increase his salary, he learnt that the sweeping work was proposed to be assigned on contract basis in order to deprive him from his legitimate right. He has further averred that the Central Government of India under Section 10 of the Contract and Regulation and Abolition Act, 1970 issued a notification prohibiting contract of sweeping work of the premises belonging to the Government of India. He has then stated that he filed a suit before the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Khatyar, Distt. Alwar against his proposed termination, but during the pendency thereof his service was terminated w.e.f. 13-10-98 and on his application, the Id. Court granted him the permission to withdraw the suit with a liberty to challenge his termination before the Central Administrative Tribunal. Thereafter he filed an application before the CAT and in view of the Hon'ble Supreme Court's decision that telephone department was an industry within Section 2-S of the Act, he moved an application before the CAT seeking permission to withdraw his case with liberty to approach the competent court. The workman has challenged his termination on the grounds that he had completed more than 240 days of continuous service with the department in every calendar year and that his service was terminated in violation of Section 25-F of the Act. His other grounds of challenge are that his juniors were retained by the Nigam in violation of Section 25-G and the fresh hands were appointed after his termination in violation of Section 25-H of the Act. He has prayed for his reinstatement in service with its continuity and other consequential benefits.

3. The non-applicants resisting the claim have stated in their counter statement that in pursuance of the tender dated 30-9-98, the Nigam has engaged Smt. Krishna Devi, the mother of the claimant as Safaiwala on contract basis who is a necessary party in the present case. It has then been stated that the claimant had filed an application challenging his termination order after the expiry of two years before the CAT and on the ground of the delay, the claim is liable to be dismissed and that the suit filed on behalf of the workman before the

Civil Court was also dismissed on 28-4-2000. Clarifying their stand the non-applicants have pleaded that the claimant was engaged as a part-time safaiwala purely on contract basis for sweeping the premises, who had to work-3-4 hours per day, for which no written order was issued to the claimant and he was paid out of the contingent fund. It has also been stated that he was appointed against any regular post nor such post of safaiwala exists in the sanctioned strength of the Nigam. The non-applicants have further stated that on account of shifting of the telephone exchange building to the new increased area, the tender was invited for the said purpose and it was finalized in favour of Smt. Krishna Devi for which the claimant had agreed. The non-applicants have added that the claim is not covered by the provisions under the Act as the claimant was engaged as a part-time safaiwala on daily wages basis, that the claimant had never been an employee of the Nigam, that he had not completed 240 days in one calendar year and that the provision under Section 2(oo)(bb) is attracted in the present case. The non-applicants have denied any violation on their part of the provisions under 25-F, 25-G and 25-H of the Act respectively.

4. The workman in his rejoinder has sought to counter the facts as stated in the written statement by stating that Smt. Krishna Devi is not a necessary party in the matter as he has sought no relief against her, that the posts Sweepers on regular basis exist in the Nigam in the form of Divisional Railway Manager (Railway Regular Mazdoor) as per the service rules and that the CAT has accorded a liberty in favour of the workman to avail the appropriate remedy from the competent forum. It has been denied by the workman that he was employed on the contractual basis and that he had accorded his consent to the appointment of Smt. Krishna Devi.

5. On the pleadings of both the parties, the following points for determination were framed :—

I. Whether the applicant was appointed on the post of Safai Karamchari on 15-8-87 by the non-applicant management, who has completed more than 240 days continuous service with the Department in the every calendar year ? **BOA**

II. Whether in view of the notification issued by the Central Government under Section 10 of the Contract Labour and Regulation and Abolition Act, 1970, the non-applicants were otherwise restricted to get the cleaning work performed through a contractor ? **BOA**

III. Whether on terminating the service of the workman on 13-10-98, his juniors were retained and were subsequently absorbed in regular service ? **BOA**

IV. Whether fresh hands were appointed after the termination of the workman ? **BOA**

V. Whether Smt. Krishna Devi, who has been engaged on the post of Safaiwala is a necessary party in the present case ? **BONA**

VI. Whether the claim is liable to be dismissed on the ground of delay in filing the claim ? **BONA**

VII. Whether the present case is not covered under the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ? **BONA**

VIII. Relief, in any.

6. In the evidence, the workman has submitted his affidavit. In the defence, the counter-affidavit of MW-1, Sh. K. R. Verma, SDO, Telephone Exchange, Kherli and MW-2, Sh. L. S. Meena, Telephone Technician, Kherli have been brought on the record. Both the parties have also led the documentary evidence.

7. I have heard both the parties and have gone through the record. Point-wise discussion follows as under :—

Points No. I, VI & VII

7. All these points involve the identical facts. Hence they are discussed together as under.

8. The ld. representative for the workman contends that the workman was appointed as a Sweeper on 15-8-87 by the Nigam and the management has not specifically denied this fact. His further submission is that after a continuous service of 11 years, on 13-10-98, the service of the workman was terminated and in the above period he continuously worked. The case of the workman, therefore, falls under 25-B(1) of the Act and the ld. representative has pleaded that in the alternative the workman has completed 240 days in every calendar year. The ld. representative has placed his reliance on the certificates Ex. W-7 and W-11 issued by the management and has argued that on the basis of these two certificates it is proved that the workman had continuously worked from 1987 to the year 1996. The next contention advanced on behalf of the workman is that the oral evidence adduced on behalf of the workman is also trustworthy and he could not be cross-examined on the question of continuity of the service and that this fact could not be denied by the non-applicants in their evidence.

9. Per contra, the ld. representative for the non-applicants submits that the workman was not appointed by the Nigam, that no letter of appointment was issued to him, but he was engaged to discharge the work of sweeping and cleaning in the Nigam premises and that he has not completed 240 days of continuous employment. He has emphatically stated that it was simply an engagement and not an appointment by the Nigam. His next contention is that the workman was employed for a specified period. Therefore, the case of the workman is covered by the provision under Section 2(oo)(bb) and on account of non-renewal of the contract period, his engagement automatically came to an end. As such, it does not amount to the retrenchment. The ld. representative has further contended that on account of

the delay in raising the dispute, the claim of the workman is also not maintainable and his suit on the subject was dismissed by the Civil Court and his application was also rejected by the CAT. The efficacious remedy was not availed by the workman himself.

10. I have bestowed my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions and have gone through the judicial pronouncements referred to before me.

11. Now, the question which crops up for determination is as to whether the workman has completed 240 days of employment in the calendar year preceding to his termination under the Nigam and whether his service was retrenched ?

12. To substantiate his case, the workman has submitted his affidavit along with the documentary evidence. In his oral evidence, the workman has stated that on 15-8-87, he was appointed by the Nigam to the post of the Safaiwala who had continuously worked up to 13-10-98 as a full-time employee. On this point, the workman was cross-examined who has categorically stated that he was employed to discharge the duty for 8 hours per day, that he was appointed on 15-8-87 and that there were two buildings pertaining to the Nigam, the cleaning thereof was discharged by him alone. He has further emphatically stated that he had continuously worked for 10 to 11 years.

13. In support of his oral evidence, the workman has brought on the record the documentary evidence, viz., Ex. W-5, W-7, W-9, W-10 and W-11 to establish that he was employed by the Nigam who had continuously worked for a period of 10 to 11 years.

14. Ex. W-5 is a letter dated 21-5-92 addressed by the Telecom District Engineer, Alwar to the SDO for the increase of the wages in the case of the workman and wherein it has been mentioned that Sh. Mahesh Chand working as Sweeper in telephone exchange, Kherli has approached to the office for the increase of his wages as he has been working there for the last 5 to 6 years and he is having wages at Rs. 8/- per day since long. Ex. W-7 is a certificate dated 6-9-93 issued by the officer in-charge, telephone exchange, Kherli in favour of the working stating therein that Sh. Mahesh Chand Sweeper is working in the telephone exchange, Kherli for the last about 5-6 years as a Safaiwala on daily wages basis. Similarly, another certificate Ex. W-11 has been issued by the Jr. Telephone Exchange Officer, Kherli, dated nil, which says that as per the office record Sh. Mahesh Chand is working as a Sweeper since 1990 and whose work is found satisfactory.

15. Ex. W-9 is an application dated 23-12-96 presented by the workman before the District Engineer, Telephone Exchange, Alwar wherein he has requested that for the last 10 years he is working as a Safaiwala in the department who is working for 5 hours a day and he may be regularized as a regular Safaiwala. This letter was transmitted to the TDM, Alwar, by the SDO Kherli along with the forwarding letter Ex. W-10. Thus, from the certificates Ex. W-7, W-11 and the application of the workman Ex. W-9, it is clearly established that the workman was continuously working w.e.f. 1987. Thus, on the documentary evidence which pertains to the Nigam, this fact cannot be denied that the workman was sweeping and cleaning the premises of the Nigam as a daily wager continuously from the year 1987 to the end of the year 1996.

16. On behalf of the Nigam, Oral evidence of MW-1, Sh. K. R. Verma, the SDO, Telephone Exchange, Kherli and MW-2, Sh. L.S. Meena, the Telephone Technician, Kherli has been brought on the record. K.R. Verma's evidence is that the workman was employed for a specific period who used to work for 2-3 hours as a daily wager and that there is no sanctioned post of Safaiwala in the Nigam. Firstly, in his evidence Sh. K.R. Verma has not disclosed the specific period of employment of the workman, and secondly, no written agreement could be adduced on behalf of the Nigam in support of its evidence. This witness has admitted in his cross-examination that the workman was engaged on contractual basis, but it was not in writing, rather it was an oral agreement. He has too admitted that since July, 1987 he was engaged on contractual basis. Although he has deposed in his cross-examination that he was not continuously working and in his place the other labourers were employed, but no such plea has been incorporated in the written statement of the non-applicants. He has admitted in the cross-examination that the part-time wagers were paid the wages through payment form ACG-17 and has further admitted that the workman was paid the wages through this form from 1987 to 1998. Thus, as per the oral evidence of the management, the workman had continuously worked upto 1998.

17. MW-2, Sh. L.S. Meena has denied in his affidavit that the workman had ever completed 240 days of employment in a calendar year with the Nigam. But in his cross-examination he has admitted that the workman was even discharging his duty prior to 15-8-87. His testimony is that the workman was employed on the oral contractual basis, but the date of specified period he could not be able to disclose in his cross-examination.

18. On the scrutiny of the oral evidence adduced on behalf of both the parties this fact is further proved that the workman had continuously worked from 15-8-87 to 13-10-98. It was contended on behalf of the Nigam that the workman was employed on contractual basis as a daily wager whose case is attracted by the provision under Section2(oo)(bb) and on account of the non-renewal of the contract, his engagement automatically came to an end. Thus, it has been argued by the Id. representative, the case is not covered by the definition of the retrenchment. But it is evident from the foregoing analysis of the evidence, documentary as well as oral, that no documentary evidence regarding the execution of the agreement between the Nigam and the workman could be brought on the record and the oral evidence adduced on

behalf of the Nigam is feeble and untrustworthy. Therefore, the contention advanced on behalf of the Nigam on this point is unsustainable and is rejected accordingly.

19 It has then been argued on behalf of the Nigam that no such post of Safaiwala exist in the cadre of the Nigam But MW-1, K.R. Verma in his cross-examination has admitted that P&T manual is also applicable to the BSNL employees and under chapter 14 of the manual, relevant rules governing the 4th Class employees are incorporated wherein under sub-rule 2 to Rule 516 the post of Farash is mentioned. He has even pleaded ignorance whether the Farash is known as Safaiwala. Thus, it is evident from his evidence that the post of Farash/Safaiwala does exist under the relevant rules of the Nigam and the submission made on behalf of the Nigam is negatived accordingly

20 The ld. representative for the workman in support of his contentions, he has placed his reliance upto *AIR 2002 SC 508; 1996 (1) LLN Rajasthan 941* and has also placed a copy of the unreportable judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court in the matter of SB Civil Writ Petition No. 2813/1996 (Union of India v. Laxmi Narayan).

21 In *AIR 2002 SC 508,* the facts are that neither the workman produced any material in support of his case nor to rebut his claim any material was produced by the employer Under these circumstances, the Labour Court came to the conclusion that on appreciation of the material on record such as appointment letter and the termination order of the workman, he had worked with the employer for 240 days in a year. On these facts, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that the view taken by the Labour Court is in order.

22. In *1996 (1) LLN Rajasthan 941,* the question which arose for consideration before the Hon'ble Court was whether it was necessary for the workmen who has worked for 240 days every year except the last calendar year of his service and whether the termination of the workmen stands vitiated for non-compliance of Section 25-F read with Section 25-B of the Act. The Labour Court had recorded a finding that the workmen were in continuous service from the year 1978 to 1985, as such their case fell under Clause (1) of 25-B and they are entitled to be dealt with under the provisions of the Section 25-F of the Act. It was also held by the Labour Court that on this count the fact that the workmen did not actually work for 240 days in the last calendar year of their service,would not make any difference for attracting the provision under Section 25-F. The Hon'ble Court has held that in view of these facts, the Labour Court rightly held that there was non-compliance of Section 25 of the Act by the management and that the retrenchment of the workmen was illegal and void.

23 On similar facts, in the unreportable judgment in the matter of *Union of India v. Laxmi Narayan,* the Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court has followed its decision delivered in *1996 (1) WLN 941* wherein it has been categorically held that if a person is working continuously for the last several years then the requirement of working for 240 days in a calendar year preceding the date of retrenchment is not applicable The Hon'ble Court has further observed that as this contingency is provided in Clause 2 of Section 25-B of the Act and if a person is working continuously for several years, his services are to be governed by the provisions contained in Clause 1 of Section 25-B of the Act.

24. The contention canvassed on behalf of the workman is thus fortified by the decisions supra and on account of the continuously working with the Nigam from the year 1987 to the year 1998, the workman attracts the protection under Section 25-B(1) read with Section 25-F of the Act. His termination in violation of Section 25-F of the Act thus clearly amounts to the retrenchment.

25. It has also been argued on behalf of the Nigam that on account of the raising the dispute belatedly, the claim of the workman does not deserve to be allowed and his suit for reinstatement as well as his application filed before the CAT were dismissed. Countering this submission, the ld. representative for the workman contends that the workman has instituted a suit before the Civil Court for his reinstatment which was withdrawn by him. Then he filed an application before the CAT, which was also withdrawn and thereafter he raised an industrial dispute. The ld. representative has contended that on merits, the case of the workman was not rejected by the competent forum earlier and he has explained the delay. In support of his contention, the ld. representative has drawn my attention towards the decision reported in 2003 CDR (SC) 514.

26. It appears from the record that the workman had instituted a suit before the Court of Civil Judge, Jr. Division, Khatumar, Distt. Alwar wherein he had filed an application Ex. W-1 on 15-3-2001 that he is willing to file his case before the Labour Court, therefore, his case may be closed. Accordingly, by the order Ex. W-4 dated 29-4-2000, his application was accepted and the proceeding of the suit was closed by the Court. It appears that thereafter the workman had filed an application for getting the said relief before the CAT Bench at Jaipur and by the order of the Bench dated 15-3-2001 (Ex. W-1), his application was dismissed as withdrawn for presentation before the Competent Authority under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Thus, the workman has endeavored to show that during the period of said delay he had instituted the suit/application before the lawful authorities and subsequently in the lack of jurisdiction he had withdrawn them to present them before the competent forum.

27. In 2003 CDR (SC) 514, referred to on behalf of the workman , the facts are that it was argued on behalf of the employer that on account of the delay in raising the dispute by the workmen, the Hon'ble High Court was justified in denying the relief to the workmen. It was disagreed by the Hon'ble Apex Court and the Hon'ble Court has expresseed its views as under :—

> **"The delay would certainly be fatal if it has resulted in material evidence relevant to the adjudication being lost and rendered not available. However, we do not think that the delay in the case at hand has been so culpable as to disentitled the appellants to any relief. Although the High Court has opined that there was a delay of 7 to 9 years in raising the dispute before the Tribunal but we find the High Court factually not**

correct. The employment of the appellants was terminated sometime in 1985-86 or 1986-87. Pursuant to the judgment in Daily Rated Casual Labour vs. Union of India, (Supra) the Department was formulating a scheme to accommodate casual labourers and the appellants were justified in awaiting the outcome thereof. On 16-1-1990 they were refused to be accommodated in the Scheme. On 28-12-1990 they initiated the proceedings under the Industrial Disputes Act followed by conciliation proceedings and then the dispute was referred to the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court."

28. In the light of the aforequoted decisions, the submission made on behalf of the workman is strengthened and it is held that the delay in raising the dispute in the matter is not fatal under the facts and circumstances available on the record.

29. On the basis of aforesaid analysis of evidence, Point No. 1 is decided in favour of the workman and points no. VI & VII are decided against the non-applicants.

Point No. II

30. The ld. representative for the workman during the course of the arguments could not be able to draw my attention towards any notification issued by the Central Government under Section 10 of the Contract Labour Regulation and Abolition Act, 1970 and was unable to argue on this point. No such notification could be brought on the record by the workman. Accordingly, this point is decided against the workman.

Points No. III

31. The onus of proving this point lies upon the workman. The ld. representative for the workman does not press this point. Accordingly, it is decided against the workman.

Points No. IV & V

32. Both these points require a combined discussion and determination accordingly.

33. The submission made on behalf of the workman is that after terminating the service of the workman, one Smt. Krishna Devi was appointed in his place without offering the opportunity of employment to the workman. On the other hand, the ld. representative for the Nigam contends that Smt. Krishna Devi @ Krishni Devi is none else except the mother of the workman who was employed after inviting the tender with the consent of the workman

34. In his statement of claim the workman has not disclosed the names of the persons who were appointed subsequent to his termination and has simply stated that "fresh hands were appointed but neither the applicant was informed about the same nor any opportunity was given to the applicant for the same". On these facts, the workman has challenged the violation of the provision under Section 25-H of the Act. In his affidavit he is silent on this point and has not disclosed the name of any employee who was appointed by the Nigam after his termination, but has simply stated that the fresh hands have been recruited to the post of the Safaiwala after his termination. In his cross-examination, he has clearly admitted that the Nigam has not appointed any regular employee in his place. Thus, his testimony on this point is vague and indefinite, he has not disclosed the name of any employee who was recruited by the management subsequent to his termination and has admitted this fact in his cross-examination also.

35. Apart it, he has admitted in his cross-examination that the work of cleaning and sweeping is discharged by his wife presently. On behalf of the management, a letter Ex. M-1 dated 17-8-99 granting the tender of sweeping in favour of Smt. Krishni Devi, who has been told to be the mother of the workman, has been issued. This tender was granted for a period of one year

36. On 19-11-2003, the workman was cross-examined on behalf of the Nigam who has admitted the fact of performing the work of sweeping by his wife presently. Thus, on the basis of this evidence, it appears that no regular employee was recruited by the management after his termination and for a short period the work of sweeping was assigned to the mother of the workman on contract basis, which is presently being discharged by his wife. Thus, the workman has failed to prove the burden of this point that the fresh hands have been recruited by the Nigam after his termination and accordingly, the point No. IV is decided against him.

37. It does not appear on the basis of the aforesaid discussion that Smt. Krishni Devi is a necessary party in the present dispute. In the terms of the reference, she has also not been impleaded as a party. Thus, point no. V is decided against the non-applicants.

Relief

38. On account of the decision of point no. I in favour of the workman, his claim deserves to be allowed.

39. The ld. representative for the workman has submitted that the workman is entitled for the full back-wages and has relied upon the decision cited in AIR 1979 SC 75 whereas on behalf of the Nigam this submission is sought to be controverted by arguing that on account of the delay in raising the dispute. the workman is not entitled to get the back wages.

40. I have given my thoughtful consideration to this contention also and I have gone through the facts of the decision cited in AIR 1979 SC 75, which are dissimilar to the present controversy. But the facts of the decision cited in 2003 CDR (SC) 576, referred to by the ld. representative for the workman, are squarely applicable to the case at hand and following the guidelines set out by the Hon'ble Apex Court in this decision, it is held that on facts the workman is not entitled for the back-wages.

41 For the foregoing reasons, the reference is answered in the affirmative in favour of the workman and his claim is allowed. It is held that the termination of the service of workman w.e.f. 13-10-98 is illegal and unjustified and he is entitled to be reinstated in the service with its continuity but without back-wages. An award is passed in these terms accordingly.

42. Let a copy of the award be sent to the Central Government for publication under Section 17(1) of the Act.

R C. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 1 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3057.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार रीजनल सेरीकल्चरल रिसर्च स्टेशन के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण नं.-II नई दिल्ली के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 95/94) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 1-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/182/93-आई.आर.(डी.यू.)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 1st November, 2004

S.O. 3057.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 95/94) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court No II, New Delhi now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Regional Sericultural Research Station and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 1-11-2004

[No. L-42012/182/93-IR(DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER: CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT NO. II, NEW DELHI

PRESIDING OFFICER: R. N. RAI

I. D. NO. 95/94

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sh. Sunil Kumar S/o Sh. Devi Chand Sharma,
R/o Village and P.O. Sewla, Dehradun

VERSUS

Joint Director,
Regional Sericultural Research Station,
Sellakui, Dehradun

AWARD

The Ministry of Labour by its letter No. L-42012/182/93 IR(DU) Central Government Dt. 17-8-1994 has referred the following point for adjudication.

The Point runs as hereunder :—

"Whether the action of the management of Regional Sericultural Research Station in terminating the services of Sh. Sunil Kumar, S/o Devi Chand Sharma casual labour w.e.f. 1-1-90 is justified? If not what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

The claimant has filed statement of claim In the statement of claim, it has been stated that the workman applicant was appointed by the O.P. intially on 1-7-86 as casual labour and the workman applicant had worked with the opposite party till 31-10-90. That the opposite party/employer had terminated the services of the applicant in arbitrary manner on 1-11-90. That prior to terminating the services of the applicant the employer neither gave any notice or pay in lieu of notice or any compensation to the applicant.

That the applicant had worked for more than 240 days in a calendar year and in the year of 1990, the applicant had worked 264 days continuously. That the applicant had worked with full devotion and entire satisfaction of the employer. That after terminating the services of the applicant the employer has appointed some juniors to the applicant in place of the applicant and also regularised them, which is also discriminatory.

That the employer has contravened the provisions of section 25F of the Industrial Dispute Act, well the settled provisions of retrenchment. The termination of the applicant is totally illegal, arbitrary and void ab-intio. That after termination by the employer the applicant had made a number of representations but all in vain. That the termination of the applicant is punitive in nature and totally in utter disregard of the settled principles of retrenchment as laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court. That the termination of the applicant dated 1-11-90 is illegal and liable to be set aside and the applicant is entitled to be reinstated with continuity of services with full back wages and other benefits.

The management has filed written statement. In the written statement, it has been admitted that the workman in question Sh. Sunil Kumar Sharma, S/o Sh. Devi Chand Sharma, R/o Village and Post Sevla, Dehradun was engaged as casual labourer at RSRS, Majra on contractual basis with effect from 1-7-86 purely on temporary basis for a period of two months with an automatic end of the engagement period. (The copy of the engagement order is enlosed herewith as annexure-I). That the services of Sh Sunil Kumar after the contractual period of two months was utilized at different interval depending upon the nature of research work available in this station. After the initial contractual engagement for a period of 2 months in 1986, he was never engaged until 1989 March. No engagement orders were issued to him Thus there is no question of termination of his services as alleged by him.

The details of engagement of labourer during 1986, 1989 and 1990 till he gave up of the job of casual labour is enclosed as annexure-II. That the RSRS Central Silk Board has neither terminated the services of the labourer nor retrenched him. The labourer himself has given up the job for better prospects and better job elsewhere. He has been issued experience certificate only on his request to find better job elsewhere on the strength of certificate issued from a Government Department (annexures-III and IV). Sh. Sharma thereafter is reported to have worked for MES and private bulbs factories and other errands. Thus there is no question of giving any notice or compensation since he went away from the work as he was taken purely on casual

basis as and when required for research purpose from open market. That the details of the purely casual service rendered by the workman in question is enclosed as Annexure-IV

There was no termination of the services of the applicant as alleged by him as stated above. He left the job on his own in search of better job elsewhere. He was never engaged through local employment exchange. No labour engaged after him has been regularised as time scale labour as evident from Annexure-V.

That the RSRS, Majra of CSB is a research station established to provide research and development support to the state sericulture department in the state of U.P. which looks after the development of this cottage industry for affording self employment in the rural areas of this backward state. The major portion of the research work in this station is seasonal where the labourers have been engaged as and when required. Therefore, the question of compliance with Section 25F was not found to be necessary as at no time the strength of casual hand engaged went beyond 15 number.

That as stated earlier there is no termination or retrenchment of the applicant as alleged by him. The said labourer never represented for his reinstatement after he had left the job on his own in 1990. It is to be mentioned that Central Silk Board during 1992-93 initiated a scheme for regularising all casual labourers with continuous services of 5 years as time scale labourers with more than 240 days each year. The management has denied most of the paras of the statement of claim.

The workman has filed rejoinder. In his rejoinder, he has denied most of the paras of the written statement and has reiterated the averments of his statement of claim. Evidence of both the parties has been taken.

Heard arguments from both the sides and perused the papers on the record. There are two points for decision. The first is whether the workman has worked for 240 days or not and the second point is whether the management is an industry and the Industrial Disputes Act is applicable or not. It was submitted from the side of the management that the management has given temporary appointments from 1-7-1986 as is evident from Annexure I and it is quite explicit from Annexure II, that the Joint Director, Regional Sericultural Research Station, Dehradun has issued certificate and on that certificate, there is a seal of Joint Director so this paper is absolutely admissible in evidence. In this experience certificate, the management has given the working experience of the workman applicant and according to this certificate, the workman applicant has completed 290 days in 1989 and 264 days in 1990. As such, for two years, the workman has worked for more than 240 days according to certificate of the management itself. The management has given certificate regarding his work of 10-09-1986 to 09-11-1986. These papers can also prove that the workman has worked for more than 240 days in two 12 calendar months. There is a circular from the Central Silk Board that those who have completed five years should be absorbed. But this circular is not applicable in the present case, in case, the Central Silk Board is found to be an industry. So far as the first point is concerned, it is proved to the hilt that the workman applicant has completed 240 days. My attention was drawn to 2002 AIR SCW 44. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that in case the workman has completed 240 days work in a calendar year, he is entitled to be reinstated. In view of this judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the workman is entitled to be reinstated as he has completed 240 days work in two 12 calendar months. It is no longer disputed that the workman has not completed 240 days so he deserves to be reinstated in case the management is an industry. The rules of the Central Silk Board will not prevail against the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the ID Act Section 25 (F). It has been specifically mentioned that in case the workman completes 240 days work, section-25F of the ID Act is attracted and the he is entitled to be reinstated.

The second point for consideration is whether the management is an industry or not. It was submitted from the side of the management that the management is not an industry. It is a Research Station established to provide and Develop support to the Sericultural Department in the State of U.P. which looks after the development of the Cottage Industries for supporting self-employment in the rural areas of this backward state. The major portion of the research work in this station is Sericultural where the labourers have been engaged as and when required, as such, section 25-F of the ID Act is not applicable. The workman applicant has certainly not been appointed to do any research work. the management witness has admitted that technical operations involved in such work are invariably seasonal in nature. But according to the certificate, the workman applicant has worked all the year. It was submitted from the side of the workman that Central Silk Board carries on business of silk also. It gives loan to the cottage industries and sells silk produced by them so it is an industry and according to Annexure-V, it is also clear that a number of labourers are working under the Central Silk Board and the Central Silk Board decided in 1992 to regularise all the labourers who have completed 5 years' service. The rules of the Central Silk Board cannot override the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act. The management is carrying on consistent human activities and it is engaged in business also that is providing loan to the cottage industries and developing the cottage industries. As such, the management is an industry in view of Bangalore Water Supply.

My attention was drawn to JT-1997 (4) SC 527. This judgment is not applicable in the facts and circumstances of this case. The Central Silk Board is not discharging govenment functions in Government of India. As such, it

is an industry, the law cited by the management is not applicable.

It was further submitted that the workman applicant himself abandoned work but the workman applicant has written letter in 1991 to the management to give him employment so it cannot be said that the workman applicant has himself left the work. At the earliest moment, he has represented his claim to the management. As such, there is no force in the argument that the workman applicant has himelf abandoned the work.

From the above discussions, it becomes quite clear that the respondent management is an industry and the workman applicant has completed 240 days in two 12 calendar months so Section 25-F of the ID Act is attracted and he is entitled to be reinstated.

The workman applicant is a mannual worker. The manual worker does some work in order to sustain his subsistence so this workman must be doing some sort of work to earn his livelihood. In the facts and circumstances of this case, 20% back wages would be sufficient to meet the ends of justice.

The reference is replied thus :—

The action of the management of the Regional Sericultural Research Station in terminating the services of Sh. Sunil Kumar, S/o Devi Chand Sharma casual labour w e f 1-1-1990 is not justified. The workman applicant is entitled to be reinstated with 20% back wages w.e.f. 1-1-1990 within one month of the publication of the award. In case of default, the workman applicant will be entitled to an interest of 6% per annum on the entire back wages till date.

The award is given accordingly.

R. N. RAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 3 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3058.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा.को.को.लि. के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय धनबाद II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 71/95) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/170/94-आई.आर.(सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 3rd November, 2004

S.O. 3058.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 71/95) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on 2-11-2004.

[No. L-20012/170/94-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD

PRESENT

Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial dispute under Section 10(1) (d) of the I.D. Act., 1947

REFERENCE NO. 71 OF 1995

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of M/s. BCCL and their workmen.

APPEARANCES

On behalf of the workmen		Mr. S.C. Gaur, Advocate
On behalf of the employers	:	Mr. D. K. Verma Advocate.
State : Jharkhand	:	Industry : Coal.

Dhanbad, the 19th October, 2004.

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/170/94-IR(Coal-I), dated, the 19th April, 2003.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Bhowra (North) Colliery of M/s. BCCL in wrongful dismissal from service of Shri Suresh Roy, Miner/Loader w.e.f. 26-6-1989 is justified? If not to what relief the concerned workman is entitled to ?".

2. The case of the concerned workman according to Written Statement submitted by the sponsoring Union on his behalf in brief is as follows :—

The sponsoring Union submitted that the concerned workman was appointed by the management as Miner/ Loader in the year 1981. They disclosed that long after his appointment management issued chargesheet No. PS/87(N) U.G. Mines/CS/76 dt. 14-8-1987 to him for committing alleged misconduct under clause 27(2) and 27(17) of the Certified Standing Ordres and also simultaneously lodged FIR to local P.S. with the allegation of false impersonation and on the basis of that FIR a Criminal Case was started against him U/S 419/420/467/468 I.P.C. and it was registered as G.R. Case No. 2427/87. After receiving chargesheet the concerned workman submitted his reply denying all the charges brought against him but the management without accepting his reply started domestic enquiry against him through Enquiry Officer appointed by them arbitrarily

knowing fully well that a Criminal Case was pending against him before the Learned Court of the Judicial Magistrate.

They submitted that in spite of raising protest by the concerned workman in the matter of proceeding with the hearing of domestic enquiry during pendency of the said criminal case the enquiry officer did not give any importance to the same and proceeded with the hearing of domestic enquiry. He further alleged that the enquiry officer did not give him proper opportunity to defend his case. In other words the management conducted perfunctory enquiry and dismissed him from service vide letter No. PS/89/N/UG Mines/Dismissal/615 dt. 26-6-87. He submitted that Learned Judicial Magistrate vide order dt. 30-7-92 acquitted him from all charges brought against him by the prosecution in view of FIR lodged by the management. He submitted that against the said order of acquittal management did not prefer any appeal.

He alleged that the action of the management in dismissing him from service under the fact and circumstances of the case was illegal, arbitrary and in violation of the principle of natural justice. Accordingly, he raised an Industrial Dispute for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. The concerned workman accordingly submitted his prayer to pass award directing the management to reinstate him in service from the date of his dismissal with full back wages and other consequential relief.

3. Management on the contrary after filing Written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring Union asserted in the Written Statement on behalf of the concerned workman.

They submitted that the concerned workman taking the name of Sri Suresh Roy S/o Late Bhagwan Roy entered in the service of the management as dependent son of late Bhagwan Roy, Ex-Mining Sardar of Bhowra (N) Colliery and was employed as Badli Miner/Loader in the year 1981.They disclosed that thereafter on confidential information it revealed that the actual son of Bhagwan Roy submitted application for his appointment as his dependent son and his application was under process by the management to offer him the job of Badli Miner/Loader at Bhowra (North) Colliery. However, said actual son of Suresh Roy got his appointment as Security Guard in CISF on 1-5-1980 and he was allotted Force No. 8017426. He was transferred from CISF Unit, BCCL to CISF unit of M.P.T., Goa on 2-3-85. They alleged that the concerned workman taking advantage of the situation that late Bhagwan Roy was having only one son named Suresh Roy, which name had been mentioned in all his service particulars and on getting appointment as Security Guard in CISF there was no claimant for employment of dependent in place of said late Bhagwan Roy, he surreptitiously identifying himself as Suresh Roy S/o Late Bhagwan Roy and procuring false relationship certificate from Mukhiya entered in the service of the management in the year 1981 as Badli Miner/Loader. Thereafter, on the basis of Confidential information received from the Adviser (Security) dt. 31-1-87 a chargesheet dt. 14-8-87 was issued to the concerned workman for committing misconduct under clause 27(2) and 27(17) of the Certified Standing Orders applicable to the workman of the establishment. He was charged for commission of misconduct of theft, fraud, or dishonesty in connection with company's business and property and for giving false information regarding his name, age, father's name etc. at the time of his employment. However, the concerned workman denied all the charges brought against him. However, as the reply given by him was not satisfactory the Disciplinary Authority decided to hold domestic enquiry against him and accordingly, appointed Sri R.N. Ghosh D.P.M. (E) of Bhowra Area as the Enquiry Officer and Sri A.K. Singh, Senior Personnel Officer, Bhowra (North) as Presiding Officer of the management. Thereafter, when the enquiry officer fixed date for hearing domestic enquiry against him he submitted representation on 12-10-87 expressing his inability to attend hearing of the domestic enquiry proceeding till finalisation of the Criminal Case pending against him. They submitted that in response to that letter the Enquiry Officer by his enquiry notice dt. 15-10-87 fixed another date of enquiry on 11-11-87 and informed the concerned workman that the departmental enquiry was different from the prosecution in a Criminal Court and he should attend the enquiry on that date without fail. The concerned workman did not attend the enquiry fixed on 11-11-87 and submitted an application stating that it would not be possible for him to attend the enquiry as Criminal Case was pending against him. Thus he made it clear that he would not attend the enquiry to be conducted by the Enquiry Officer relating to the chargesheet issued to him. The enquiry officer again issued letter dt. 7/8-12-87 fixing the enquiry on 15-12-87. But he did not turn up and for which the Enquiry Officer proceeded with the enquiry exparte and thereafter submitted his report dt. 20-12-89 holding him guilty to the charges brought against him. The Disciplinary Authority thereafter considering the Enquiry report and also considering all material facts dismissed the concerned workman from his service by letter dt. 26-6-89. They submitted that Disciplinary Authority neither committed any illegality nor took any arbitrary decision violating the principle of natural justice in dismissing the concerned workman from his service and for which he is not entitled to get any relief in view of his prayer

4. **POINTS TO BE DECIEDED**

"Whether the action of the management of Bhowra (North) Colliery of M/s. BCCL in wrongful dismissal from service of Shri Suresh Roy, Miner/Loader w.e.f. 26-6-89 is justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled?"

5. **FINDING WITH REASONS**

It transpires from the record that before taking up hearing of this case on merit it was taken into consideration whether domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman by the Enquiry Officer was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice or not. The said issue on preliminary point was decided vide order No. 34 dt 12-10-99 with the observation that domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was not fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice and for which opportunity was given to the management to adduce evidence on merit with a view to establish the charge brought against the concerned workman. It transpires from the record that management with a view to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman examined three witnesses viz. MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3. On the contrary the concerned workman did not consider necessary to adduce any evidence in support of his claim.

Now let it be taken into consideration if the management on merit have been able to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman. Considering the facts disclosed in the pleadings of both sides there is no dispute to hold that the concerned workman got his appointment in the year 1981 as Miner/ Loader at Bhowra (North) Colliery. It is the contention of the management that the concerned workman claiming himself the son of late Bhagwan Roy who was Ex-Mining Sirdar of Bhowra (N) Colliery got his appointment in that capacity on compassionate ground. They alleged that by producing false relationship certificate issued by the Mukhia and claiming himself as Suresh Roy S/o said Late Bhagwan Roy got his said appointment. They submitted that Suresh Roy was the only son of said Late Bhagwan Roy and as he got his service as Security Guard in CISF on 1-5-80 the concerned workman taking its full opportunity procured the said job of Miner/Loader by false impersonification From the Written Statement submitted by the sponsoring union on behalf of the concerned workman I find no whisper if the concerned workman got his appointment as Miner/Loader on compassionate ground after the death of Late Bhagwan Roy. Even in the rejoinder filed on behalf of the concerned workman there is no whisper as regards to the claim of the management as stated above Management submitted that after receiving secret information over this issue they issued chargesheet to the concerned workman for commission of misconduct under clause 27(2) and 27(17) of the Certified Standing Order applicable to the workman of the management. The chargesheet during evidence on merit was marked as Ext. M-5 The chargesheet speaks as follows :—

"BHARAT COKING COAL LIMITED

(SUBSIDIARY OF COAL INDIA LTD)
BHOWRA COLLIERY, P O BHOWRA (DHANBAD)

Ref. No. 18/87/(N)/U G Mines/CS/76 Dt 14-8-87.

To

Sri Suresh Roy,
D.C.L. 23/9 Incline
Bhowra (N) U.G. Mines.

CHARGE SHEET

It has been reported that your actual name is not Suresh Roy, but you are impersonating as Suresh Roy S/o Late Bhagwan Roy, Ex-Mining Sirdar of Bhowra (N) Colliery. It is further learnt that you are alleged to be the brother of Late Bhagwan Roy, and got yourself into employment of BCCL as Badli Miner/Loader in the year 1981 as son of Late Bhagwan Roy through fraudulent and dishonest means by securing false relationship certificate from Local Mukhiya, Sri Suresh Roy, the real son of Late Bhagwan Roy, is working as Security Guard (Force No. 8017426) with C.I.S.F. and presently posted in **'GOA'**

Your above act amounts to misconduct under Clause No. 27(2) and (17) of the Certified Standing Order applicable to this Establishment which reads as under :—

"27(2)—Theft, fraud of dishonesty in conneciton with the Company's business or property.

27(17)—Giving of false information regarding his name, age, father's name, qualification or previous service at the time of employment."

You are hereby asked to explain in writing within 48 hours from the receipt of this Chargesheet as to why Displinary action should not be taken against you.

Meanwhile you are hereby suspended pending enquiry.

Sd/-Illegible

Agent,
Bhowra (N) U.G. Mines."

To substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman, management examined three witnesses including Suresh Roy (MW-3) who claimed himself as the son of Late Bhagwan Roy who was Ex-Mining Sirdar of Bhowra (N) Colliery. MW-1 during his evidence produced the form B Register for the employees and I.D. Card Register also for the employees and the same were marked as Ext. M-1 and M-2 respectively. The particulars of the concerned workman in the Form B Register was recorded in Sl. No. 3949. It transpires that the concerned workman as Badli Miner/Loader got his appointment on 19-10-81 and joined in the service on 2-11-81. In Col. No. 4 of this register Father's name of the concerned workman was recorded as Late Bhagwan Roy. He also in Col. No. 13 of this register put his signature as Suresh Roy. During cross-examination of MW-1 this fact was not denied either by the sponsoring Union or the concerned workman himself. From the I.D. Card register Ext. M-2, I also find corroboration of the particulars of the concerned workman recorded in the Form B Register. Form B Register is considered as Statutory Register

under the Mines Act. Therefore all particulars recorded therein are considered as valid until and unless its contents are not denied by cogent evidence. It is also not the case of the concerned workman that his father's name is not Late Bhagwan Roy and he did not get his appointment as Badli Miner/Loader. The specific claim of the management is that after the death of Bhagwan Roy, Ex-Mining Sardar of Bhowra (N) Colliery the concerned workman by false impersonification and using forged document got his employment on compassionate ground. It is not the case of the concerned workman that he did not get his appointment on compassionate ground as Badli Miner/ Loader after the death of Bhagwan Roy. It is the contention of the management that Suresh Roy, the actual son of late Bhagwan Roy got his appointment at CISF and his Identity No. is 8017426. In support of this claim management relief on the letter issued by the present employer (marked as Ext. 4). From this letter it speaks clearly that father's name of Suresh Roy who was employed under CISF was Bhagwan Roy The address of Bhagwan Roy given in this letter is same and identical with the address recorded in col. No. 9 of Sl. No. 3949 of the Form B Register Therefore, it is clear that in the same address two persons named Suresh Roy are coming forward whose father's name are same. Of the said two Suresh Roy one Suresh Roy was employed by the management on compassionate ground and the other Suresh Roy got his employment under CISF. In course of the evidence of MW-1 learned Advocate for the concerned workman raised objections in marking the photocopy of the document marked as Ext M-4. MW-1 during his evidence disclosed that original of the letter as found missing they relied on its photo copy. Evidence Act is not strictly followed in recording evidence and also in the matter of acceptance of documents in establishing any case under Industrial Disputes Act. Here the principle of evidence Act is followed in the matter of recording evidence and acceptance of any documents. It has been categorically submitted by MW-1 that original letter is found missing and for which they relied on its Xerox copy. No evidence on the part of the sponsoring Union is forthcoming to show that the Xerox Copy of letter marked as Ext. M-4 was manufactured for the interest of this case. Accordingly, I find no cogent ground to uphold the objection raised by the Learned Advocate for the sponsoring Union. The copy of appointment letter issued by Commandant CISF BCCL Jharia Ext. M. 1 shows that Suresh Roy son of Bhagwan Roy got his appointment as Security Guard in C.I.S.F. *vide* letter dt. 18-8-80. On the contrary concerned workman identifying himself as Suresh Roy son of Bahgwan Roy of the same address got his appointment under the management, as Badli Miner/Loader on 19-10-81. Therefore appointment of the concerned workman was subsequent to the appointment of Suresh Roy son of Bhagwan Roy at CISF. Management in course of hearing relied on the identification certificate affixed with photograph of the concerned workman as Suresh Roy issued by Mukhia (Ext. M-2). The photographs of the concerned workman Suresh Roy appearing in this identification certificate absolutely talies with his photograph affixed in the I.D. Card register maintained by the management (Ext. M-2). Therefore, it is absolutely clear that the photogrpah affixed in the certificate is the concerned workman who got his employment as Badli Miner/Loader under the management disclosing his father's name as Late Bhagwan Roy.

MW-3 during his evidence disclosed that his father Bhagwan Roy who was Mining Sardar died in the year 1975 and thereafter he submitted application for his appointment under the management but the management did not provide him any employment. Thereafter he got his employment under CISF as Security Guard and now he is posted at F.C.I. Dighaghat, Patna as Havilder. His old Force No. was 8017426 and his present No. is 802280068. During his evidence he categorically denied the photograph appearing in the certificate Ext. M-2 as of his own. He further categorically denied that the concerned workman is the son of his father Bhagwan Roy. It transpires from the document marked as Ext. M-1 that in response to the letter issued by the management the Asstt. Commandant, CISF forwarded a copy of Appointment letter of Suresh Roy son of Late Bhagwan Roy. Ext. M-1/1. Considering all these documents as mentioned above and also considering the evidence of MW-3 I find no dispute to hold that Bhagwan Roy who was ex-Mining Sardar of Bhowra (N) Colliery had two sons and the name of his eldest son is Suresy Roy who got his appointment as Security Guard under CISF. The concerned workman has got his appointment as Badli Miner/Loader disclosing his name as Suresh Roy, Father's name as Bhagwan Roy. The home address given by him absolutely tallies with the same address of Suresh Roy in his service record maintained by CISF which is a security wing under Control of Ministry of Home affairs. It is not the case of the sponsoring Union that Bhagwan Roy had two sons in the same name i.e. Suresh Roy. It is clear considering evidence of the management that Suresh Roy of CISF is the son of Bhagwan Roy who was Ex-Mining Sardar. If the principle of Sec. 106 of the Evidence Act is taken into consideration the concerned workman cannot avoid his responsibility to establish that he is the son of late Bhagwan Roy who was Ex-Mining Sardar of Bhowra (N) Colliery and who got his appointment on compassionate ground. It is really astonishing to note that the concerned workman inspite of getting ample opportunity did not consider necessary to adduce any evidence in support of his claim. He also did not consider necessary to produce a single scrap of paper to show that he was actually the son of late Bhagwan Roy. It is seen that during domestic enquiry the concerned workman did not appear with a view to substantiate his claim. He although evaded in facing domestic enquiry taking the plea that a Criminal case was pending against him. He further disclosed that learned Magistrate acquitted him from all the charges brought against him.

It transpires that FIR was lodged against the concerned workman at local P.S. simultaneously with the issuance of chargesheet to him by the management for committing misconduct under clause 27(2) and 27(17) of the Certified Standing Order. Considering certified copy of the Judgment passed by Learned Judicial Magistrate Dhanbad Ext. W-1 it is clear that police after completing investigation submitted chargesheet against the accused person i.e. the concerned workman U/s. 419/420/467/468 I P. Charge accordingly was framed against the accused persons under the sections referred to above. I have carefully considered the judgment passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate and it transpires clearly the accused person i.e. the concerned workman was aquitted from that case as the prosecution in course of trial failed to examine a single prosecution witness. Therefore, it is clear that the Learned Magistrate could not got scope to pass judgment on merit for the worthlessness of the prosecution. Relying on the said order of the Learned Magistrate the representative of the concerned workman submitted that the order of dismissal passed by the management is liable to be set aside and in support of his claim he relied on the decision of the Hon'ble Karnataka High Court reported in 2002 LAB IC. 1011. In para 14 & 15 of the said decision it has been observed as follows :—

Para-14

In (1992) I Lab L.J. 226 the Bombay High Court has held as under :

> "When once an employee is honourably acquitted completely exonerated at the criminal trial, normally the Port Trust could not have started proceeded with the departmental enquiry unless they have strong and sound reasons to do so." (Para-13)

Para-15

The Supreme Court in AIR 1999 SC 1416 after noticing more than a dozen cases ultimatley rules in paras 34 and 36 as under :—

> "In the instant case the Superintendent of Police had raised the residential premises of the appellant and had recovered a mining sponge gold ball wieghing 4.5 grams and 1276 grams of gold bearing sand It was on this basis that a criminal case was launched against him. On the set of facts, constituting the raid and recovery, departmental proceedings were initiated against the appellant as the recovery was treated to be a "misconduct." On the service of the chargesheet, the appellant raised an objection that the departmental proceedings may be stayed as the basis of these proceedings was the raid conducted at his residence on which basis a criminal case had already been launched against him. The findings reco ded by the Inquiry Officer, indicate that the charges framed against the appellant were sought to be proved by the police officer and panchw itnesses, who have raided the house of the appellant and effected recovery. They were the only eye witnesses examined by the inquiry officer, and the enquiry officer relaying upon the statements came to the conclusion that the charges were established against the appellant. The same witnesses were examined in the criminal case but the Court on consideration of the entire evidence, came to the conclusion that no search was conducted nor was any recovery made from the residence of the appellant. The whole case of the prosecution was thrown out and the appellant was acquitted. In this situation, therefore, where the appellant is acquitted by a Judicial pronouncement with the finding that the "raid and recovery" at the residence of the appellant were not proved, it would be unjust unfair and rather oppressive to allow the findings recorded at the ex parte departmental proceedings to stand."

The decision of Division Bench of this Court is revered by the Apex Court in the said case. After noticing all the judgments the Apex Court has rules that the workman is entitled for reinstatement subsequent to acquittal. I have followed the said judgment of mine in W.P. No. 1828/97 dated 24-3-2000 confirmed in W.A. No. 4244/0000 on 18-2-2000. Following the said judgment I have again ruled in ILR 2000 Kar 229 (Sic) that no proceedings can be initiated after acquittal on same/similar facts."

The decision speak clearly that the same were disposed of on merit after considering the evidence of the prosecution witnesses. Here in the instant case Learned Magistrate could not get any scope to dispose of the Criminal case pending against the concerned workman on merit because of the fact that the prosecution failed to examine a single witness. Moreover, the subject matter of the instant case is quite a different one. Here the specific charge of false impersonification, fraud, dishonesty has been brought against the concerned workman.

Learned Advocate for the management relied on the decision reported in 2003 Supreme Court cases (L and S) 468. In the said decision their Lordships of the Hon'ble Apex Court in para 15 observed clearly that acquittal in the Criminal case is not determinative of the commission of misconduct or otherwise, it is open to the authorities to proceed with the disciplinary proceedings notwithstanding acquittal in the criminal case.

Therefore in view of the observation made by the Hon'ble Apex Court there is no scope to say that the management committed any illegality to proceed with the hearing of the enquiry proceeding through Enquiry Officer appointed by the Disciplinary Authority. It is seen that taking the plea of pendency of the criminal case over the self same issue the concerned workman avoided to face the domestic enquiry started against him though he was fully aware of this fact. The concerned workman though pleaded for stay hearing of the domestic enquiry did not consider

necessary to bring any stay order from the appropriate Court. As there was not stay order there was no hindrance on the part of the Enquiry Officer to proceed with the hearing of the enquiry. Excepting the plea taken by the concerned workman as discussed above he has failed to assign any other reason for causing his non-appearance at the time of hearing of the domestic enquiry proceeding though due notice was given to him by the Enquiry Officer.

The charge on the ground of committing misconduct which was brought against the concerned workman appears to be very serious in nature. He had ample scope to adduce evidence in support of his claim while the instant case was taken up for hearing on merit. But lamentably he has failed to avail the opportunity of the same. A father might have two sons but it is unbelievable that the name of the said two sons will be same. MW-3 during his evidence disclosed that he was the eldest son of his father and joined as Security Guard under CISF. Considering the materials on record which I have already discussed above it has been established that MW-3 i.e. Suresh is the actual son of late Bhagwan Roy who was Mining Sardar at Bhowra (N) Colliery. The concerned workman also has claimed Bhagwan Roy of the same address as his father but has failed to produce a single scrap of paper though taking the principle of Sec. 106 of the Evidence Act there is scope to say that onus was shifted on him to substantiate his claim. His only contention is that as he was acquitted from the Criminal Case he should be considered as the son of late Bhagwan Roy. I have already discussed above that the said Criminal case was not disposed of on merit. It was the fault of the prosecution why they could not examine a single witness but for that reason there is no scope to draw conclusion that the concerned workman was the son of late Bhagwan Roy Ex-Mining Sardar of Bhowra (N) Colliery and got his employment on compassionate ground after the death of his said father. It is the specific claim of the management that the concerned workman knowing fully well that he was not the son of Bhagwan Roy procured the false proof of indentification from Mukhia and used the same for procuring his employment that he was Suresh Roy son of late Bhagwan Roy. The concerned workman had ample scope to produce relevant papers to show that he is Suresh Roy but that too he has failed to produce. There is sufficient scope to hold that the concerned workman evaded himself to face the hearing knowing fully that he is not actual Suresh Roy son of late Bhagwan Roy. Had that not been so there was no reason for him to appear and participate in course of hearing of this case alongwith all supported material documents.

In view of my discussions above and also after careful consideration of all the material facts and circumstances I hold that the management have been able to substantiate the charge brought against the concerned workman under clause 27(2) and 27(17) of the Certified Standing orders.

It is admitted fact that the concerned workman was dismissed from his service by the Disciplinary Authority as the misconduct committed by him was established. Now the point for consideration is whether the concerned workman deserves any lenient view against the order of dismissal passed U/s. 11A of the I.D. Act., 1947. Section 11A of the I.D. Act, 1947 speaks as follows :—

> "Where an Industrial dispute relating to the discharge or dismissal of a workman has been referred to a Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal for adjudication and in the course of the adjudication proceedings, the Labour Court, Tribunal or National Tribunal, as the case may be, is satisfied that the order of discharge or dismissal was not justified, it may, by its award set aside the order of discharge or dismissal and direct reinstatement of the workman on such terms and conditions, if any, as it thinks fit, or give such other relief to the workman including the award of any lesser punishment in lieu of discharge or dismissal as the circumstances of the case may require."

Therefore, according to the definition of this Section, it is to be looked into whether order of dismissal passed against the concerned workman was justified and if it was proportionate in relation to the misconduct committed by him. It has been exposed clearly in view of my discussions above that by false impersonification and also using false document exerted undue influence upon the management to procured his employment as Badli Miner/Loader knowing full well that he was not Suresh Roy and his father's name was not Bhagwan Roy and also knowing fully well that he never lived in the address of the said Bhagwan Roy. Such act on the part of the concerned workman was amounted to fraud and dishonesty in connection with the business of the management. Full opportunity was given to him to substantiate his claim not only in course of hearing domestic enquiry proceeding but also in course of hearing the instant case on merit by this Tribunal but he has failed to avail the same.

The misconduct which the concerned workman committed is serious in nature and I do not find any cogent ground to say that the order of dismissal passed against him was unjustified and disproportionate to the offence committed by him. Accordingly I do not find any sufficient scope to review the quantum of punishment imposed upon him by the Disciplinary Authority invoking the provision as laid down U/s. 11A of the I.D. Act. I, therefore, hold that the order of dismissal passed against the concerned workman by the Disciplinary Authority was legal proper and justified as it did not violate the principle of natural justice.

In the result, the following Award is rendered :—

The action of the management of Bhowra (North) Colliery of M/s. BCCL in wrongful dismissal from service of Shri Suresh Roy, Miner/Loader w.e.f. 26-6-89 is justified. Consequently, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief."

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 3 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3059.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा०को०को०लि० के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 119/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-11-2004 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/525/2000-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 3rd November, 2004

S.O. 3059.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 119/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 2-11-2004

[No. L-20012/525/2000-IR (C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2), DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference U/S 10(1)(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 119 of 2001

PARTIES Employers in relation to the management of Bastacola Area of M/s BCCL Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT : Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri R. N. Ganguly, Advocate.

For the Workmen : Shri S.C. Gour, Advocate.

State : Jharkhand Industry : Coal.

Dated, the 25th October, 2004.

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/525/2000 (C-I) dated 29-3-2001 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of Koyla Ispat Mazdoor Panchayat for regularisation of Sri Chota Chandra Paswan on the post of Dhowra Supervisor by the management of M/s. BCCL Bastacola Area is legal and justified? If so, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from what date ?"

2. The case of the concerned workmen according to the written statement submitted by the sponsoring Union on his behalf, in brief, is as follows :—

The sponsoring Union submitted that the concerned workman initially got his appointment at Kujama Colliery under Bastacola area by the erstwhile employer on 11-10-71 and was regularised after nationalisation of the said colliery on 1-5-92. They submitted that in the year 1984 management entrusted the concerned workman with the job of Electrical Helper in Category-II. They submitted that in the year 1992 there was natural wastages and for which acute shortage of clerical job come into existence. Management with a view to meet such exigency, after proper scrutiny etc. engaged the concerned workman as clerk in the Lamp Cabin Room and since then he started discharging his duties as clerk in Grade-III continuously to the satisfaction of his superiors. They submitted that in the year 1997 said Kujama colliery was closed but a large number of quarters were occupied by their workers though they were transferred to other colliery. As a result the management with a view to upkeep the said residential quarters engaged the concerned workman as Dhowra Clerk in Clerical Grade-III and started working in that capacity since December, 1997. They submitted that the concerned workman thereafter personally and through his sponsoring union submitted several representations to the management for his regularisation as Dhowra Supervisor in clerical Grade-III and also claimed payment of difference of wages of clerical Grade-III and Category-III, but as the management did not consider his such prayer he raised an industrial dispute for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. The sponsoring union accordingly submitted prayer to pass award directing the management to regularise him as Dhowra Supervisor from December, 1997 and also to pay difference of wages since February, 1992.

3. Management on the contrary after filing written statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claim and allegations which the sponsoring union asserted in the written statement on behalf of the concerned workman.

They submitted that after introduction of Cadre Scheme for clerical staff there is no scope for regularisation of any workman in the said clerical cadre. They submitted that the post of clerk in Grade-III is only filled up through test/selection from the eligible candidates on the basis of merit-cum-suitability. The selected candidates are empanelled and job in the post clerk is given to the empanelled candidates according to availability of vacancy.

They disclosed that the concerned workman was electrical helper and according to cadre scheme he was to be promoted to the post of electrician in Category-IV from the post of electrical helper provided he possesses wireman's certificate prescribed for the said post. From category-IV he is eligible to get his promotion in Category-V and Category-VI after obtaining Supervisor's Certificate. Even he may be promoted to the post of Asstt. Foreman, Foreman and Foreman Incharge.

They alleged that the concerned workman with a view to get undue advantage fabricated certain documents in connivance with the local management to show that he was entrusted with the job of Dhowra Supervisor and relying on the same placed his demand for change of cadre from time-rated category to clerical grade. They submitted that local management have been strictly instructed through various circulars not to divert any time-rated workers on the job of clerical nature. Accordingly, local management have no authority to engage any time-rated or piece-rated workers on the job of clerical nature. They submitted that even if for temporary purpose without obtaining due approved from the Headquarter any such order is issued in favour of any workman, the same should be considered illegal and without jurisdiction and that workman should be debarred from demanding any benefit therefrom.

They submitted the management of any colliery is debarred from issuance of any order converting a time rated workman in Categories-I, II or so to Clerical Gr. III. Accordingly, they submitted that if the workman obtained any such order in connivance with local management that bears no vlaue in the eye of law to act it upon. They in the circumstances submitted prayer to pass award rejecting the claim of the concerned workman/sponsoring union.

Points to be decided

4. "Whether the demand of Koyla Ispat Mazdoor Panchayat for regularisation of Sri Chota Chandra Paswan on the post of Dhowra Supervisor by the management of Ms/. BCCL Bastacola Area is legal and justified? If yes, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from what date?"

Finding with reasons

5. It transpires from the record that the sponsoring union with a view to substantiate the claim of the concerned workman examined him as WW-1. Management also in support of their claim examined one witness as MW-1.

Considering the facts disclosed in the pleadings of both sides and also considering evidence of WW-1 and MW-1 there is no dispute to hold that the concerned workman initially joined at Kujama Colliery under Bastacola Area as a workman in Category-I as far back as in the year 1971. It is the claim of the concerned workman that the management in the year 1984 entrusted him with the job of electrical helper in Category-II and thereafter in the year 1992 he was engaged as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk. It is further contention of the concerned workman that in the year 1997 Kujama Colliery was declared closed and the workmen engaged in the said colliery were transferred to different places. He disclosed that Mundrika Prasad who was Dhowra Supervisor posted at Kujama Colliery also was transferred to Lodna Colliery and for which the said post fell vacant. In the year 1997 management for the interest of up-keeping the residential quarters of the workers of Kujama Colliery engaged him as Dhowra Supervisor and in support of his claim he relied on the letter issued by the management marked Exts. W-1, W2 and W5. He alleged that inspite of his rendering service as Dhowra Supervisor continuously and uninterruptedly since 1997 the management, inspite of submitting representation by him did not consider necessary to regularise him.

On the contrary, from the evidence of MW-1 who was Manager from 1997 to 1998 and again started discharging his duties as Manager, Kujama Colliery during his evidence stated that initially the concerned workman was appointed as General Mazdoor in Categry-I and thereafter he was posted as electrical helper. He disclosed further that in the year 1995 the said colliery as declared closed majority of the workmen though left the said colliery management provided aiternate job to some workers for maintenance of water, electric supply and also for sanitation and other protection works etc. The witness disclosed that during 1998 Mundrika Singh, Dhowra Supervisor was transferred to Lodna area and for which the concerned workman was authorised to work as Dhowra Supervisor but as he did not do so he was provided with the job of Fire Protection work in 2002. This witness disclosed that then Manager engaged the concerned workman to perform the job of Cap Lamp Issue Clerk as per Coal Mines Regulation from 1992 to 1995.

It is the specific claim of the concerned workman that as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk he continuously and uninterruptedly discharged his duties from 1992 to 1995 and in support of his claim he relied on the certificate issued by the Manager, Kujama Colliery, Ext. W-3. From this certificate it transpires that the concerned workman worked for more than 240 days in each year from 1992 to 1995. The concerned workman also relied on the certificate issued by the Manager, Kujama Colliery, Ext. W-2 to show that since 8-12-97 he was authorised to discharge his duties as Dhowra Supervisor and in that capacity till the date of issuance of this letter dated 23/31-7-99 he performed his duties

It is admitted fact that the post of Cap Lamp Issue Clerk as well as the post of Dhowra Supervisor comes under Clerical Grade-III. It is the specific contention of the Management that the post of Clerk Grade-III is a cadre post and the said post is filled up from the successful candidates through selection/test as per provisions of

NCWA. They further submitted that there is no scope as per NCWA to change the cadre of any workman without following the procedure as laid down therein. It is their contention that the concerned workman was electrical helper in Cat. II and for which without facing selection/test there was no scope to get his posting in clerical Grade-III which comes under Cadre post. Moreover, the Local Manager of the colliery holds no authority to post any workman of Category-II to the post of Clerk in Gr. III without following the procedure and approval of the Headquarter. It is their specific allegation that the concerned workman in connivance with local management manufactured the documents marked as Exts. W-1, W-2 and W-3 and for which relying on the same there is no scope to uphold the claim of the concerned workman.

It has been admitted by the concerned workman that neither he appeared in the selection/test nor he received any letter of appointment authorising him to work as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk or Dhowra Supervisor in Clerk Gr. III.

MW-1 in his evidence disclosed that after Mundrika Singh, Dhowra Supervisor was transferred to Lodna Area from Kujama Colliery in the year 1998. The concerned workman though was authorised to discharge his duties as Dhowra Supervisor in his place did not discharge his duties so In this connection letter dated 14-5-99 marked as Ext. W-5 may be taken into consideration. From this letter it transpires that the concerned workman, electrical helper was engaged in cap lamp room since 19-5-92 also marked as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk as and when required after May, 1995 he was engaged in other alternative T/R jobs and after transfer of Sri Mundrika Singh, he was authorised to work as Dhowra Supervisor. A proposal was made by the Manager, Kujama Colliery addressed to Dy. C P M . Bastacola Area-IX for regularisation of the concerned workman to the post of Dhowra Supervisor alongwith others.

From this proposal Ext W-5 it transpires clearly that the concerned workman though was engaged in Cap Lamp Room in the year 1992 was only authorised to work as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk as and when required Accordingly there is no scope to say at all that from 1992 to 1995 he worked as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk continuously and put his attendance for more than 240 days in each year in that capacity. Awaring fully well of this fact the Manager, Kujama Area issued a letter to Dy. C.P.M , Bastacola Area-IX dated 10-10-96 Ext W-3 showing that the concerned workman as Cap Lamp Issue Clerk performed his duties for 263 days, 268 days, 241 days and 274 days during 1992, 1993, 1994 and 1995 respectively Considering my discussion above there is sufficient reason to draw conclusion that the Manager in collusion with the concerned workman issued such letter to Dy C P M Accordingly I find no scope to give any importance to this letter with a view to consider the claim of the concerned workman.

From the proposal marked as Ext. W-5 it transpires that as regular Dhowra Supervisor, Mundrika Singh was transferred, the concerned workman was asked to look-after the job of Dhowra Supervisor at Kujama Colliery. Therefore, there is no scope to arrive into conclusion to the effect that the concerned workman got his appointment as Dhowra Supervisor No evidence is forthcoming to the effect that continuously he performed such job On the contrary, MW-1 in his evidence disclosed that the concerned workman did not perform his duties as Dhowra Supervisor though he was asked to do so. This fact will get its support from the letter dated 21-9-99, Ext. W-1 Inspite of this fact the Manager, Kujama Colliery issued a certificate dated 23/31-7-99, Ext. W-2, certifying that the concerned workman was working as Dhowra Supervisor since 8-12-97 on transfer of Mundrika Singh. The evidence of MW-1 and facts disclosed in the letter marked as Ext. W-1 finds no bearing with the contents of the certificate, marked as Ext. W-2.

Considering all these aspects there is sufficient reason to believe that some officials were very much interested to arrange regularisation of the concerned workman as Dhowra Supervisor in Grade-III knowing fully well about the procedure as per NCWA how a workman is considered eligible to get his regularisation in Clerk Grade-III and also knowing fully well of the fact that there was strict prohibition in switching over the designation of one workman in Grade-III from his category.

Considering all aspects carefully there is sufficient reason to believe that there was no transparency in making claim of the concerned workman for his regularisation in Grade-III from Category-II. It is seen that some officials of the local management for the reason best known to them issued some favourable orders in favour of the concerned workman knowing fully well about standing direction of the Headquarters. They were fully aware about eligibility criteria of getting promotion in Clerk Grade-III by a workman. They were also fully aware that as per the eligibility criteria the concerned workman was not at all eligible to get any authorisation to discharge his duties as Dhowra Supervisor but that was done which proved clearly that a nexus was created in between them and the concerned workman.

As the concerned workman has failed to establish his eligibility to get his regularisation in Clerical Grade-III as Dhowra Supervisor from Category-II, I consider that he is not entitled to get any relief.

5. In the result, the following award is rendered -

The demand of Koyla Ispat Mazdoor Panchayat for regularisation of Sri Chota Chandra Paswan on the post of

Dhowra Supervisor by the management of M/S BCCL Bastacola Area is not legal and justified. Accordingly, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 3 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3060.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भा०को०को०लि० के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय, धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 93/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-11-04 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/204/98-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 3rd November, 2004

S.O. 3060.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref 93/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 2-11-2004.

[No. L-20012/204/98-IR (C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2) DHANBAD

In the matter of reference U/s 10(1)(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 93 of 1999

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of Kusunda Area

AND

Their Workman

PRESENT : Shri B. Biswas, Presiding Officer

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : Shri S N. Sinha, Advocate

For the Workman : None

State : Jharkhand . Industry : Coal

Dated, Dhanbad, the 18th October, 2004.

3422GI/04—19

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/204/98-I.R. (C-I) dated 29-1-99 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal .

> "Whether the action of the management of Kusunda Area of BCCL in not considering the application dated 23-8-95 of Smt. Soman Mati Kamin for V.R.S. (F) and not giving her the benefit of the scheme is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. The case of the concerned workman according to the written statement submitted by the sponsoring union on her behalf is as follows :—

The sponsoring union submitted that the concerned workman is working as Ash Kamin at Kusunda Colliery since 1971. They submitted that the management formulated V.R Scheme for female employees of the company to the effect that their male sons will be taken to the post of Miner/Loaders in their place who are below the age of 35 years on the day they retire from the service under the said scheme In response to that call the concerned workman submitted application in proper form to the management on 23-8-95 alongwith other female workers for employment of her son, Chatram Panka. It has been alleged that the management though accepting the applications of other female workers forwarded the same to the higher authority for consideration and though their sons had been employed under the said scheme as Miner/Loader did not consider her application and thereby indulged pick and choose policy in accepting the V.R. Even the management did not consider necessary to inform the concerned work near the ground for refusal of his prayer when in similar situation they accepted the V R. of other female workers. They alleged that the decisions of the management was illegal, arbitrary and it violated the principle of natural justice. In the circumstances, the concerned workman raised an industrial dispute through sponsoring union for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication. The sponsoring union accordingly submitted prayer to pass award directing the management to provide employment to the son of the concerned workman accepting her V.R

3. The management, on the contrary, after filing written statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring union asserted in the written statement filed on behalf of the concerned workman.

They submitted that the concerned workman approached the management for providing employment to one person named Chatram Panka as her dependant

son after accepting her resignation under V.R. Scheme for female workers She made her applicaiton after the expiry of the claim and also failed to produce any document in support of her claim that Chatram Panka was her dependent son

They submitted further that over the claim of the concerned workman an enquiry was made and it was found that the concerned lady had no son and she was influenced by some leaders to induct one stranger in that name describing him as her son. As the concerned lady failed to support her claim with relevant documents her case was not considered but further time was given to her to submit relevant documents Inspite of giving time the concerned workman failed to produce any cogent paper in support of her claim, as a result her claim though could not be considered she was allowed to continue in her employment.

They further submitted that no workman had the right to demand for acceptance of resignation under V.R.S. (F) and it was purely at the option of the management to consider each case on its own merit before accepting resignation of a particular woman and suitability of a person required to be appointed as well as the genuinity of the relationship In case of doubtful nature, the management had every right to reject such application without entering into establishing the falsity or genuinity of such relationship. In the circumstances they submitted that the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief and for which her claim is liable to be rejected.

Points to be decided :

4 "Whether the action of the management of Kusunda Area of BCCL in not considering the application dated 23-8-95 of Smt Soman Mati Kamin for V R.S. (F) and not giving her the benefit of the Scheme is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

Finding with reasons :

5 It transpires from the record that the sponsoring union inspite of getting ample opportunities did not consider necessary to adduce any evidence with a view to substantiate their claim The management also have failed to adduce any evidence As no material evidence is forthcoming on either side let me consider relying on the facts disclosed in the pleadings of both sides if the claim of the sponsoring union stands on substantial footing or not with a view to get relief as prayed for

Considering the pleadings of both sides I find no dispute to hold that concerned workman Smt. Soman Mati Kamin was posted at Kusunda Colliery as "Ash Kamin" There is also no dispute to hold that the management launched V R Scheme for the females with the assurance that one sone of the female worker will be provided with employment of Miner Loader if any female worker tenders her resignation and the same is accepted by the management It is the contention of the sponsoring union that in response to that call the concerned workman submitted application to the management alongwith other female workers on 23-8-95 with prayer for providing employment of her son Chatram Panka accepting her resignation. It has been alleged by the concerned workman that the management though accepting V.R. of other female workers provided employment to their sons refused to consider her prayer illegally, arbitrarily and violating the principle of natural justice.

On the contrary from the contention of the management it appears that when an enquiry was made over the claim of the concerned workman it exposed that she had no son named Chatram Panka. Accordingly, she was asked to submit necessary paper in support of her claim that inspite of giving opportunity she failed to produce any cogent paper in support of her claim. It is the allegation of the management that when the concerned workman had no son of her own she under influence of some leaders claimed for employment of a stranger, who was not actually her son. It is the contention of the management that the said scheme was launched to provide employment to the genuine dependant of the female worker and not to the fictitious person. The management further submitted that it was their discretion whose employment should be given accepting resignation of female workers. Therefore as a matter of right no female workers is eligible to claim such employment.

Considering the facts and circumstances it is therefore clear that though the concerned workman placed claim for employment of her son named Chatram Panka on the basis of V.R. Scheme the management did not accept her prayer taking the ground that on enquiry it revealed that the said person was not her son. There is no dispute to hold that the management through that Scheme assured employment of genuine dependant of the female workers. It was therefore expected that female workers who intended to opt that Scheme would come forward with clean hand. It is the claim of the concerned workman that the management though considered the claim of other female workers did not consider her claim. The management before refusing to accept claim of the concerned workman gave her opportunity to produce relevant papers to show that Chatram Panka was her son. Considering submission of the management it transpires that the concerned workman failed to avail that opportunity. There is no material to this effect that they whimsically or arbitrarily rejected her claim. No satisfactory explanation was assigned by her about the reason for non production of relevant papers to satisfy quarry of the management. I consider that the management did not commit any illegality asking the concerned workman to produce relevant documents particularly when question of giving employment was involved.

Even the sponsoring union at the time of hearing before this Tribunal got ample scope to substantiate

their claim that Chetram Panka was the actual son of the concerned workman and the management inspite of getting knowledge of this fact illegally refused to give him employment accepting her resignation under V.R. Scheme. I find no hesitation to say that inspite of getting ample opportunity they did not consider necessary to prove the claim in question. As onus absolutely was on the concerned workman to satisfy her claim she cannot avoid her responsibility to prove the same.

It should be taken into consideration that facts disclosed in the pleading cannot be considered as substantive piece of evidence in absence of its corroboration. As the sponsoring union inspite of getting ample opportunity failed to substantiate their claim just relying on the facts disclosed in the written statement there is no scope to uphold their contention and for which they are not entitled to get any relief.

5. In the result, the following award is passed suomoto by this Tribunal:

The action of the management of Kusunda Area of M/s B.C.C. Ltd. in not considering the application dated 23-8-1995 of Smt. Soman Mati Kamin for V.R.S. (F) and not giving her the benefit of the scheme is justified. Accordingly, the concerned workman is not entitled to get any relief.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 3 नवम्बर, 2004

का. आ. 3061.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार टिस्को के प्रबंधतंत्र के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय धनबाद-II के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 84/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-11-04 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल. 20012/15/98-आई.आर. (सी 1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 3rd November, 2004

S.O. 3061.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. 84/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Dhanbad-II now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of TISCO and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 2-11-2004.

[No L-20012/15/98-IR (C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 2), AT DHANBAD

PRESENT:

Shri B. Biswas,
Presiding Officer

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

Reference No. 84 of 1999

PARTIES : Employers in relation to the management of TISCO. and their workman

APPEARANCE:

On the behalf of workman : Mr. K. Chakravorty, Advocate.

On the behalf of the employers : Mr. D. K Verma, Advocate.

State : Jharkhand : Industry : Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 19th October, 2004

AWARD

The Govt. of India, Ministry of Labour, in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012/15/98-IR(C-1), dated, the 29th January, 1999.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Sijua Colliery of M/s TISCO in dismissing Sri Jagdish Prasad, Driller w.e.f. 13-7-1996 (on the ground of unauthorised absence for a few days) from the services of the company is justified ? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. The case of the concerned workman according to written statement submitted by the sponsoring union on his behalf in brief is as follows :—

The sponsoring union submitted that the concerned workman was a permanent workman at Sijua Colliery. They submitted that the said workman due to reasonable cause remained himself absent from duty and the reason for absence was duly communicated to the management with a prayer to sanction leave. They alleged that the management showing vindictive attitude dismissed the concerned workman from his service by letter dt. 9/12-7-96. They submitted that the concerned workman neither received any chargesheet nor any notice of enquiry. They further alleged that relying on exparte enquiry report the management took that illegal, and arbitrary decision violating the principle of natural justice.

They disclosed that after passing the said order concerned workman submitted representation to the management with a prayer for his reinstatement in service but to no effect and for which he raised an Industrial Dispute before the ALC(C), Dhanbad for conciliation which ultimately resulted reference to this Tribunal for adjudication

The concerned workman accordingly submitted his prayer to pass award directing the management to reinstate him in service from the date of his dismissal with back wages and other consequential relief

3 Management on the contrary after filling written Statement-cum-rejoinder have denied all the claims and allegations which the sponsoring Union asserted in the Written Statement on behalf of the concerned workman They submitted that the concerned workman was appointed on 6-6-77 as piece rated worker and was placed as Assistant Driller with effect from 21-5-99 and thereafter he got his promotion as Driller w.e.f 21-1-80. They submitted that the concerned workman was sus-pended for 5 days from 18-8-81 on the ground of commission of misconduct of unauthorised absence from duties without permission or satisfactory cause He was again sus-pended for 5 days from 13-11-81 on the charge of unauthorised absence He was again sus-pended for 10 days with effect from 16-6-92 He was sus-pended for 5 days with effect from 26-10-92 He was again sus-pended for 10 days for unauthorised absence w.e.f 21-10-99 The management disclosing the conduct of the concerned workman as stated above submitted that he grew up the habit of absence from his duties without permission or justification and in-spite of repeated warnings and imposition of penalty of sus-pension he continued to remain absent from his duties at his/own sweet will without permission, and without information and without satisfactory cause. They disclosed that as the concerned workman again started him self absent from duty w e f 20-5-96 without information or permission and also without showing any cause the disciplinary authority issued chargesheet No. 100 dt 5/7-6-96 under clause 19(16) of certified Standing order applicable to the establishment for committing misconduct. They submitted that the concerned workman did not bother to give any reply to the chargesheet They submitted that as the concerned workman was neither found in the colliery nor at his local address the said chargesheet was sent to the address of his native village by registered post. Thereafter management started domestic enquiry against the concerned workman through enquiry officer duly appointed by them Before holding the said domestic enquiry on 18-6-96 again notice was issued at Sijua Colliery office and at his home address but the concerned workman did not turn up and for which again notice was sent to his home address by Regd Post informing the date of enquiry as 4-7-96 A copy of the said notice also was published in the local newspaper "Jharkahnd Sambad" on 30-6-96 and simultaneously a copy of the notice also was displayed on the notice board. They submitted that inspite of taking all steps for procuring attendance of the concerned workman when the same failed the Enquiry Officer on 4-7-96 took up hearing of the enquiry proceeding exparte and submitted his report on 5-7-96, holding him guilty to the charge brought against him. They disclosed that the Disciplinary Authority considering the enquiry report and also considering all aspects carefully dismissed the concerned workman from his service by letter dt. 12-7-96. They disclosed that the action taken by the management in dismissing the concerned workman from his service was legal and bonafide and in accordance with the provision of Certified Standing order and for which he is not entitled to get any relief.

4 **Points to be decided—**

"Whether the action of the management of Sijua Colliery of M/s. TISCO in dismissing Sri Jagdish Prasad, Driller w.e f. 13-7-1996 (on the ground of unauthorised absence for a few days) from the services of the company is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

5. **Finding with reasons.**

It transpires from the record that before taking up hearing of this case on merit it was taken into consideration if domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice. The said issue on preliminary point was disposed of vide order No. 20 dt. 9-7-2003 finding that domestic enquiry held against the concerned workman was fair, proper and in accordance with the principle of natural justice.

Now the point which is to be taken into consideration on merit is if the charge of committing misconduct under clause 19(16) of the Certified Standing Order brought against the concerned workman has well been established or not and if so, whether the order of dismissal issued against him was justified as per provision laid down U/s 11A of the I.D. Act, 1947

In course of hearing the chargesheet dt 5/7-6-96 which was issued against the concerned workman under clause 19(10) of the Certified Standing Order on the allegation of committing misconduct was marked as Ext. M-1 The charge which was brought agsinst the concerned workman is as follows:

"You have absented yourself from duty without permission and satisfactory cause from 20-5-96 to till date.

The above act on your part amounts to unauthorised absence for more than 10 days

You are allowed 72 hours from the date of receipt hereof to give your explanation. Any representation that you may make in this connection will be taken into consideration before passing order."

Therefore, from the chargesheet it transpires that the concerned workman remained himself absented from duty without permission and satisfactory cause from 20-5-96 till date of issuance of chargesheet dt. 5/7-6-96. The contention of the management is that it was not the solitary occasion when the concerned workman remained himself absent from duty but previously on several occasions he committed same misconduct and for which they were compelled to suspend him from work. They alleged that on 13-11-81, 16-6-92, 22-7-92, 26-12-92 and 21-10-99 for committing misconduct on the ground of unauthorised absence the concerned workman was suspended from work for 5 days, 10 days, 5 days, 5 days and 10 days respectively. As per allegation of the management during the period from 13-11-81 to 21-10-94 the concerned workman had to face suspension order atleast on five occasions for committing misconduct on the ground of unauthorised absence. In course of hearing the service sheet of the concerned workman was marked as Ext. M-10 which has supported this claim of the management. It is the specific allegation of the management that the concerned workman actually developed the habit of remaining himself unauthorisedly by absence from duty. They submitted that for such unauthorised absence their production was effected. The concerned workman during his cross-examination though denied this allegation of the management admitted that presence of drillman is very much needed for the interest of production. Therefore, it is clear that the management did not get the service of the concerned workman who was a drillman for his absence during the period in question.

It is the specific allegation of the management that the concerned workman without giving any information and also without taking permission and also without any satisfactory cause started remaining himself absent from duty with effect from 20-5-96. Concerned workman during his evidence disclosed that he went on leave duly sanctioned by the management. This fact during his evidence was not denied by the management. He disclosed that while he was on sanctioned leave he went to his father's in-laws house where he fell ill from jaundice and he sent medical certificate to the management and in support of his claim he relied on two postal receipts marked as Ext. W-3 and W-3/1. He further disclosed that during the period of his ailment he remained under treatment of Dr. K. C. Roy and in support of his claim he relied on the prescription and medical certificate issued by the said doctor marked as Ext. W-1 and W-2.

The postal receipts Exts. W-3 and W-3/1 shows that the concerned workman sent some article to the management first on 25-5-96 and then on 3-6-96. It is the contention of the concerned workman that he sent medical certificate and gave information to the management about his ailment. According to the allegation of the management the concerned workman started remaining himself absent from duty with effect from 20-5-96. It is seen that within five days of his remaining himself absent from duty he first sent information to the management. He sent second information to the management on 3-6-96. It is not the claim of the management that the address given in the postal receipt was not the address of the management. It is also not the case of the management that they did not receive any such articles from the concerned workman as per the postal receipt relied on by the concerned workman. Therefore, presumption should be drawn that management received some information from the concerned workman, particularly when it has not been established that the concerned workman in connivance with the postal Deptt. procured the said two postal receipts. It is the specific claim of the concerned workman that while he was on sanctioned leave he went to his father-in-law's house and there he fell ill from jaundice. The concerned workman during his evidence relied on the prescription issued by Dr. K. C. Roy for his treatment. This prescription during his evidence was marked as Ext. W-1 which shows that he remained under treatment of the said doctor with effect from 21-5-96. During cross-examination the concerned workman admitted that for diagnosis of his ailment the doctor did not advise him for blood test but he relied on the report of his urine. Relying on this fact Ld. Advocate for the management raised his voice about genuinity of the prescription issued by the said doctor. It is absolutely within the domain of the doctor that he will diagnose the disease of a patient and for which there is no scope to blame the concerned workman. It will also not be wise enough to draw conclusion that the prescription which the concerned workman relied on is a false and fabricated one. There was scope on the part of the management to summon the doctor with a view to ascertain the veracity of the prescription but the management did not consider necessary to do so. The management also has failed to produce any cogent evidence in support of their claim that the medical prescription and medical certificate issued by Dr. K. C. Roy were fake and the same were manufactured by the concerned workman for the interest of the case. Accordingly, I find no scope to accept the contention of the management. Considering the medical papers there is sufficient scope to draw conclusion that the concerned workman was actually suffering from jaundice and for which he could not attend to his place of duty. From the postal receipts marked as Ext. W-3 and W-3/1 there is sufficient scope to arrive into conclusion that he reported the cause of his absence to the management in due course of time.

It is the contention of the management that the concerned workman was in the habit of remaining himself absent unauthorisedly. They submitted that during the period from 18-8-81 to 21-10-94 the concerned workman for committing misconduct on the ground of his unauthorised absence was chargesheeted on five occasions and on all the occasions order of suspension was issued against him as part of his punishment. This fact has not been denied by the concerned workman. He received punishment for the misconduct committed by him. It is to be taken into consideration that each case of his committing misconduct was dealt with by the management independently. Therefore, onus on the management to establish that the alleged misconduct which the concerned workman committed in the instant case has its bearing with the previous case. In the instant case it is the specific allegation of the management that the concerned workman started remaining himself absent from duty with effect from 20-5-96 without information, without permission and without sufficient cause. As such unauthorised absence amounted to misconduct and a chargesheet was issued to him. The concerned workman in his evidence categorically stated that he went on sanctioned leave. This fact was not denied by the management by adducing cogent evidence. It is the contention of the concerned workman that during the period of his sanctioned leave he went to his father-in-law's house where he fell ill. It is not expected that the concerned workman apprehending his ailment well ahead will take sick leave from the management. The two postal receipts Ext. W-3 and W-3/1 shows that he took steps and due intimation was given to the management about his ailment. The medical prescription relating to his treatment and medical certificate shows that actually he was lying ill and for which during the period in question he did not get scope to join his duties. Therefore, there is no acceptable ground to hold that the concerned workman had no sufficient reason for remaining himself absent from duty. Learned Advocate for the management in course of hearing submitted that there was hospital of the management about 3 K. M. away from Katras where the residence of his father-in-law was situated. Disclosing this fact Ld. Advocate submitted that the concerned workman had the scope to get his treatment from the said hospital but he did not consider it necessary. I consider that it is absolutely the discretion of the workman where he likes to get his treatment. Therefore, as he did not take his treatment from the hospital of the management there is no scope to draw conclusion that the concerned workman falsely took the plea of his ailment and intentionally remained himself absent unauthorisedly with a view to hamper the production. Concerned workman in support of his ailment not only relied on the postal receipts but also relied on the medical papers. Onus is on the management to establish that the concerned workman created all these papers falsely with a view to get rid of charge brought against him for committing misconduct. It is seen that the hearing of the enquiry proceeding was taken up ex-parte as it has been established that the concerned workman was not found present where the notices were issued. Natually it was not within the knowledge of the concerned workman about the said domestic enquiry. It has not been established that the concerned workman with a view to avoid that enquiry issued false address where he stayed during the period of his ailment. In the circumstances it is very hard to accept that for taking future plea he manufactured the documents in question. It is fact that the concerned workman did not inform the address of his father-in-law where he lived during the period of his ailment but that cannot establish that he took false plea about his ailment.

Accordingly after careful consideration of all the facts and circumstances I hold that the management have failed to establish the charge of misconduct against the concerned workman beyond shadow of doubt and for which I hold that the order of dismissal passed by the management against him is liable to be set aside.

As a result of the order of dismissal the concerned workman was deprived of joining his duty and for which he was deprived of getting his wages. During long period from the date of dismissal he remained idle without service and of any earning for his livlihood only due to adamant attitude of the management. There was scope on the part of the management to consider the veracity of the Medical papers which the concerned workman relied on in support of his ailment but they did not consider necessary to do so. There is reason to believe that during this period the concerned workman not only incurred financial loss but also suffered mental pain and agony which the management is liable to compensate. I therefore, hold that the concerned workman not only will be reinstated to his service from the date of dismissal but also the management shall be liable to pay 30% of his back wages with all consequential relief till the date of his reinstatement in service.

In the result, the following Award is rendered :—

"The action of the management of Sijua Colliery of M/s. TISCO, in dismissing Sri Jagdish Prasad, Driller w.e.f. 13-7-1996 (on the ground of unauthorised absence for a few days) from the services of the company is not justified. Consequently, the concerned workman is entitled to be reinstated to his original job with 30% back wages and other consequential benefits from the date of his dismissal to the date of his reinstatement."

The management is directed to implement the Award within three months from the date of its publication in the Gazette of India in the light of the observation made above.

B. BISWAS, Presiding Officer

श्रम और रोजगार मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 5 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3062.—राष्ट्रपति, श्री सरजू प्रसाद को दिनांक 27-10-2004 (पूर्वाह्न) से तीन वर्ष के लिए केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक न्यायाधिकरण-सह-श्रम न्यायालय-I, धनबाद के पीठासीन अधिकारी के रूप में नियुक्त करते हैं।

[फा० सं० ए-11016/11/2003-सीएलएस-II]

पी.के. ताम्रकार, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT

New Delhi, the 5th November, 2004

S. O. 3062.—The President is pleased to appoint Sh. Sarju Prasad as Presiding Officer Central Government Industrial Tribunal-Cum-Labour Court-I, Dhanbad, w.e.f. 27-10-2004 (FN) for a period of three years.

[F. No. A-11016/11/2003 CLS-II]

P. K. TAMRAKAR, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 8 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3063.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 दिसम्बर, 2004 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 [धारा 76 की उप-धारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है] के उपबन्ध उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात् :—

"जिला, तहसील एवं परगना बरेली के राजस्व ग्राम-भोजीपुरा, पीपलसाना चौधरी, पचदियोरा दियोहरिया, विलवा, करमपुर चौधरी, करगैना, विदारभान नगला एवं अभयपुर के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र।"

[फा० सं० एस-38013/85/2004-एस.एस.- I]

के. सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 8th November, 2004

S. O. 3063.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st December, 2004 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI [except Sub-section (i) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Uttar Pradesh namely :—

"Areas comprising the revenue villages of Bhojipura, Pipalsana Chawdhari, Pachdiyora Diyaharia, Vilva, Karampur Chawdhari, Kargaina, Bidarbhan Nagla and Abhaypur in Pargana, Tehsil and District of Bareilly."

[F. No. S-38013/85/2004-SS. I]

K. C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 8 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3064.—कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम, 1948 (1948 का 34) की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्द्वारा 1 दिसम्बर, 2004 को उस तारीख के रूप में नियत करती है, जिसको उक्त अधिनियम के अध्याय 4 (44 व 45 धारा के सिवाय जो पहले से प्रवृत्त हो चुकी है) अध्याय 5 और 6 [धारा 76 की उप-धारा (1) और धारा 77, 78, 79 और 81 के सिवाय जो पहले ही प्रवृत्त की जा चुकी है] के उपबन्ध तमिलनाडु राज्य के निम्नलिखित क्षेत्रों में प्रवृत्त होंगे, अर्थात् :—

"तिरुणलवेली जिला सेंकोट्टै तालुक के राजस्व ग्राम पिरानूर, इलातूर, वल्लम और उसके पुरवा कोट्टाकुलम के अन्तर्गत आने वाले क्षेत्र।"

[फा० सं० एस-38013/86/2004-एस.एस. I]

के. सी. जैन, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 8th November, 2004

S. O. 3064.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (3) of Section 1 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the Central Government hereby appoints the 1st December, 2004 as the date on which the provisions of Chapter IV (except Sections 44 and 45 which have already been brought into force) and Chapter V and VI [except Sub-section (i) of Section 76 and Sections 77, 78, 79 and 81 which have already been brought into force] of the said Act shall come into force in the following areas in the State of Tamil Nadu namely :—

"Areas comprising the revenue villages of Piranoor, Elathoor, Vallam and its Hamlet Kottakulam of Shenkottah Taluk in Tirunelveli District.."

[F. No. S-38013/86/2004-SS. I]

K. C. JAIN, Director

नई दिल्ली, 19 नवम्बर, 2004

का.आ. 3065.—केन्द्रीय सरकार संतुष्ट है कि लोकहित में ऐसा अपेक्षित है कि किसी भी तेल क्षेत्र में सेवाओं को जिसे

औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की प्रथम अनुसूची की प्रविष्टि 17 के अन्तर्गत निर्दिष्ट किया गया है, उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवाएं घोषित किया जाना चाहिए।

अत: अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (ढ़) के उप-खण्ड (6) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए तत्काल प्रभाव से छ: मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[फा० सं० एस-11017/10/97-आई.आर. (पी.एल.)]

जे. पी. पति, संयुक्त सचिव

New Delhi, the 19th November, 2004

S. **O.3065.**—Whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the public interest required that the services in the any Oil Field which is covered by item 17 of the First Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), should be declared to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Central Government hereby declares with immediate effect the said industry to be a public utility service for the purpose of the said Act for a period of six months.

[F. No. S-11017/10/97-IR(PL)]

J. P. PATI, Jt. Secy.

Printed by the Manager, Govt. of India Press, Ring Road, Mayapuri, New Delhi-110064
and Published by the Controller of Publications. Delhi-110054.